8132 of the FECA (5 U.S.C. 8132) provides that the employee “shall
refund to the United States that amount of compensation paid by the United
States . . . .” To enforce the United States’ statutory right of reimbursement,
the Office of Workers’ Compensation Programs (OWCP) has promulgated
regulations. The regulations require a FECA beneficiary to report these types
of payments (20 CFR 10.710) and submit the detailed information necessary
to calculate the amount of the refund and surplus, if any, according to the formula
in the statute (20 CFR 10.707(e)).

The information collected by Form CA–1108 and Form CA–1122 from the
FECA beneficiary includes this information and is necessary to
calculate the amount of the refund and surplus owed to the United States from
the FECA beneficiary’s settlement or judgment, as required in the statute and
the regulations. This information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Time to complete (minutes)</th>
<th>Frequency of response</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Number of responses</th>
<th>Hours burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA–1108 Business Respondent</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA–1122 Individual Respondent</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Respondents:** 842.
**Total Annual Responses:** 842.

**Estimated Total Burden Hours:** 419.
**Frequency:** As needed.
**Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):** $0.
**Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):** $219.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or
included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the
information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

**Dated:** May 5, 2015.

**Yoon Ferguson,**
Agency Clearance Officer, Office of Workers’ Compensation Programs, U.S. Department of Labor.

**NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION**


**AGENCY:** National Capital Planning Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of 60-day public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The National Capital Planning Commission (NCPC), the Planning Commission for the Federal Government within the National Capital Region, intends to release for public comment a draft new Federal Urban Design Element of the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements. The Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements addresses matters relating to Federal Properties and Federal Interests in the National Capital Region, and provides a decision-making framework for actions the NCPC takes on specific plans and proposals submitted by Federal government agencies for the NCPC review required by law. The new Federal Urban Design Element provides policies that will guide the design and management of federal buildings and properties so as to enhance their adjacent public realm. It will also provide a framework for federal actions related to enhancing the overall character of the District of Columbia and the National Capital Region. All interested parties are invited to submit written comment. The draft Federal Urban Design Element will be available online at http://www.ncpc.gov/urbandesign not later than May 8, 2015. Printed copies are available upon request from the contact person noted below.

**DATES: Dates and Time:** The public comment period closes on July 10, 2015. A public meeting to discuss the draft revisions to the new Federal Urban Design Element will be held on Monday June 1, 2015 from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail written comments or hand deliver comments on the draft revisions to Comprehensive Plan Public Comment, National Capital Planning Commission, 401 9th Street NW., Suite 500, Washington, DC 20004. The public meeting will be held at AIAJDC 421 7th Street NW., Washington, DC 20004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dereth Bush at (202) 482–7233 or urbandesign@ncpc.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**
Notice of Intent To Seek Approval To Establish an Information Collection

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is announcing plans to request approval of this collection. In accordance with the requirement of section 3501(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we are providing opportunity for public comment on this action. After obtaining and considering public comment, NSF will prepare the submission requesting OMB clearance of this collection for no longer than 3 years.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding the burden or any other aspect of this collection of information requirements by July 10, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding the information collection and requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1265, Arlington, Virginia 22230, or by email to splimpto@nsf.gov.

Comments: Written comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; or (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Suzanne H. Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1265, Arlington, Virginia 22230; telephone (703) 292–7556; or send email to splimpto@nsf.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339, which is accessible 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year (including federal holidays).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Evaluation of the Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Talent Expansion Program (STEP)

OMB Approval Number: 3145—NEW

Expiration Date: Not applicable.

Overview of this information collection: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is supporting an evaluation of the Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Talent Expansion Program (STEP). The primary objectives of the evaluation, stated generally, are to (a) analyze STEP implementation and outcome data from the participating institutions of higher education (IHEs), (b) compare these data to baseline data from the IHEs and matched comparison IHEs, and (c) produce a clear report of the findings to inform future programmatic activities focused on degree attainment in STEM. The evaluation will include surveys of principal investigators; extant data retrieval from Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), grantee proposals and annual reports, and STEP monitoring system; and extant outcome data collection from grantee and comparison IHEs that includes aggregate data for key indicators over time (from 2000 to 2015). These key indicators include (a) number of students who are science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) majors; (b) STEM retention rates; (c) persistence to a STEM degree; (d) number of STEM major transfers from 2-year associate programs into 4-year baccalaureate programs; (e) associate and baccalaureate degree attainment among STEM majors; and (f) enrollment in STEM courses. Additionally, in a subset of 10 IHEs, de-identified student level outcomes for participating students and comparison student counterparts will be collected (see Graduate 10K+ grants below).

NSF granted STEP awards to a geographically diverse set of two- and four-year IHEs, with the first round of grant awards beginning in the 2002–2003 school year and new awards granted each year through the 2013–2014 school year. Over the course of the program, STEP awarded a total of 255 grants (129 of which are currently active). STEP supported 3 types of grants:

- Type 1—Type 1 grants supported the implementation of best practices in recruitment, retention, and degree attainment that would lead to an increase in the number of students obtaining associate or baccalaureate degrees in STEM or completing credits to transfer from associate to baccalaureate programs in a STEM discipline. Specific strategies implemented were based on an analysis of the needs of the undergraduate institution of higher education (IHE).

- Type 2—Type 2 grants supported educational research projects that helped identify best practices and further understanding of the factors influencing STEM recruitment, retention, and degree attainment.

- Graduate 10K+—In support of President Obama’s 2012 initiative calling for “one million STEM graduates in ten years,” a public-private collaboration among NSF, Intel, and the GE Foundation, with a generous personal donation from Mark Gallogly, established the Graduate 10K+ special funding focus in FY2013. Graduate 10K+ projects strived to improve first and second year retention rates in engineering and computer science, especially among women and other groups of students who are underrepresented in the attainment of degrees in those disciplines.

NSF is committed to providing stakeholders with information regarding the expenditures of taxpayer funds. The evaluation of STEP will assess the overall effect of STEP funding across STEP-funded IHEs; explore the types and combinations of STEP strategies, practices, and characteristics that are most effective at achieving the desired STEP outcomes; examine differences in outcomes across targeted disciplines; assess the effects of Graduate 10K+ funding on first- and second-year retention rates in engineering and computer science; and investigate the broad influence of STEP Type 2 projects to the base of quality, practical research in STEM education and in preparing new researchers to enter the field.

If NSF cannot collect information from STEP participants and comparison IHEs, NSF will have no other means to consistently assess the program outcomes and identify strategies, practices, and characteristics that are most effective at achieving those desired outcomes.