Company	Subsidy rate (percent)
Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. Daewoo Electronics Corpora-	34.77
tion	81.91

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with this preliminary determination within five days of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.⁵ Interested parties may submit case and rebuttal briefs, as well as request a hearing.⁶ Interested parties may submit written comments (case briefs) within 30 days of publication of the preliminary results and rebuttal comments (rebuttal briefs) within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.7 Rebuttal briefs must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs.⁸ Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.9

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must do so within 30 days of publication of these preliminary results by submitting a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, using Enforcement and Compliance's ACCESS system.¹⁰ Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined.¹¹ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing. Issues addressed at the hearing will be limited to those raised in the briefs.¹² All briefs and hearing requests must be filed electronically and received successfully in their entirety through ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we intend to issue the final results of this administrative review, including

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)–(d), 19 CFR 351.310(c).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(2).

the results of our analysis of the issues raised by the parties in their comments, within 120 days after issuance of these preliminary results.

Assessment Rates and Cash Deposit Requirement

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4)(i), we assigned a subsidy rate for each producer/exporter subject to this administrative review. Upon issuance of the final results, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, CVDs on all appropriate entries covered by this review. We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of review.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, the Department also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated CVDs, in the amounts shown above for each of the respective companies shown above, on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits at the most-recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

These preliminary results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: March 2, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences
- V. Analysis of Programs

VI. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2015–05562 Filed 3–10–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [C–533–829]

Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Countervailing Duty Order: Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand From India

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: As a result of this sunset review, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") finds that revocation of the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on prestressed concrete steel wire strand ("PC Strand") from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the level indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* March 11, 2015. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mandy Mallott, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6430. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 3, 2014, the Department initiated the second sunset review of the Order¹ pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act").² On November 17, 2014, the Department received a timely notification of intent to participate from Insteel Wire Products Company and Sumiden Wire Products Corporation (collectively, "domestic parties" or "Petitioners"), filed in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). On December 3, 2014, the Department received a substantive response from Petitioners, timely filed in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) and section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act, when there are inadequate responses from respondent interested parties, the Department will conduct an expedited sunset review and, not later than 120 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of initiation, issue final results of review based on the facts available. The Department did not receive a substantive response from the

⁵ See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

 $^{^7\,}See$ 19 CFR 351.309(c)(l)(ii) and 351.309(d)(l).

 $^{^9\,}See$ 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.310.

¹² See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

¹ See Countervailing Duty Order: Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand From India, 69 FR 5319 (February 4, 2004) ("Order")

² See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review, 79 FR 65186 (November 3, 2014).

Government of India or any Indian producers or exporters. Accordingly, we conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to this order is prestressed concrete steel wire ("PC strand"), which is steel strand produced from wire of non-stainless, nongalvanized steel, which is suitable for use in prestressed concrete (both pretensioned and post-tensioned) applications. The product definition encompasses covered and uncovered strand and all types, grades, and diameters of PC strand.

The merchandise under this order is currently classifiable under subheadings 7312.10.3010 and 7312.10.3012 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum ("IDM"), which is hereby adopted by this notice.³ The issues discussed in the IDM include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy and the net countervailable subsidy likely to prevail if the Order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this expedited sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file electronically via the Enforcement and Compliance Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS").4 ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the IDM can be accessed

⁴ On November 24, 2014, Enforcement and Compliance changed the name of Enforcement and Compliance's AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS") to AD and CVD Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS"). The web location was changed from *http:// iaaccess.trade.gov* to *http://access.trade.gov*. The Final Rule changing the references to the Regulations can be found at 79 FR 69046 (November 20, 2014). directly on the Internet at *http:// enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html.* The signed IDM and the electronic versions of the IDM are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

Pursuant to sections 752(b)(1) and (3) of the Act, the Department determines that revocation of the *Order* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy for all producers/manufacturers/ exporters at a net countervailable subsidy rate of 62.92 percent.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/ destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 2, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015–05560 Filed 3–10–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-825]

Stainless Steel Bar From Brazil: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On December 19, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar (SSB) from Brazil.¹ The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2013, through January 31, 2014. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Villares Metals S.A. (Villares). We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminarily Results*. None were received. Accordingly, for the final results, we continue to find that Villares did not make sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value.

DATES: Effective Date: March 11, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Catherine Cartsos or Minoo Hatten, AD/ CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1757, and (202) 482–1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 19, 2014, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* of the administrative review. The Department gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. None were received. The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is SSB. The term SSB with respect to the order means articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of circles, segments of circles, ovals, rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons or other convex polygons. SSB includes coldfinished SSBs that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot-rolled bar or from straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process. Except as specified above, the term does not include stainless steel semi-finished products, cut-length flat-rolled products (i.e., cutlength rolled products which if less than 4.75 mm in thickness have a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, or if 4.75 mm or more in thickness having a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness), wire (i.e., cold-formed products in coils, of any uniform solid cross section along their whole length, which do not conform to the definition of flat-rolled products), and angles, shapes and sections. The SSB subject to the order is currently classifiable under

³ See Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, entitled "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from the India," dated concurrently with this notice.

¹ See Stainless Steel Bar From Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014, 79 FR 75789 (December 19, 2014) (Preliminary Results).