

incorrectly represents that the only “incident result” was that she “voluntarily took [a continuing medical education] course on prescribing controlled substances from Vanderbilt University.” Gov’t Ex. 2, at 1–2. The Respondent’s explanation omits any reference to the multiple incidents where she “repeatedly” prescribed controlled substances to “numerous patients,” that she was assessed a \$2,500.00 civil penalty, or that she received a five-year period of license probation with significant limitations, and reporting, monitoring, and notice requirements imposed as conditions of her probation. Gov’t Ex. 9, at 2–6. Even beyond the issue that the Respondent did not accept responsibility for these actions, as discussed, *supra*, the “explanation” she included with her application lacked candor.¹⁴⁹

Based on the present record, this applicant simply cannot be entrusted by DEA with a registration, and, for that reason, it is recommended that her application be **DENIED**.

Dated: June 3, 2014.

John J. Mulrooney, II,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 2015–12135 Filed 5–19–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Notice of Charter Reestablishment

In accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Title 5, United States Code, Appendix, and Title 41, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 101–6.1015, with the concurrence of the Attorney General, I have determined that the reestablishment of the Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Advisory Policy Board (APB) is in the public interest. In connection with the performance of duties imposed upon the FBI by law, I hereby give notice of the reestablishment of the APB Charter.

The APB provides me with general policy recommendations with respect to the philosophy, concept, and operational principles of the various criminal justice information systems managed by the FBI’s CJIS Division.

The APB includes representatives from local and state criminal justice agencies; tribal law enforcement representatives; members of the judicial,

prosecutorial, and correctional sectors of the criminal justice community, as well as one individual representing a national security agency; a representative of the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact Council; a representative of federal agencies participating in the CJIS Division Systems; and representatives of criminal justice professional associations (*i.e.*, the American Probation and Parole Association; American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors; International Association of Chiefs of Police; National District Attorneys Association; National Sheriffs’ Association; Major Cities Chiefs Association; Major County Sheriffs’ Association; and a representative from a national professional association representing the courts or court administrators nominated by the Conference of Chief Justices). The Attorney General has granted me the authority to appoint all members to the APB.

The APB functions solely as an advisory body in compliance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The Charter has been filed in accordance with the provisions of the Act.

Dated: May 11, 2015.

James B. Comey,
Director.

[FR Doc. 2015–12200 Filed 5–19–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

On May 14, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Central District of California in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Anaplex Corporation*, Civil Action No. 2:15–CV–3615.

The United States filed this lawsuit under the Clean Water Act and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. The United States’ complaint seeks injunctive relief and civil penalties for violations of regulations that govern discharges of pollutants to a publicly owned treatment works and the storage, disposal, and management of hazardous wastes at Anaplex’s electroplating facility in Paramount, California. The consent decree requires the defendant to undertake a rinsewater use evaluation, implement ongoing pollution monitoring, report on hazardous waste

handling measures, and pay a \$142,200 civil penalty.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Anaplex Corporation*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–1–10454. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

| <i>To submit comments:</i> | <i>Send them to:</i> |
|----------------------------|---|
| By email | <i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i> |
| By mail | Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ–ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611. |

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ–ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$13.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Henry Friedman,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2015–12115 Filed 5–19–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–CW–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of Labor-Management Standards

Information Collection Request; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

¹⁴⁹ See *George R. Smith, M.D.*, 78 FR 44972, 44979–80 (2013); *Glenn D. Krieger, M.D.*, 76 FR 20020, 20024 (2011); *David A. Hoxie, M.D.*, 69 FR 51477, 51479 (2004); *Maxicare Pharmacy*, 61 FR 27368, 27369 (1996).