

liability insurance and to withdraw from participation in the retrospective rating pool for deferred premium charges. As discussed in these documents, once the zirconium fire concern is determined to be negligible, possible accident scenario risks at permanently shutdown and defueled reactors are greatly reduced, when compared to operating reactors, and the associated potential for offsite financial liabilities from an accident are commensurately less. The licensee has analyzed and the staff has confirmed that the possible accidents that could result in an offsite radiological risk are minimal, thereby justifying the proposed reductions in offsite liability insurance and withdrawal from participation in the secondary retrospective rating pool for deferred premium charges.

Additionally, participation in the secondary retrospective rating pool could be problematic for DEK because the licensee would incur financial liability, if an extraordinary nuclear incident occurred at another nuclear power plant. Because KPS is permanently shut down, it does not produce revenue from electricity generation sales to cover such a liability. Therefore, such liability, if incurred, could significantly affect the financial resources available to the facility to conduct and complete radiological decontamination and decommissioning activities. Furthermore, the shared financial risk exposure to DEK is greatly disproportionate to the radiological risk posed by KPS, when compared to operating reactors.

The reduced overall risk to the public at decommissioning power plants does not warrant DEK to carry full operating reactor insurance coverage, after the requisite spent fuel cooling period has elapsed, following final reactor shutdown. The licensee's proposed financial protection limits will maintain a level of liability insurance coverage commensurate with the risk to the public. These changes are consistent with previous NRC policy and exemptions approved for other decommissioning reactors. Thus, the underlying purpose of the regulations will not be adversely affected by the reductions in insurance coverage.

Accordingly, the NRC staff concludes that granting the exemption from 10 CFR 140.11(a)(4) is in the public interest.

C. Environmental Considerations

The NRC approval of the exemption to insurance or indemnity requirements belongs to a category of actions that the Commission, by rule or regulation, has

declared to be a categorical exclusion, after first finding that the category of actions does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Specifically, the exemption is categorically excluded from further analysis in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25).

Under 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25), granting of an exemption from the requirements of any regulation of Chapter I to 10 CFR is a categorical exclusion provided that (i) there is no significant hazards consideration; (ii) there is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (iii) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure; (iv) there is no significant construction impact; (v) there is no significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents; and (vi) the requirements from which an exemption is sought involve: surety, insurance, or indemnity requirements.

The Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, has determined that approval of the exemption request involves no significant hazards consideration because reducing a licensee's offsite liability requirements at KPS does not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. The exempted financial protection regulation is unrelated to the operation of KPS. Accordingly, there is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite, and no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure. The exempted regulation is not associated with construction, so there is no significant construction impact. The exempted regulation does not concern the source term (*i.e.*, potential amount of radiation in an accident), nor mitigation. Therefore, there is no significant increase in the potential for, or consequences of, a radiological accident. In addition, there would be no significant impacts to biota, water resources, historic properties, cultural resources, or socioeconomic conditions in the region. The requirement for offsite liability insurance may be viewed as involving surety, insurance, or indemnity matters.

Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) and 51.22(c)(25), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the approval of this exemption request.

IV. Conclusions

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 140.8, the exemption is authorized by law, and is otherwise in the public interest. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants DEK exemption from the requirement of 10 CFR 140.11(a)(4) to permit the licensee to reduce primary offsite liability insurance to \$100 million, accompanied by withdrawal from participation in the secondary insurance pool for offsite liability insurance.

The exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of March, 2015.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Michele G. Evans,

Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2015-06730 Filed 3-23-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL POLICY

Paperwork Reduction Act; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of National Drug Control Policy.

ACTION: Notice of Submission to OMB and 30-Day Public Comment Period. Reinstatement with Change of Previously Approved Collection: Drug-Free Communities Support Program National Evaluation.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) announces it will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) an information collection request for processing under 5 CFR 1320.10. The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment.

DATES: Public comments will be accepted until April 23, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the collection title by name or OMB Control Number, and should be sent to:

Desk Officer for ONDCP, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or electronically mailed to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Helen Hernandez, Administrator, Drug-Free Communities Support Program. Facsimile and email are the most reliable means of communication. Ms. Hernandez's facsimile number is 202-395-6641, and her email address is hhernandez@ondcp.eop.gov. Mailing address is: Executive Office of the President, Office of National Drug Control Policy, Drug-Free Communities Support Program, 750 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB are available from and for further information Ms. Hernandez may be contacted at 202-395-6665.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that ONDCP has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the information collection described in Section A.

The **Federal Register** notice that solicited public comment on the information collection for a period of 60 days was published on December 1, 2014 (FR #2014-28273).

A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection: Drug-Free Communities (DFC) Support Program National Evaluation.

OMB Approval Number: 3201-0012.

Type of Request: Reinstatement with change of a previously approved collection.

Form Number: NA.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: ONDCP administers the Drug-Free Communities (DFC) Support Program in partnership with the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA) Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP). The DFC Program has two primary goals: To reduce youth substance abuse, and to support community anti-drug coalitions by establishing, strengthening, and fostering collaboration among public and private agencies.

Under ONDCP's reauthorization legislation (21 U.S.C. 1702), Congress mandated an evaluation of the DFC Program to determine its effectiveness in meeting objectives. In 2009, a contract was awarded to evaluate the DFC Program which used an existing web-based performance system, called the Coalition Online Management and Evaluation Tool (COMET) and the

Coalition Classification Tool (CCT), to gather information from DFC grantees and SAMHSA CSAP's Sober Truth on Preventing Underage Drinking Reauthorization Act (STOP Act) grantees. (STOP Act data collection is authorized and required by 42 U.S.C. 290bb-35b and Section 519B of the Public Health Service Act).

ONDCP awarded a contract for a DFC grant oversight system in January 2015 following a competitive request for proposals process. Currently, DFC grantees interact with multiple separate systems. ONDCP's new grant oversight system with a new data collection platform will replace the current COMET system. The development and implementation of the DFC grant oversight system will strengthen ONDCP's oversight of the DFC Program. The data collected will have minimal changes compared to what is currently collected. The new system data collection tool will be more user friendly and reduce the burden on grantees. For FY 2015 grantees, awards anticipated mid-CY2015, ONDCP/DFC expects the new data collection system to be fully functional for DFC data collection and STOP Act data collection.

ONDCP's Drug-Free Communities office will continue to utilize the case study protocols previously approved by OMB to document coalition practices, successes and challenges. Approximately nine DFC grantees are selected each year to highlight in the case studies. The information from the case studies will be used to illustrate not only what works to reduce drug use in a community setting, but also how and why it works.

ONDCP intends to use the data of the DFC National Evaluation to assess the DFC Program's effectiveness in preventing and reducing youth substance use. Two primary objectives of the evaluation are to: (1) Regularly monitor, measure and analyze data in order to report on the progress of the DFC Program and its grantees on program goals, and (2) providing technical assistance support to DFC grantees in effectively collecting and submitting data and in understanding the role of data in driving local coalition efforts.

The STOP Act program Evaluation will make use of the monitoring and tracking questionnaire to serve as a semi-annual report for STOP Act grantees and will provide information for SAMHSA, pursuant to SAMHSA authorities.

Respondents: DFC current grantees and STOP Act grantees (includes both current and former DFC grantees).

Type of Information Collection: Web-based data collection, surveys and interviews of DFC and Sober Truth on Preventing Underage Drinking (STOP) Act grantees.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 826.

Estimated Number of Responses: 2,189.

Frequency of Response: Semi-annually, annually and biennially. Progress reports semi-annually by DFC and STOP Act Program Directors via the new DFC Grant Oversight System, core measures biennially by DFC and STOP Act Program Directors via DFC Grant Oversight System and CCT annually for DFC Program Directors via DFC Grant Oversight System. Case study interviews of Program Directors and selected coalition members will be accomplished one time. ONDCP awarded a contract for the new data collection grant oversight system in January 2015. For FY 2015 grantees, ONDCP/DFC expects the new data collection system to be fully functional for DFC data collection and STOP Act data collection.

Average Hours per Response: Varies. ONDCP expects that the time required for DFC grantees to complete each semi-annual progress report will be approximately five hours, and each CCT report will take approximately three hours to complete. Face to face interviews and focus groups with DFC grantees selected for site visits will take 1.5-2 hours each to complete. STOP Act grantees and will also complete semi-annual progress reports at an estimated five hours. The estimate of time for DFC and STOP Act grantees includes biennial core measure data submission.

Total Estimated Burden: 9,052. (Comprehensive of all respondents over one year, including: DFC Program Directors and grantees to complete progress reports, CCT surveys, and interviews; and STOP Act grantees. ONDCP estimates that DFC grantees will spend approximately the same amount or less when using the new DFC data collection system).

Solicitation of Public Comment

No comments were received during the 60-day notice. This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

- (1) Whether the proposed data are proper for the functions of the agency;
- (2) Whether the information will have practical utility;
- (3) The accuracy of ONDCP's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions;

(4) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and, ways to ease the burden on proposed respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

ONDCP encourages interested parties to submit comments in response to these questions.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: March 18, 2015.

Daniel S. Rader,

Deputy General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2015-06616 Filed 3-23-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3280-F5-P

PEACE CORPS

Information Collection Request; Submission for OMB Review

AGENCY: Peace Corps.

ACTION: 30-Day notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Peace Corps will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The purpose of this notice is to allow 30 days for public comment in the **Federal Register** preceding submission to OMB. We are conducting this process in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 USC Chapter 35).

DATES: Submit comments on or before April 23, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name/or OMB approval number and should be sent via e-mail to: oira_submission@omb.eop.gov or fax to: 202-395-3086. Attention: Desk Officer for Peace Corps.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Denora Miller, FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, Peace Corps, 1111 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20526, (202) 692-1236, or email at pcf@peacecorps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Peace Corps, under Section 10(a)(4) of the Peace Corps Act, authorizes the Director to accept gifts of voluntary service, money, or property, for use in furtherance of the purposes of the Peace Corps Act. The information collected on the donation form is essential to fulfilling this authority and acceptance of gifts.

OMB Control Number: 0420-XXXX.

Title: Donation Form.

Type of Review: New.

Affected public: Individuals or households.

Respondents' obligation to reply: Voluntary.

Burden to the public:

(a)	Estimated number of respondents.	13,000
(b)	Frequency of response	one time
(c)	Estimated average burden per response.	10 minutes
(d)	Estimated total reporting burden.	2,167 hours

General Description of Collection: The information pulled from the donation form is used internally and on a daily basis by the Peace Corps Office of Gifts and Grants Management (GGM) to coordinate and oversee the development and implementation of partnerships to support the agency's three goals and enhance programs through every stage of the Volunteer life cycle, communication with prospective and current donors.

Request For Comment: Peace Corps invites comments on whether the proposed collections of information are necessary for proper performance of the functions of the Peace Corps, including whether the information will have practical use; the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the information to be collected; and, ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

This notice issued in Washington, DC on March 17, 2015.

Denora Miller,

FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, Management.

[FR Doc. 2015-06619 Filed 3-23-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6051-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. CP2013-78; Order No. 2400]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning notice of contingency prices pursuant to an International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* March 25, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Commission Action
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On March 16, 2015, the Postal Service filed notice of contingency prices pursuant to an International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 (IBRS 3) negotiated service agreement (Agreement).¹

To support its Notice, the Postal Service filed a copy of the notice of contingency prices sent to the contracting partner, a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the product, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also filed supporting financial workpapers.

II. Notice of Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2013-78 for consideration of matters raised by the Notice.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's filing is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than March 25, 2015. The public portions of the filing can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Curtis Kidd to serve as Public Representative in this docket.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2013-78 for consideration of the matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Curtis Kidd is appointed to serve as an officer

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service of Prices Under Functionally Equivalent International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement, March 16, 2015 (Notice).