

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****Bureau of Land Management**

[15X L1109AF LLUT980300  
L11500000.PH0000 24-1A]

**Utah Resource Advisory Council/  
Recreation Resource Advisory Council  
Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management,  
Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, the Federal Advisory Committee Act, and the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act, the Bureau of Land Management's (BLM) Utah Resource Advisory Council (RAC)/Recreation Resource Advisory Council (RecRAC) will meet as indicated below.

**DATES:** The BLM-Utah RAC/RecRAC will meet June 23, 2015, from 8:30 a.m.–5 p.m., and June 24, 2015, from 8:30 a.m.–Noon.

**ADDRESSES:** The RAC/RecRAC will meet at the BLM-Utah State Office, Monument Conference Room (5th Floor), 440 West 200 South, Salt Lake City, Utah.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you cannot attend the meeting but wish to listen via teleconference, orally present material during the teleconference, or submit written material for the RAC/RecRAC, please notify Sherry Foot, Special Programs Coordinator, Bureau of Land Management, Utah State Office, 440 West 200 South, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, Utah 84101; phone (801) 539-4195; or, [sfoot@blm.gov](mailto:sfoot@blm.gov) no later than Wednesday, June 17, 2015.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Planned agenda topics include the introduction of new members; an overview of BLM-Utah issues; and planning effort updates. The RecRAC will listen to a presentation on the BLM's Draft Connecting with Utah Communities [Recreation] Strategy; a review of the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act; and presentations regarding proposed fees from the BLM and the U.S. Forest Service.

A half-hour public comment period will take place on June 23, from 3:00–3:30 p.m. The meeting is open to the public; however, transportation, lodging, and meals are the responsibility of the participating individuals.

Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to leave a message or question for the

above individual. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Replies are provided during normal business hours.

**Authority:** 43 CFR 1784.4-1.

**Lance C. Porter,**

*Acting Associate State Director.*

[FR Doc. 2015-09312 Filed 4-21-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-DQ-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-883]

**Certain Opaque Polymers;  
Commission Decision Affirming Grant  
of Default and Sanctions; Finding a  
Violation of Section 337; Issuing  
Remedial Orders and Terminating the  
Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade  
Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission affirmed, with modification, an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 27) by the presiding Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) granting a motion for default and sanctions. The Commission has found a violation of section 337 in this investigation and has issued a limited exclusion order prohibiting importation of certain opaque polymers manufactured using the Complainants’ misappropriated trade secrets. The Commission has also issued a cease and desist order directed to one respondent. The Commission has affirmed the assessment and calculation of sanctions including joint and several liability as to U.S. counsel, but has reversed the ID to the extent that it imposed joint and several liability on Turkish counsel. The Commission has thereby terminated the investigation with a finding of violation of section 337.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its

Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on June 21, 2013, based on a complaint filed by the Dow Chemical Company of Midland, Michigan, and by Rohm and Haas Company and Rohm and Haas Chemicals LLC, both of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (collectively, “Dow”). 78 FR 37571 (June 21, 2013). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), by reason of the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain opaque polymers that infringe certain claims of four United States patents. The notice of investigation named five respondents, three of whom remain in this investigation: Organik Kimya San. ve Tic. A.Ş. of Istanbul, Turkey; Organik Kimya Netherlands B.V. of Rotterdam-Botlek, Netherlands; and Organik Kimya US, Inc., of Burlington, Massachusetts (collectively, “Organik Kimya”). 78 FR at 37571; Notice (Dec. 1, 2014) (termination as to two of the five originally-named respondents). The complaint and notice of investigation were amended to add allegations of misappropriation of trade secrets. 78 FR 71643 (Nov. 29, 2013). The allegations of patent infringement have been withdrawn from the investigation. *See* Notice (Dec. 13, 2013) (withdrawal of two asserted patents); Notice (Dec. 1, 2014) (withdrawal of the remaining two asserted patents). The only remaining issues are Dow’s claims based on trade secret misappropriation and sanctions for discovery abuse.

On May 19, 2014, Dow filed a motion for default and other sanctions against Organik Kimya for discovery abuse. On May 21, 2014, Organik Kimya filed a motion to terminate based upon a consent order stipulation. On July 8–9, 2014, the ALJ conducted a hearing on the pending motions. On October 20, 2014, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 27) (“the sanctions ID”) finding Organik Kimya in default, under Commission Rule 210.42(c), and ordering monetary sanctions jointly and severally against Organik Kimya and its counsel. Organik Kimya is represented by Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP (“Finnegan”), a law firm in

Washington, DC, and by Ömür Yarsuvat, an attorney in Istanbul, Turkey. The ALJ denied Organik Kimya's motion to terminate the investigation based upon a consent order stipulation.

On October 28, 2014, Organik Kimya filed a petition for review of the sanctions ID. The same day, Finnegan and Yarsuvat filed separate motions before the Commission to intervene in the investigation for the purpose of contesting joint liability for the monetary sanction. Finnegan and Yarsuvat also filed provisional petitions for review of the sanctions ID. On November 10, 2014, Finnegan filed a motion for leave to file a reply in support of its motion to intervene, which Dow opposed.

On December 16, 2014, the Commission granted the motions to intervene and determined to review the sanctions ID. The Commission notice granting review solicited further briefing on two questions concerning sanctions and on remedy, the public interest, and bonding.

On December 30, 2014, the parties—Dow, Organik Kimya, Finnegan, and Yarsuvat—filed opening briefs in response to the Commission notice. (Organik Kimya filed two briefs.) On January 7, 2015, the parties filed replies. (Dow filed two replies.)

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ's sanctions ID, as well as the petitions to the Commission and their replies, and the briefs to the Commission and their replies, the Commission has determined to affirm the ID's finding of Organik Kimya in default. See 19 U.S.C. 1337(h); 19 CFR 210.16-17, 210.33. The Commission has determined that the appropriate remedy is the issuance of a limited exclusion order prohibiting, for twenty-five years, the entry of opaque polymers manufactured using any of the misappropriated trade secrets identified in Dow's Disclosure of Misappropriated Trade Secrets (Jan. 29, 2014) (listing trade secrets A–ZZ). The Commission has also determined to issue a cease and desist order prohibiting Organik Kimya U.S., Inc. from, *inter alia*, importing or selling opaque polymers manufactured using any of the aforementioned misappropriated trade secrets. The Commission has also determined that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(d) and (f), 19 U.S.C. 1337(d) & (f), do not preclude the issuance of the limited exclusion order or the cease and desist order. The Commission has determined that no bonding is required during the period of Presidential review, 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

The Commission has further determined to affirm the ALJ's

assessment and calculation of attorneys' fees and costs against Organik Kimya. The Commission has determined to affirm, with modification, the ALJ's determination that Finnegan be held jointly and severally liable with Organik Kimya for those sanctions. The Commission has determined to reverse the sanctions ID to the extent that it imposed joint and several liability on Mr. Yarsuvat. The Commission's reasoning in support of these determinations is provided in an accompanying Commission opinion. The investigation is terminated.

Commissioner Schmidlein dissents, for the reasons to be set forth in her separate opinion, as to the Commission's determination on sanctions for Organik Kimya's counsel. She otherwise joins the Commission's determination as to Organik Kimya's default, the Commission remedial orders to be issued, and the liability of Organik Kimya for fees and costs.

The Commission's limited exclusion order and opinion were delivered to the President and the United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 17, 2015.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2015-09444 Filed 4-21-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-887]

### Certain Crawler Cranes and Components Thereof; Commission's Final Determination; Issuance of a Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Order; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has found a violation of section 337 in this investigation and has (1) issued a limited exclusion order prohibiting importation of certain crawler cranes and components thereof

and (2) issued a cease and desist order directed to the domestic respondent.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Amanda Pitcher Fisherow, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on July 17, 2013, based on a complaint filed by Manitowoc Cranes, LLC of Manitowoc, Wisconsin ("Manitowoc"). 78 FR 42800-01 (July 17, 2013). The complaint alleges violations of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337") in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain crawler cranes and components thereof, by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,546,928 ("the '928 patent") and 7,967,158 ("the '158 patent"), and that an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complaint further alleges violations of subsection (a)(1)(A) of section 337 by reason of trade secret misappropriation, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States or to prevent the establishment of such an industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named Sany Heavy Industry Co., Ltd. of Changsha, China, and Sany America, Inc. of Peachtree City, Georgia (collectively, "Sany") as respondents. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") was also named as a party.

On July 11, 2014, the ALJ issued his final initial determination ("ID") finding a violation of section 337 with respect to claims 1, 2, 5, 8, and 23-26 of the '928 patent and misappropriation of