http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2014).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 30, 2015, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic devices, including wireless communication devices, computers, tablet computers, digital media players, and cameras by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 3, 4, 6-10, 12, 14, 16, and 17 of the '550 patent; claims 1, 2, 33, and 36 of the '620 patent, claims 1-4, 6, 8-16, and 18 of the '052 patent; claims 1–9 and 11–20 of the '059 patent; claims 1-17 of the '966 patent; and claims 39 and 40 of the '263 patent and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(l), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(l), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(l), (f)(1), (g)(l);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are: Ericsson Inc., 6300 Legacy Drive, Plano, TX 75024.

Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson, Torshamnsgatan 21, Kista, Stockholm, Sweden.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served:

Apple Inc., a/k/a Apple Computer, Inc., 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: March 30, 2015. By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2015–07646 Filed 4–2–15; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-953]

Certain Wireless Standard Compliant Electronic Devices, Including Communication Devices and Tablet Computers; Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on February 26, 2015, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Ericsson Inc. of Plano, Texas and Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson of Sweden. A supplement to the complaint was filed on March 18, 2015. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless standard compliant electronic devices, including communication devices and tablet computers, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,717,996 ("the '996 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 8,660,270 ("the '270 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 6,058,359 ("the 359 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 6,301,556 ("the ⁷556 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 8,102,805 ("the '805 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 8,607,130 ("the '130 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 8,837,381 ("the '381 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 8,331,476 ("the '476 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2014).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 30, 2015, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless standard compliant electronic devices, including communication devices and tablet computers, by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11-13, 15-19, 21, and 22 of the '996 patent; claims 7–12 and 18–23 of the 270 patent; claims 28–54 of the 359 patent; claims 1, 8-10, 12, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29-31, 38-40, 42, 49, 50, 52, 53, 57, 58, 64–66, and 68 of the '556 patent; claims 19, 20, 22-27, and 29-32 of the '805 patent; claims 1, 3, 5-8, 10, and 12-15 of the '130 patent; claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 11, and 13-15 of the '381 patent; and claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16, 25, and 26 of the '476 patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1).

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are: Ericsson Inc., 6300 Legacy Drive, Plano, TX 75024.

Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson, Torshamnsgatan 21, Kista, Stockholm, Sweden.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Apple Inc., a/k/a Apple Computer, Inc., 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

A response to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such a response will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting a response to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission. Issued: March 30, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015–07647 Filed 4–2–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1117-0024]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection, eComments Requested; Extension Without Change of a Previously Approved Collection; Reports of Regulated Transactions Involving Extraordinary Quantities, Uncommon Methods of Payment, and Unusual/ Excessive Loss or Disappearance, and Regulated Transactions in Tableting/ Encapsulating Machines

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice. **ACTION:** 30-day notice. **SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** at 80 FR 6766, February 06, 2015, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until May 4, 2015.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- -Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- -Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the
- methodology and assumptions used; —Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information proposed to be collected can be enhanced; and
- -Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

2. *Title of the Form/Collection:* Reports of Regulated Transactions Involving Extraordinary Quantities, Uncommon Methods of Payment, and Unusual/Excessive Loss or Disappearance, and Regulated Transactions in Tableting/Encapsulating Machines.

3. The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: Notification of extraordinary quantities, uncommon methods of payment, and unusual/excessive loss or disappearance of listed chemicals and regulated transactions in tableting/encapsulating