operations/committees/meeting\_materials/index.jsp?com=bic.

## The New York Independent System Operator, Inc. Operating Committee Meeting

May 14, 2015, 10 a.m.-4 p.m. (EST)

The above-referenced meeting will be via web conference and teleconference.

The above-referenced meeting is open to stakeholders.

Further information may be found at: <a href="http://www.nyiso.com/public/markets\_operations/committees/meeting\_materials/index.jsp?com=oc">http://www.nyiso.com/public/markets\_operations/committees/meeting\_materials/index.jsp?com=oc</a>.

### The New York Independent System Operator, Inc. Electric System Planning Working Group Meeting

July 7, 2015, 10 a.m.-4 p.m. (EST)

The above-referenced meeting will be via web conference and teleconference.

The above-referenced meeting is open to stakeholders.

Further information may be found at: <a href="http://www.nyiso.com/public/markets\_operations/services/planning/index.jsp">http://www.nyiso.com/public/markets\_operations/services/planning/index.jsp</a>.

### The New York Independent System Operator, Inc. Electric System Planning Working Group Meeting

July 30, 2015, 10 a.m.-4 p.m. (EST)

The above-referenced meeting will be via web conference and teleconference.

The above-referenced meeting is open to stakeholders.

Further information may be found at: <a href="http://www.nyiso.com/public/markets\_operations/services/planning/index.jsp">http://www.nyiso.com/public/markets\_operations/services/planning/index.jsp</a>.

### The New York Independent System Operator, Inc. Business Issues Committee Meeting

August 12, 2015, 10 a.m.-4 p.m. (EST)

The above-referenced meeting will be via web conference and teleconference.

The above-referenced meeting is open to stakeholders.

Further information may be found at: http://www.nyiso.com/public/markets\_operations/committees/meeting\_materials/index.jsp?com=bic.

# The New York Independent System Operator, Inc. Operating Committee Meeting

August 13, 2015, 10 a.m.-4 p.m. (EST)

The above-referenced meeting will be via web conference and teleconference.

The above-referenced meeting is open to stakeholders.

Further information may be found at: <a href="http://www.nyiso.com/public/markets\_operations/committees/meeting\_materials/index.jsp?com=oc">http://www.nyiso.com/public/markets\_operations/committees/meeting\_materials/index.jsp?com=oc</a>.

### The New York Independent System Operator, Inc. Management Committee Meeting

August 26, 2015, 10 a.m.-4 p.m. (EST)

The above-referenced meeting will be via web conference and teleconference.

The above-referenced meeting is open to stakeholders.

Further information may be found at: <a href="http://www.nyiso.com/public/markets\_operations/committees/meeting\_materials/index.jsp?com=mc">http://www.nyiso.com/public/markets\_operations/committees/meeting\_materials/index.jsp?com=mc</a>.

The discussions at the meeting described above may address matters at issue in the following proceedings: Docket Nos. ER13–102, ER13–1942,

ER13–1946, New York Independent System Operator, Inc. and New York Transmission Owners

Docket No. ER13–1926, PJM Transmission Owners

Docket Nos. ER13–1947, ER13–198, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Docket Nos. ER13–1957, ER13–193, ER13–196, ISO New England Inc.

Docket No. ER13–1960, ISO New England Inc., Participating Transmission Owners Administrative Committee, and New England Power Pool Participants Committee

For more information, contact James Eason, Office of Energy Market Regulation, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission at (202) 502–8622 or James. Eason@ferc.gov.

Dated: April 30, 2015.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-10577 Filed 5-5-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER15-1579-000]

### 67RK 8me LLC; Supplemental Notice that Initial Market-Based Rate Filing Includes Request for Blanket Section 204 Authorization

This is a supplemental notice in the above-referenced proceeding, of 67RK 8me LLC's application for market-based rate authority, with an accompanying rate schedule, noting that such application includes a request for blanket authorization, under 18 CFR part 34, of future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing protests with regard to the applicant's request for blanket authorization, under 18 CFR part 34, of future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability is May 19, 2015.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at http://www.ferc.gov. To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 5 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above-referenced proceeding(s) are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov. or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call  $(202)\ 502-8659.$ 

Dated: April 29, 2015.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-10560 Filed 5-5-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP15-61-000]

# Northern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Withdrawal of Staff Protest to Proposed Blanket Certificate Activity

Commission staff (Protestor) hereby withdraws its Protest to the Proposed Blanket Certificate Activity filed in the above-referenced proceeding on March 31, 2015.

In its prior notice request filed on January 20, 2015 (in Docket No. CP15-61-000) and noticed on January 30, 2015,1 Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) proposed to construct and abandon facilities in Clark and Codington Counties, South Dakota. Protestor protested the prior notice because the Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate of the Lake Traverse Reservation indicated that it would be necessary to conduct a Traditional Cultural Properties (TCP) survey to ensure that no TCPs would be affected by construction. Northern had not provided the results of the TCP survey and/or updated communication with the tribe to ensure the project's compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as required under Appendix II to Subpart F of Part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

Subsequent to the filing of the protest, Northern submitted communication from the Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate of the Lake Traverse Reservation that stated the project would have no effect on historic resources, and revised alignment sheets to show the revised workspace to avoid the TCP site. Thus, Protestor's environmental concern has been satisfied. Accordingly, Protestor hereby withdraws its Protest to the Proposed Blanket Certificate Activity filed in the instant docket on March 31, 2015.

Dated: April 30, 2015.

#### Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–10569 Filed 5–5–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL14-37-000]

# PJM Interconnection, LLC; Notice Inviting Post-Technical Conference Comments

On January 7, 2015, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) staff conducted a technical conference to evaluate whether: (1) PJM Interconnection, LLC's (PJM) Financial Transmission Rights (FTR) forfeiture rules as they apply to virtual transactions, including Up-to Congestion (UTC) transactions and INC/DEC transactions, are just and reasonable; and (2) PJM's current uplift allocation rules associated with UTC

transactions and INCs/DECs are just and reasonable.

All interested persons are invited to file post-technical conference comments on any or all of the questions listed in the attachment to this Notice. These comments must be filed with the Commission no later than 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on May 29, 2015.

For more information about this Notice, please contact:

Carmen Gastilo Machuga (Legal Information), Office of the General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502– 8657, carmen.gastilo@ferc.gov.

Elizabeth Topping (Technical Information), Office of Energy Policy and Innovation, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426 (202) 502–6731, elizabeth.topping@ferc.gov.

Cathleen Colbert (Technical Information), Office of Enforcement, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502– 8997, cathleen.colbert@ferc.gov.

Dated: April 29, 2015.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

### **Post-Technical Conference Questions** for Comment

In addition to any further responses to the questions posed in the Commission Staff's December 10, 2014 Supplemental Notice of Technical Conference,<sup>1</sup> Commission Staff seeks responses to the following questions. Parties submitting comments need not respond to each question.

#### (1) FTR Forfeiture Rule

(a) When calculating the contribution a virtual transaction (INC, DEC, or UTC) has to power flowing across a given constraint, how should the injection/withdrawal points for the virtual transaction be identified? Should the defined "worst case" node be limited to the market participant's own transactions? Additionally, should the impact threshold(s) used for triggering the forfeiture rule remain at 75 percent regardless of the injection/withdrawal points identified? Why or why not?

(b) As an alternative to the current approach of assessing one virtual transaction at a time, should the FTR forfeiture rule collectively assess the net impact of a market participant's entire portfolio of INCs, DECs, and UTCs? Should it assess the net impact of all virtual transactions that clear the market? In addition to virtual transactions, should a market participant's portfolio of physical transactions be considered? Why or why not? If a portfolio approach were adopted, should the impact threshold(s) continue to be 75 percent, as used in the past, or is a different threshold(s) more appropriate? How could a portfolio approach be implemented?

'(c) Should counter-flow FTRs and bids that relieve congestion remain exempt from FTR forfeiture rule calculations? Should financial transactions that improve day-ahead and real-time market price convergence be exempt from the forfeiture rule? Why or why not? How, if at all, would these exemptions differ when assessing the impact of a market participant's portfolio as opposed to one INC, DEC, or UTC at a time? Are there any other currently exempt financial transactions that should be subject to FTR forfeiture calculations?

(d) Should the application of the forfeiture rule to INCs, DECs and UTCs be revised in ways not addressed by these questions, and if so, describe in detail the proposed revision and justification for the change.

(e) If you believe that changes to the current FTR Forfeiture Rule provisions of PJM's tariff are necessary, propose appropriate tariff language that you believe addresses your concern.

### (2) Uplift

(a) Should UTCs be assessed uplift? Explain why or why not. If so, how, if at all, should this allocation differ from the allocation to individual INCs and DECs and "paired" INCs and DECs? Should INCs and DECs continue to be required to pay uplift charges? What effect does imposing these charges have on the ability of virtual traders to arbitrage day-ahead and real-time price differences?

(b) Do UTCs impact unit commitment decisions? If so, how? Several views were expressed during the conference. For example, one panelist cited PJM documentation stating that UTCs are not included in commitment decisions.<sup>2</sup> Other panelists expressed the view that both "paired" INCs and DECs and UTC's impact unit commitment.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Notice of the request was published in the **Federal Register** on February 5, 2015 (80 Fed. Reg. 6.512)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Supplemental Notice of Technical Conference, Docket No. EL14– 37–000 (December 10, 2014). http://elibrary.ferc.gov /idmws/common/opennat.asp?fileID=13707421.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  January 7, 2015 Presentation of Wesley Allen, "Incremental Offers, Decrement Bids & Up To Congestion." at pp 4–5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> January 7, 2015 Technical Conference on Financial Transactions in PJM, Transcript 240:15– 241:4 (Adam Keech); Id. at 242: 14–16 (Joseph Bowring).