9:00 a.m., Room 3884, in the Herbert C. Hoover Building, 14th Street between Pennsylvania and Constitution Avenues NW., Washington, DC. The Committee advises the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration with respect to technical questions that affect the level of export controls applicable to materials processing equipment and related technology.

**Agenda**

**Open Session**

1. Opening remarks and introductions.
2. Presentation of papers and comments by the Public.
3. Discussions on results from last, and proposals from last Wassenaar meeting.
4. Report on proposed and recently issued changes to the Export Administration Regulations.
5. Other business.

**Closed Session**

6. Discussion of matters determined to be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 §§ 10 (a) (1) and 10 (a) (3).

The open session will be accessible via teleconference to 20 participants on a first come, first serve basis. To join the conference, submit inquiries to Ms. Yvette Springer at Yvette.Springer@bis.doc.gov, no later than May 12, 2015. A limited number of seats will be available for the public session. Reservations are not accepted. To the extent that time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. The public may submit written statements at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate the distribution of public presentation materials to the Committee members, the Committee suggests that presenters forward the public presentation materials prior to the meeting to Ms. Springer via email.

The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the delegate of the General Counsel, formally determined on February 20, 2015, pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. app. 2 § 10(d)), that the portion of the meeting dealing with matters the premature disclosure of which would be likely to frustrate significantly implementation of a proposed agency action as described in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B) shall be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 §§ 10(a) (1) and 10(a) (3). The remaining portions of the meeting will be open to the public.

For more information, call Yvette Springer at (202) 482–2813.

Dated: April 8, 2015.

Yvette Springer,
Committee Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015–08580 Filed 4–13–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–JT–P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A–570–954]


**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the “Department”) published the Preliminary Results of the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) on October 9, 2014.1 We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the Preliminary Results. Based upon our analysis of the comments received, we made no change to the Preliminary Results. The final weighted-average dumping margins are listed below in the “Final Results of Administrative Review” section of this notice. The period of review (“POR”) is September 1, 2012, through August 31, 2013.

**DATES:** Effective date: April 14, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jerry Huang, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4047.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On October 9, 2014, the Department published the Preliminary Results. On November 10, 2014, the Department received a case brief from Resco Products, Inc. (“Petitioner”) and Magnesita Refractories Company, a domestic interested party.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order includes certain magnesia carbon bricks. Certain magnesia carbon bricks that are the subject of this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 6902.10.1000, 6902.10.5000, 6815.91.0000, 6815.99.2000 and 6815.99.4000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.2

**Analysis of Comments Received**

We addressed all issues raised in the case brief by parties in this review in the Decision Memo. A list of issues included in the Decision Memo is attached as Appendix I to this notice. The Decision Memo is a public document and it is available electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov, and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit (“CRU”), Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on Enforcement and Compliance’s Web site at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html. The signed Decision Memo and the electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

**Final Partial Rescission of the Administrative Review**

In the Preliminary Results, the Department indicated its intention to rescind this review with respect to Fedmet Resources Corporation (“Fedmet”), based on Fedmet’s statement and supplemental information that it is a U.S. importer, not a PRC producer.3 Subsequent to the Preliminary Results, no information was submitted on the record contrary to Fedmet’s claim, and no party provided written arguments regarding this issue. Thus, we are rescinding this review with respect to Fedmet, and Fedmet’s entries will be subject to the appropriate

---


2 For a full description of the scope of the Order, see Memorandum from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People’s Republic of China.” (“ID Memo”) dated concurrently with these results and hereby adopted by this notice.

3 See Preliminary Results, 79 FR 61053.
exporter’s cash deposit requirements and assessment rates, as outlined below.

Final Determination of No Shipments

As noted in the Preliminary Results, Fengchi Imp. and Exp. Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City (“Fengchi”) submitted a timely filed certification that it had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. Thus, we preliminarily determined that Fengchi had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. We received no contradictory information from CBP indicating that there were suspended entries of subject merchandise into the United States exported by Fengchi. Accordingly, for the final results we continue to find that Fengchi had no shipments of subject merchandise, and it will retain its separate-rate status which was in effect for the final results we continue to find that Fengchi had no shipments of subject merchandise into the United States.6

Consistent with our assessment of this rate, we find it appropriate to continue to apply a rate of 236 percent of this rate, we find it appropriate to continue to apply a rate of 236 percent to CBP based on our final results.6

PRC-Wide Entity

In the Preliminary Results, for the PRC-Wide Entity, the Department assigned the rate of 236 percent, the only rate ever determined for the PRC-Wide Entity in this proceeding.7 Because this rate is the same as the rate for the PRC-Wide Entity from previous completed segments in this proceeding and nothing on the record of the instant review calls into question the reliability of this rate, we find it appropriate to continue to apply a rate of 236 percent to the PRC-Wide Entity.8

Further, in the Preliminary Results, the Department determined that those companies which did not demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate are properly considered part of the PRC-Wide Entity.9 Since the Preliminary Results, none of these companies submitted comments regarding these findings. Therefore, we continue to treat these companies as part of the PRC-Wide Entity.10

Additionally, in the Preliminary Results, for 155 companies, the Department found that, while the request for review had been withdrawn, none of these companies was eligible for a separate rate at the time of the initiation of this review. Accordingly, these 155 companies will continue to be considered part of the PRC-Wide Entity, which remained under review for the Preliminary Results.11 Thus, the Department did not rescind the review for any of these 155 companies in the Preliminary Results.12 Since the Preliminary Results, no party has presented information to the contrary, and, thus, these 155 companies continue to be considered as part of the PRC-Wide Entity, which remains under review for the final results.13

Final Results of Review

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period September 1, 2012, through August 31, 2013:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRC-Wide Entity</th>
<th>236.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Assessment

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review. For all appropriate entries exported by the PRC-Wide Entity, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate these entries at an antidumping assessment rate of 236.00 percent.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters that are eligible for a separate rate from a prior completed segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (2) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be eligible for a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the PRC-Wide Entity; and (3) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which are not eligible for a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notifications

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), this notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The final results of the PRC-Wide Entity determination are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.
Dated: April 7, 2015.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the Order

IV. Discussion of the Issues

(1) Whether the Department Should Limit CBP Data Query to Suspended AD/CVD Entries

(2) Whether the Department Should Issue Q&V Questionnaires to All Companies Under Review

(3) Whether Identifying Misclassified Entries Falls Under the Department’s Authority

V. Conclusion

Appendix II

Companies Subject to the Administrative Review that Are Part of the PRC-Wide Entity

1. ANH (Xinyi) Refractories Co. Ltd.
2. Anyang Rongzhu Silicon Industry Co., Ltd.
3. Anyang Rongming Refractories Co., Ltd.
5. Bayuquan Refractories Co., Ltd.
8. Changxing Magnesium Furnace Charge Co., Ltd.
11. China Quantai Metallurgical (Beijing) Engineering & Science Co., Ltd.
12. Chosun Refractories.
15. Dalian Cerax Co., Ltd.
16. Dalian Daldond Trading Co., Ltd.
19. Dalian Huayu Refractories International Co., Ltd.
20. Dalian LST Metallurgy Co., Ltd.
22. Dalian Mayerton Refractories Ltd.
23. Dalian Morgan Refractories Ltd.
24. Dashiqiao Bozhong Mineral Products Co., Ltd.
25. Dashiqiao City Magnesite.
26. Dashiqiao City Guangcheng Refractory Material Co., Ltd.
27. Dashiqiao Jia Sheng Mining Co., Ltd.
28. Dashiqiao Jinlong Refractories Co., Ltd.
29. Dashiqiao RongXing Refractory Material Co., Ltd.
30. Dashiqiao Sanqiang Refractory Material Co., Ltd.
31. Dashiqiao SongXin Refractories Co., Ltd.
32. Dashiqiao Zhongxing Mining Industry Group Co., Ltd.
33. Dengfeng Desheng Refractory Co., Ltd.
34. DFL Minmet Refractories Corp.
35. Duferco SA.
36. Duferco BarInvest SA Beijing Office.
37. Duferco Irontel Shanghai Representative Office.
38. Eastern Industries & Trading Co., Ltd.
40. Fengchi Mining Co., Ltd of Haicheng City.
41. Fengchi Refractories Co., of Haicheng City.
42. Fengchi Refractories Corp.
43. Ferro Alliages & Mineraux Inc.
44. Firma.
45. Haicheng City Qunli Mining Co., Ltd.
46. Haicheng City Xiyang Import & Export Corporation.
47. Haicheng Donghe Taidi Refractory Co., Ltd.
48. Haicheng Ruitong Mining Co., Ltd.
49. Haiyuan Talc Powder Manufacture Factory.
50. Henan Boma Co. Ltd.
51. Henan Kingway Chemicals Co., Ltd.
52. Henan Tagore Refractories Co., Ltd.
53. Henan Xirimi Changxing Refractories Co., Ltd.
54. Hebei Qinghe Refractory Group Co. Ltd.
55. Huailin Refractories (Dashiqiao) Pte. Ltd.
56. Hualude Hardware Products Co. Ltd.
57. Indian Technomac Co., Ltd.
59. Jiangsu Sujia Group New Materials Co., Ltd.
60. Jiangsu Sujia Joint-Stock Co., Ltd.
62. Jinan Linquan Imp. & Emp. Co. Ltd.
63. Jinan Ludong Refractory Co., Ltd.
64. Kosmokraft Refractory Limited.
65. Kuehne & Nagel Ltd. Dalian Branch
66. Kumas sanayi urunleri Ve Isaat Paz.
67. Lechang City Guangdong Province SongXin Refractories Co., Ltd.
68. Lianying Fucheng Refractory Group Co., Ltd.
69. Lianying Fucheng Special Refractory Co., Ltd.
70. Lianying Jiayi Metals & Minerals Ltd.
71. Lianying Jinding Magnesite Group.
72. Lianying Mayerton Refractories Co., Ltd.
73. Lianying Mineral & Metallurgy Group Refractories Co., Ltd.
74. Lianying Quingyi Group Refractories Co., Ltd.
75. Lianying Quinyi Trade Co., Ltd.
76. Lianying RHI Jinding Magnesia Co., Ltd.
77. Lianying Zhongxing Mining Industry Group Co., Ltd.
78. LiShuang Refractory Industrial Co., Ltd.
79. Lithomelt Co., Ltd.
80. Lua Viet Bestref Joint Venture Co.
81. Luheng Refractory Co., Ltd.
82. Luoyang Refractory Group Co., Ltd.
83. Mayerton Refractories.
84. Minsource International Ltd.
85. Minmet International Inc.
86. National Minerals Co., Ltd.
87. Navis Zufall Ueberseeproduktion.
88. North Refractories Co., Ltd.
89. Orestar Metals & Minerals Co., Ltd.
90. Oreworld Trade (Tangshan) Co., Ltd.
91. Puyang Refractories Co., Ltd.
92. Qingdao Almatis Co., Ltd.
93. Qingdao Almatis Co., Ltd. (HQ.
94. Qingdao Almatis Trading Co., Ltd. (Sales Office).
95. Qingdao Blueshell Import & Export Corp.
96. Qingdao Fujing Group Co., Ltd.
97. Qingdao Huierde International Trade Co., Ltd.
98. Refratechnik Cement GmbH.
99. Refratechnik Steel GmbH.
100. RHI AG.
101. RHI GLAS GmbH.
102. RHI Refractories Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd.
103. RHI Refractories (Dalian) Co., Ltd.
104. RHI Trading Shanghai Branch.
105. RHI Trading (Dalian) Co., Ltd.
106. Rouyuan Magnesite Co., Ltd. of Tangshan.
107. Shandong Cambridge International Trade Inc.
108. Shandong Lunai Kilin Refractories Co., Ltd.
109. Shandong Refractories Corp.
110. Shanghai Pudong Imp. & Exp. Co. Ltd.
111. Shanghai Vista Packaging Co., Ltd.
112. Shanxi Dajin International (Group) Co., Ltd.
113. Shanxi Xinrong International Trade Co. Ltd.
114. Shenyang Shengshu Refractory Imp.
115. Shenyang Yi Xin Sheng Lai Refractory Materials Co., Ltd.
116. Shinagawa Refractories Co., Ltd.
117. Shinagawa Rongyuan Refractories Co., Ltd.
118. Sinosteel Corporation.
119. SMMC Group Co., Ltd.
120. Store System Inc. O B Dongning Shunf.
121. Syndicate Exp. Pvt., Ltd.
122. Tangshan Success Import & Export Trading Co., Ltd.
123. Tianjin New Century Refractories, Ltd.
124. Tianjin New World Import & Export Trading Co., Ltd.
125. Tianjin Weiyou Refractory Co., Ltd.
126. The Economic Trading Group of Haicheng Huoying Corporation Ltd.
127. Vereiniging Refractories (Pty).
128. Vesuvius Advanced Ceramics (Suzhou) Co. Ltd.
129. Wonjin Refractories Co., Ltd.
130. Wuxi Tian Liang Foreign Trade Co., Ltd.
131. Xiyuan Xingquan Forsterite Co., Ltd.
132. Yanshi City Guangming High-Tech Refractories Products Co., Ltd.
133. YHS Minerals Co., Ltd.
134. Yingkou Bayuquan Refractories Co., Ltd.
135. Yingkou BL Mining Co., Ltd.
136. Yingkou Dallon Refractories Co., Ltd.
137. Yingkou Guangyang Refractories Co., Ltd.
139. Yingkou Heiping Samwha Minerals Co., Ltd.
140. Yingkou Jiahe Refractories Co., Ltd.
141. Yingkou Jinlong Refractories Group.
142. Yingkou Kyushu Refractories Co., Ltd.
143. Yingkou Jinlong Refractories Co., Ltd.
144. Yingkou Qinghua Refractories Co., Ltd.
145. Yingkou Sanhua Refractory Materials Co., Ltd.
146. Yingkou Tianrun Refractory Co., Ltd.
147. Yingkou Wonjin Refractory Material Co., Ltd.
148. Yingkou Yongji Mag Refractory, Ltd.
149. Yixing Runlong Trade Co., Ltd.
150. Yixing Xinwei Leeshing Refractory Material Co., Ltd.
151. Yixing Zhenqiu Charging Ltd.
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 8, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film (PET Film) from the United Arab Emirates.1 This review covers one producer/exporter of subject merchandise, JBF RAK LLC (JBF). Based on our analysis of the comments and information received, we made changes to the Preliminary Results, which are discussed below. The final weighted-average dumping margin is listed below in the section titled “Final Results of Review.”

DATES: Effective Date: April 14, 2015.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 8, 2014, the Department published the Preliminary Results. Since the Preliminary Results, the following events have taken place: The Department received timely case briefs from JBF on January 14, 2015, and from DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., and SKC, Inc., (collectively, Petitioners) on January 15, 2015.2 JBF filed a timely rebuttal brief on January 20, 2015.3

Period of Review

The period of review is November 1, 2012, through October 31, 2013.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all gauges of raw, pre-treated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate film (PET Film), whether extruded or co-extruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer more than 0.00001 inches thick. Also excluded is roller transport cleaning film which has at least one of its surfaces modified by application of 0.5 micrometers of SBR latex. Tracing and drafting film is also excluded. PET Film is classifiable under subheading 3920.62.00.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by parties in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Memorandum to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates; Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results” (Decision Memorandum), dated January 20, 2015.3 A complete discussion of these adjustments and changes can be found in the Decision Memorandum.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made adjustments to our margin calculations for JBF. Specifically, we have made adjustments for commissions JBF received in the home and U.S. markets, we have adjusted JBF’s finance expense ratio, and we have adjusted the materials cost to account for certain inputs JBF purchased from an affiliated party.4 A complete discussion of these adjustments and changes can be found in the Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Review

As a result of this review, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period of November 1, 2012, through October 31, 2013:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer or exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent ad valorem)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JBF RAK LLC</td>
<td>11.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disclosure

We will disclose to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with these final results within five days of the publication of this notice, consistent with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.5 The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

Footnotes:

1 See “Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013, 79 FR 72624 (December 8, 2014) (Preliminary Results).

2 See “Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates (A–520–803); Case Brief of JBF RAK, LLC,” dated January 14, 2015 and “Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from the United Arab Emirates; Petitioners’ Case Briefs” dated January 15, 2015.

3 See “Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet and Strip from the United Arab Emirates (A–520–803); Rebuttal Brief of JBF RAK, LLC,” dated January 20, 2015.

4 See Memorandum to Mark Hoadley, “Final Analysis Memorandum for JBF RAK LLC,” April 7, 2015.

5 The Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).