1. In light of the passage of time since the adoption of the Omgeo Exemptive Order, developments in technology, and enhancements in market practices, are the proposed conditions to the exemptive order appropriate? Specifically, are all of the conditions designed to facilitate interoperability necessary? Could the Commission continue to promote the purposes of section 17A of the Exchange Act by additional modification or elimination of some or all of the conditions? If so, which conditions should be modified or eliminated?

2. What, if any, effect will moving from a single provider to two or more providers have on the efficiency of the trade settlement process?

3. What, if any, impact will the introduction of a second provider have on pricing, quality of service, and innovation?

4. Will the introduction of one or more additional providers increase or reduce risk in the marketplace?

5. Does BSTP’s application for exemption from registration help achieve the underlying policy objectives of the Exchange Act? Why or why not?

In particular, please address whether granting an exemption from registration does or does not further the goals of promoting investor protection and the integrity of the securities markets.

6. Are the proposed conditions to the exemptive order sufficient to promote the purposes of section 17A of the Exchange Act and to allow the Commission to adequately monitor the effects of BSTP’s proposed activities on the national system for the clearance and settlement of securities transactions? Why or why not?

7. Would the links and interfaces with other matching services as described in BSTP’s application have a positive or negative effect on other matching services that are registered with the Commission or that receive from the Commission an exemption from clearing agency registration? Why or why not? Should the proposed condition to develop an interface with another matching service provider be made mandatory, rather than only upon request from another provider?

8. Would the links and interfaces with other matching services as described in BSTP’s application have a positive or negative effect on end-user clients of all matching services, regardless of which matching service completes trade matching prior to settlement? Why or why not?

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/proposed.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number 600–33 on the subject line; or

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number 600–33.

To help us process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/other.shtml).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the application that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the application between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Section, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number 600–33 and should be submitted on or before April 6, 2015.

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.25

Jill M. Peterson, Assistant Secretary.
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3 See Letter from Brendon J. Weiss, Vice President, NYSE Group Inc., to Secretary, Commission, dated August 25, 2014.
5 See Letters from Shawn Leaf, dated August 24, 2014; Tony Belfranh, dated August 24, 2014; John Richardson, dated August 26, 2014; Arthur T. Ling, dated August 26, 2014; Dan Blecha, dated August 26, 2014; Tom Sosnoff, dated August 27, 2014; Michael Chofoi, dated August 28, 2014; Joseph Runsdorf, dated August 29, 2014; Tony J. Gagliano, dated September 1, 2014; Howard L. Greenblatt, dated September 2, 2014; Ernest Callipari, dated September 2, 2014; Ali Bangura, dated September 3, 2014; Tony J. Gagliano, dated September 3, 2014; Theodore R. Lazo, Managing Director and Associate General Counsel of SIFMA, dated September 9, 2014; John C. Nagel, Managing Director and Sr. Deputy General Counsel of Citadel, LLC, dated September 12, 2014; Christopher Nagy, CEO, and Dave Lauer, President, KOR Group LLC, dated September 15, 2014; Stuart J. Kaswell, Executive Vice President & Managing Director, Executive Vice President & Managing Director, Executive Vice President & Managing Director, Executive Vice President & Managing Director, Executive Vice President & Managing Director.
Rule 608 \(^7\) under Section 11A of the Act \(^8\) provides that within 120 days of the date of publication of notice of filing of a NMS plan or an amendment to an effective NMS plan, or within such longer period as the Commission may designate up to 180 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or as to which the sponsors consent, the Commission shall approve such plan or amendment, with such changes or subject to such conditions as the Commission may deem necessary or appropriate, if it finds that such plan or amendment is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors and the maintenance of fair and orderly markets, to remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanisms of, a national market system, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The 120th day for the proposed Plan is March 7, 2015.

The Commission hereby extends the time period for Commission action on the proposed Plan from the 120th day and designates May 6, 2015, which is the 180th day for the proposed Plan, as the time period for Commission action. The Commission finds that it is appropriate to designate a longer period within which to take action on the proposed Plan because the extension will provide the Commission with additional time to consider, and take action in light of, among other things, the comments received on the proposed Plan.

Accordingly, pursuant to Section 11A of the Act \(^8\) and Rule 608 thereunder,\(^9\) the Commission designates May 6, 2015 as the date for Commission action on the proposed Plan.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.\(^10\)

Jill M. Peterson,
Assistant Secretary.
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AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Unified Carrier Registration Plan Board of Directors Meeting.

TIME AND DATE: The meeting will be held on April 9, 2015, from 12:00 Noon to 3:00 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time.

PLACE: This meeting will be open to the public via conference call. Any interested person may call 1–877–422–1931, passcode 2855434904, to listen and participate in this meeting.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The Unified Carrier Registration Plan Board of Directors (the Board) will continue its work in developing and implementing the Unified Carrier Registration Plan and Agreement and to that end, may

\(^7\) 17 CFR 242.608.