the final results of this review, we will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for each importer’s examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). If FENC’s weighted-average dumping margin continues to be zero or de minimis in the final results of review, we will instruct CBP not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the Final Modification for Reviews, i.e., “where the weighted-average dumping margin for the exporter is determined to be zero or de minimis, no antidumping duties will be assessed.”

The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by FENC for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

Consistent with the Assessment Policy Notice, if we continue to find that Nan Ya had no shipments of subject merchandise, following issuance of the final results of review, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Nan Ya for which this company did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate un-reviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of PSF from Taiwan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for FENC will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above including Nan Ya, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the manufacturer of the merchandise for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 7.31 percent. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Dated: March 17, 2015.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

Summary
Background
Scope of the Order
Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
Discussion of the Methodology
Comparisons to Normal Value
A. Determination of Comparison Method
B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
Product Comparisons
Date of Sale
Export Price
Normal Value
A. Home Market Viability as Comparison Market
B. Level of Trade
C. Cost of Production
1. Calculation of Cost of Production
2. Test of Comparison Market Sales Prices
3. Results of the Cost of Production Test
D. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
Currency Conversion
Recommendation


13 The all-others rate established in the Order.
accordance with the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act), those issues may not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Actions will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under Section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council’s intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Thomas A. Nies, Executive Director, at (978) 465–0492, at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

Dated: March 19, 2015.

William D. Chappell,
Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XD854

New England Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; public meeting.

SUMMARY: The New England Fishery Management Council (Council) is scheduling a public meeting of its Electronic Monitoring Working Group to consider actions affecting New England fisheries in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ). Recommendations from this group will be brought to the full Council for formal consideration and action, if appropriate.

DATES: This meeting will be held on Wednesday, April 8, 2015 at 9:30 a.m.

ADDRESSES:

Meeting address: The meeting will be held at the NOAA Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930; telephone: (978) 281–9300; fax: (978) 281–9333.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas A. Nies, Executive Director, New England Fishery Management Council; telephone: (978) 465–0492.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The items of discussion on the agenda are:

The group will continue development of the white paper, Toward Implementation of Electronic Monitoring in groundfish fishery sectors. The group will also discuss recommendations. Other business will be discussed as necessary.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before this group for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during these meetings. Action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council’s intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Thomas A. Nies, Executive Director, at (978) 465–0492, at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

Dated: March 19, 2015.

William D. Chappell,
Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–979]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the “Department”) received information sufficient to warrant initiation of a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules (“solar cells”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). Based on a request from Neo Solar Power Corporation (“Neo Solar”), DelSolar Co., Ltd. (“DelSolar Taiwan”), and DelSolar (Wujuang) Ltd. (“DelSolar Wujuang”), the Department intends to determine, for purposes of the antidumping duty order on solar cells from the PRC, whether Neo Solar is the successor-in-interest to DelSolar Taiwan, an exporter assigned an exporter-producer rate in the investigation in this proceeding.

DATES: Effective March 24, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erin Kearney or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0167 or (202) 482–5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 7, 2012, the Department published a notice of the Order in the solar cells proceeding in the Federal Register.¹ On February 4, 2015, NeoSolar, DelSolar Taiwan, and DelSolar Wujuang requested that the Department conduct an expedited changed circumstances review pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), and section 351.216(b) of the Department’s regulations, to determine that Neo Solar is the successor-in-interest to DelSolar Taiwan for purposes of the Order. In their request, Neo Solar, DelSolar Taiwan, and DelSolar Wujuang provided lists of shareholders, managers, and boards of directors of Neo Solar and DelSolar Taiwan, business licenses of DelSolar Taiwan and DelSolar Wujuang, and a merger agreement and press release describing the merger of Neo Solar and DelSolar Taiwan.

On March 6, 2015, SolarWorld Americas, Inc. (“SolarWorld”), the petitioner in the underlying investigation, submitted comments on the changed circumstances review request. SolarWorld stated that the Department should reject the request for a changed circumstances review because Neo Solar failed to establish that it is eligible for a separate rate and that it operates as the same business entity as DelSolar Taiwan. SolarWorld stated that if the Department initiates a changed circumstances review with respect to Neo Solar, the Department

¹ See Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, and Antidumping Duty Order, 77 FR 73018 (December 7, 2012) (“Order”)}