election of Council leadership. BLM staff will update RAC members on the travel plan process and upcoming landscape projects. Agenda items and location may change due to changing circumstances. The public may present written or oral comments to members of the Council. At each full RAC meeting, time is provided in the agenda for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance should contact the BLM Coordinator as provided above. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The plat incorporating the field notes of the dependent resurvey in Township 13 South, Range 69 West, Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, was accepted on March 11, 2015.

Randy Bloom, Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Colorado.

[FR Doc. 2015–06911 Filed 3–25–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–JB–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE–15–010]
Sunshine Act Meeting


TIME AND DATE: March 31, 2015 at 9:30 a.m.


STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Vote in Inv. Nos. 701–TA–432, 731–TA–1024–1028, and AA1921–188 (Second Review) [Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from Brazil, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, and Thailand]. The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determinations and views of the Commission on April 10, 2015.
5. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 24, 2015.

William R. Bishop, Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015–07022 Filed 3–24–15; 11:15 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–923 Remand]

Certain Loom Kits for Creating Linked Articles: Commission Determination To Review an Initial Determination in Part and, on Review, To Affirm a Finding of Violation With Modifications; Request for Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review-in-part an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 13) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) in the above-captioned investigation. Particularly, the Commission has determined to review the determination on domestic industry in the ID. Upon review, the Commission affirms a finding of domestic industry with modifications. The Commission’s determination results in a determination of a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337 or “section 337”). Accordingly, the Commission requests written submissions, under the schedule set forth below, on remedy, public interest, and bonding.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clark S. Cheney, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2061. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://edis.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

(August 6, 2014). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 by reason of the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain loom kits for creating linked articles that infringe U.S. Patent No. 8,485,565 (“the ’565 patent’). The notice of investigation named thirteen respondents, all of which either have been found in default or terminated from this investigation. See Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to Respondent Creative Kidstuff, LLC (September 26, 2014); Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Finding Respondent Island in the Sun LLC in Default (October 16, 2014); Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review Two Initial Determinations Finding Certain Respondents in Default and Terminating the Investigation with Respect to Another Respondent (January 9, 2015); Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to Respondent Altatac, Inc. (January 13, 2015). The respondents in default are Island in the Sun LLC; Quality Innovations Inc.; Yiwu Mengwang Craft & Art Factory; Shenzhen Xuncent Technology Co., Ltd.; My Imports USA LLC; Jayfinn LLC; Hongkong Haoguan Plastic Hardware Co., Ltd.; Blinky.com, LLC; Eyyup Arga; and Itcoolnmore (collectively, “defaulting respondents”).

On December 5, 2014, Choon’s moved for a summary determination of a violation of section 337 and for issuance of a general exclusion order. On December 17, 2014, the Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) submitted a response supporting the motion. No other responses to the motion were received.

On February 3, 2015, the ALJ issued an ID granting Choon’s motion for summary determination of violation and recommending the issuance of a general exclusion order. See Order No. 13. On February 13, 2015, the IA submitted a petition for review of the ID in part. The IA argued that the ALJ improperly accepted alleged domestic industry investments in “paying a patent attorney to prosecute U.S. and international patent applications” and “visiting a Chinese factory for a week to investigate manufacturing the Rainbow Loom® kits.” See ID at 40. The IA also contended that certain foreign expenditures should have been excluded and other domestic expenditures should have been included in the total investment summarized by the ALJ on page 42 of the ID. The IA asserts that, notwithstanding these points, the Commission should affirm the ALJ’s conclusion that Choon’s has satisfied the domestic industry requirement and that a violation of section 337 has been proven.

On February 18, 2015, Choon’s filed a response to the IA’s petition. Choon’s took no position as to whether patent prosecution costs or visiting Chinese manufacturers count as domestic industry investments. Choon’s agreed with the IA that certain domestic expenditures should be included in the domestic investment total and that the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement has been met. The Commission has determined to review only the domestic industry economic prong determination in the ID. Upon review, the Commission affirms a finding that Choon’s has shown a substantial investment in the exploitation of the ’565 patent through engineering, research and development of articles protected by the ’565 patent, but the Commission modifies certain portions of the ID regarding the expenditures comprising the domestic industry investments. The Commission’s modifications will be specified in a later Commission opinion.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may (1) issue an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) issue one or more cease and desist orders that could result in one or more respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines, Inv. No. 337–TA–360, USITC Pub. No. 2843 (December 1994) (Commission Opinion).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the impacts of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation. If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve or dismiss the Commission’s action. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005, 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be permitted to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

Written Submissions: Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Complainant and the IA are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission’s consideration. Complainant is also requested to state the date on which the ’565 patent expires and the HTSUS subheadings under which the accused products are imported.

Written submissions must be filed no later than close of business on April 3, 2015. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on April 10, 2015. Such submissions should address the ALJ’s recommended determinations on remedy and bonding which were made in Order No. 13. No further submissions on any of these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit eight true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number (“Inv. No. 337–TA–923”) in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf. Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–
Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with the any confidential filing. All non-confidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 20, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[F.R. Doc. 2015–06898 Filed 3–25–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
[CPCLO Order No. 003–2015]

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Department of Justice.

ACTION: Notice of a new system of records and removal of one system of records notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a, and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A–130, notice is hereby given that the Department of Justice (Department or DOJ) proposes to establish a new Department-wide system of records entitled, “Department of Justice, Giglio Information Files.” JUSTICE/DOJ–017. This system has been established to enable DOJ investigative agencies to collect and maintain records of potential impeachment information and to disclose such information to DOJ prosecuting offices in order to ensure that prosecutors receive sufficient information to meet their obligations under Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972). The system has also been established to enable DOJ investigative agencies to maintain records of potential impeachment information obtained from DOJ investigative agencies, other federal agencies, and state and local agencies and to disclose such information in accordance with the Giglio decision. The purpose of publishing this Department-wide notice is to provide a comprehensive notice to cover all Department Giglio records, thereby increasing administrative efficiency and promoting consistent maintenance of Department Giglio records in accordance with the Attorney General’s Giglio Policy (found in Section 9–5.100 of the United States Attorneys’ Manual) as updated in 2014. Accordingly, this Department-wide system notice replaces, and the Department hereby removes, the notice for the system entitled, “United States Attorney’s Office, Giglio Information Files,” JUSTICE/USA–018, 65 FR 75308 (Dec. 1, 2000).

DATES: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4) and (11), the public is given a 30-day period in which to comment. Therefore, please submit any comments by April 27, 2015.

ADDRESSES: The public, OMB, and Congress are invited to submit any comments to the Department of Justice, ATTN: Privacy Analyst, Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties, U.S. Department of Justice, National Place Building, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite 1000, Washington, DC 20530, or by facsimile at (202) 307–0693. To ensure proper handling, please reference the CPCLO Order Number indicated above on your correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tricia Francis, Executive Office for United States Attorneys, FOIA/Privacy Act Staff, 600 E Street NW., Suite 7300, Washington, DC 20530, or by facsimile at (202) 252–6047.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This Department-wide system notice replaces the notice for the system entitled, “United States Attorney’s Office, Giglio Information Files.” JUSTICE/USA–018, 65 FR 75308 (Dec. 1, 2000). That system of records was exempt from certain provisions of the Privacy Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j) and (k). Those exemptions are codified in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) section for Exemption of United States Attorneys Systems (28 CFR 16.81(g) and (h)). The Department is establishing a new CFR section for exemptions of the JUSTICE/DOJ–017 system (28 CFR 16.135) and amending 28 CFR 16.81 by removing paragraphs (g) and (h). In the meantime, the Department intends that the exemptions established in 28 CFR 16.81(g) and (h) will continue to apply to the JUSTICE/USA–018 system and all its records until 28 CFR 16.135 is effective.

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(r), the Department has provided a report to OMB and Congress on this new system of records.

Dated: March 4, 2015.

Erika Brown Lee,
Chief Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer,
United States Department of Justice.

JUSTICE/DOJ–017

SYSTEM NAME: Department of Justice, Giglio Information Files.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Unclassified.

SYSTEM LOCATION:
Records in this system are located at United States Attorneys’ Offices and Department of Justice litigating sections with authority to prosecute criminal cases (“DOJ prosecuting offices”) as well as the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, the United States Marshals Service, the Office of the Inspector General, and the Office of Professional Responsibility (“DOJ investigative agencies”). For office locations, see http://www.justice.gov and the Web sites for DOJ prosecuting offices and investigative agencies.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:
Individuals who may serve as affiants or testify as witnesses in criminal proceedings brought by the United States Attorneys’ Offices and Department of Justice litigating sections with authority to prosecute criminal cases, including the Criminal Division, National Security Division, Civil Rights Division, Antitrust Division, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Tax Division, and Civil Division.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:
This system contains potential witness impeachment information, including records of disciplinary actions. Potential impeachment information has been generally defined as impeaching information which is material to the defense of a federal criminal prosecution. It also includes information that either casts a substantial doubt upon the accuracy of any evidence, including witness testimony, the prosecutor intends to rely on to prove an element of any crime charged or might have a significant bearing on the admissibility of