request and solicits public comment on the collection of information.

DATES: Comments must be submitted by June 10, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, via electronic mail at OIRA.DOCKET@omb.eop.gov or by fax to (202) 395–6974.

A copy of the request (including the collection of information) will be posted at http://www.pbgc.gov/prac/laws-and-regulations/information-collections-under-omb-review.html. It may also be obtained without charge by writing to the Disclosure Division of the Office of the General Counsel of PBGC, at the above address, visiting the Disclosure Division, faxing a request to 202–326–4040, or calling 202–326–4024 during normal business hours. (TTY and TDD users may call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4040.) The Disclosure Division will email, fax, or mail the request to you, at your request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Grace Kraemer, Attorney, or Catherine B. Klon, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20005–4026; 202–326–4024. (TTY and TDD users may call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4040.) The Disclosure Division will email, fax, or mail the request to you, at your request.

SUMMARY:
PBGC is requesting that OMB approve the collection of information on 4010 reporting requirements. PBGC estimates that approximately 300 controlled groups will be subject to 4010 reporting requirements. PBGC further estimates that the total annual burden of this collection of information will be 2,620 hours and $5,088,000.

ACTION: Notice.

PBGC is soliciting public comments to:

• Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
• Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodologies and assumptions used;
• Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
• Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 6th day of May, 2015.

Judith Starr,
General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
[Docket No. CP2015–62; Order No. 2470]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning an addition to Global Expedited Package Services 3 negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: May 13, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
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I. Introduction

On May 5, 2015, the Postal Service filed notice that it has entered into an additional Global Expedited Package Services 3 (GEPS 3) negotiated service agreement (Agreement).

To support its Notice, the Postal Service filed a copy of the Agreement, a copy of the Governors’Decision authorizing the product, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also filed supporting financial workpapers.

II. Notice of Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2015–62 for consideration of matters raised by the Notice.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service’s filing is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than May 13, 2015. The public portions of the filing can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site (http://www.prc.gov). The Commission appoints Cassie D’Souza to serve as Public Representative in this docket.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:


2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Cassie D’Souza is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding (Public Representative).

3. Comments are due no later than May 13, 2015.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–11330 Filed 5–8–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHXLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Exchange Rules To Describe How All-Or-None Orders Are Handled by Its New Options Floor Broker Management System

May 5, 2015.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)\(^1\) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,\(^2\) notice is hereby given that on April 22, 2015, NASDAQ OMX PHXLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Exchange rules to describe how All-Or-None (“AON”) orders are handled by its new Options Floor Broker Management System (“FBMS”). The text of the proposed rule change is below; proposed new language is italicized; proposed deletions are in brackets.

A–9 All-or-None Option Orders

An all-or-none option order is a limit order which is to be executed in its entirety, or not at all. Unlike a fill-or-kill order, an all-or-none order is not cancelled if it is not executed as soon as it is represented in the trading crowd. An all-or-none order has no standing respecting executions in the crowd except with respect to other all-or-none orders.

When represented in the crowd, [A]ll-or-none orders are not included as part of the bid or offer. [However, an all-or-none order entrusted to a Specialist should be disclosed to the trading crowd if such order falls within or upon the bid or offer for the particular option series.

For example, if the market in XYZ Oct 30 calls is 4–4.25, 10x15, and there is an all-or-none order on the Specialist’s book to sell 10 XYZ Oct 30 calls at 4.25 all-or-none, the Specialist, in response to a request for the market in XYZ Oct 30 calls, should respond:

“The market is 4–4.25, 10×15, 10 (to sell) at 4.25 all-or-none.”

Accordingly, under this policy, all-or-none option orders should be announced to the trading crowd as part of the quoted market, but not as part of the bid or offer.] When entered electronically pursuant to Rule 1080 or into Options Floor Broker Management System pursuant to Rule 1063, an all-or-none order has standing and is eligible for execution in time priority with all other customer orders and all-or-none professional orders (as specified in Rule 1000(b)(14)) at that price if the all-or-none contingency can be met.

FINE SCHEDULE

Fine not applicable

* * * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Background

Today, the Exchange is operating two versions of FBMS as part of an implementation period for the new FBMS. The old FBMS enabled Floor Brokers and/or their employees to enter, route, and report transactions stemming from options orders executed manually (verbally) in open outcry on the Exchange. It also established an electronic audit trail for options orders represented by Floor Brokers on the Exchange. Floor Brokers can use old FBMS to submit orders to the PHXLX XL II System (“System”) pursuant to Rule 1063, rather than executing the orders in the trading crowd.

With the new FBMS, all options transactions on the Exchange involving at least one Floor Broker can continue to be represented in open outcry in the trading crowd but are now required to be executed by and through the new FBMS. In connection with order execution, the Exchange allows FBMS to execute two-sided orders entered by Floor Brokers, including multi-leg orders up to 15 legs, after the Floor Broker has represented the orders in the trading crowd. FBMS also provides Floor Brokers with an enhanced functionality called the complex calculator that calculates and displays a suggested price of each individual component of a multi-leg order, up to 15 legs, submitted on a net debit or credit basis. The Exchange deployed the new FBMS in March 2014. Despite the initial intent to phase out the old FBMS after an implementation period involving the old and new FBMS operating concurrently, the Exchange has determined to operate the old FBMS until November 3, 2015 and is planning to implement a new, third FBMS, the details of which will be filed as a proposed rule change.\(^3\) In the event that the Floor Broker is utilizing the new FBMS and the new FBMS malfunctions or is otherwise not available after a Floor Broker has entered an order, the Floor Broker can re-enter that order into the old FBMS.

Proposal

The purpose of the proposal is to address the way AON orders on the book are handled electronically by the new FBMS.\(^4\) In its filing for approval of the new FBMS, the Exchange addressed AON orders merely by referring to Advice A–9, which provides, in pertinent part, that an AON option order is a limit order which is to be executed in its entirety, or not at all.\(^5\) Advice A–9 further provides that an AON order has no standing in the crowd except with respect to other AON orders. Accordingly, when a Floor Broker using

---


---


\(^4\) Only customers and professionals can submit AON orders. See Rules 1000(b)(14) and Rule 1080(b).

\(^5\) See also Rule 1066(c)(4).