substitute for regulations, and compliance with them is not required. Agreement States may use this information in establishing waste acceptance criteria for their licensees who are operating waste disposal sites. Applicants and licensees may use the information in the revised CA BTP when developing applications for initial licenses, amendments to licenses, or requests for NRC regulatory approval. Licensees may use the information in the revised CA BTP for actions (i.e., in determining average radionuclide concentrations in waste) that do not require prior NRC review and approval. Licensees may also use the information in the revised CA BTP to assist in attempting to resolve regulatory or inspection issues. Agreement States and current licensees may continue to use the previous guidance for complying with the concentration averaging provision in 10 CFR 61.55(a)(8) (i.e., the January 23, 1995, “Final Branch Technical Position on Concentration Averaging and Encapsulation”). Current licensees may also voluntarily use positions in this revised CA BTP.

In addition to the guidance in the revised CA BTP, licensees that ship waste for disposal in a 10 CFR part 61 or Agreement State equivalent facility should ensure that the waste meets the concentration averaging provisions in the land disposal facility license. Where there are conflicts with this guidance, the land disposal facility license conditions issued by the regulatory authority (i.e., the Agreement State) must be met.

VII. Backfitting

The revised CA BTP revision describes a voluntary method that the NRC staff considers acceptable for complying with the regulation in 10 CFR 61.55(a)(8), regarding averaging of radionuclide concentrations for the purpose of determining waste classification. Compliance with the revised CA BTP is not an NRC requirement, and licensees and applicants may choose this or another method to achieve compliance with this provision in the 10 CFR part 61. In particular, current licensees may continue to use the averaging positions in the 1995 CA BTP. The revised CA BTP does not require a backfit analysis, as described in 10 CFR 50.109(c), because (1) it does not impose a new or amended provision in the NRC’s rules, (2) does not present a regulatory staff position that interprets the NRC’s rules in a manner that is either new or different from a previous staff position; and (3) does not require the modification of, or addition to, the systems, structures, components, or design of a facility, or the procedures or organizations required to design, construct, or operate a facility.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of January, 2015.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Larry W. Camper,
Director, Division of Decommissioning, Uranium Recovery and Waste Programs, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2015–32 and CP2015–42; Order No. 2360]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning an addition of Priority Mail Contract 112 to the competitive product list. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: February 26, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
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I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 et seq., the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 112 to the competitive product list.1 The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. Id. Attachment B.

To support its Request, the Postal Service filed a copy of the contract, a copy of the Governors’ Decision authorizing the product, proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule, a Statement of Supporting Justification, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also filed supporting financial workpapers.

II. Notice of Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2015–32 and CP2015–42 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Contract 112 product and the related contract, respectively.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service’s filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than February 26, 2015.

The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site (http://www.prc.gov).

The Commission appoints Kenneth R. Moeller to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:


2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Kenneth R. Moeller is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings (Public Representative).

3. Comments are due no later than February 26, 2015.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,
Acting Secretary.

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2015–33 and CP2015–43; Order No. 2361]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.
I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR part 3020.30, et seq., the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 113 to the competitive product list.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. Id. Attachment B.

To support its Request, the Postal Service filed a copy of the contract, a copy of the Governors’ Decision authorizing the product, proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule, a Statement of Supporting Justification, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also filed supporting financial workpapers.

II. Notice of Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2015–33 and CP2015–43 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Contract 113 product and the related contract, respectively.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service’s filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:


2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3633, James F. Callow is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings (Public Representative).

3. Comments are due no later than February 26, 2015.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–03829 Filed 2–24–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FU–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal ServiceTM.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule’s Competitive Products List.

DATES: Effective date: February 25, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth A. Reed, 202–268–3179.


Stanley F. Mires, Attorney, Federal Requirements.

[FR Doc. 2015–03804 Filed 2–24–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–74323; File No. 4–631]


February 19, 2015.

I. Introduction