requirements. Current and Projected readiness (training, equipping and manning) Requirements from the Defense Planning Guidance Army Readiness levels, Roles that assigned forces contribute to the National Defense Strategy including Executive Agency Missions Issues concerning force generation and the mobilization of National Guard and Reserve units to meet Combatant Commander requirements, Total Force Policy, multi component initiatives, operational challenges, readiness levels, and deficiencies

Speakers include, but are not limited to, the Commander of Army Forces Command, Commander of the Army Reserve, Commander of 1st Army; and the Commander Army Special Operations. All presentations and resulting discussion are classified.

June 10, 2015—Open Hearing: The Commission will hear verbal comments from Public, not to exceed five minutes and immediately afterwards the Commission will discuss topics raised during the public comments session.

June 10, 2015—Closed Hearing: The Commission will hear testimony on classified topics including force requirements from the Defense Planning Guidance, Contingency Plans, Defense Support to Civil Authorities, and Homeland Defense Implementing and Total Force Policy, including multi component initiatives. Speakers include The Adjutant General of North Carolina Meeting Accessibility:

In accordance with applicable law, 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), and 41 CFR 102–3.155, the DoD has determined that the portion of the meetings scheduled for Tuesday, June 9, 2015, from 7:30 a.m. to 11:30 p.m. and Wednesday, June 10, 2015 from 12:00 p.m. to 1:00 p.m. will be closed to the public. Specifically, the Assistant Deputy Chief Management Officer, with the coordination of the DoD FACA Attorney, has determined in writing that this portion of the meeting will be closed to the public because it will discuss matters covered by 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1). Pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.140 through 102–3.165 and the availability of space, the meeting scheduled for June 10, 2015 from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m.at the Embassy Suites Hotel is open to the public. Seating is limited and pre-registration is strongly encouraged. Media representatives are also encouraged to register. Members of the media must comply with the rules of photography and video filming in the Embassy Suites Hotel. The closest public parking facility is located on the Embassy Suites property and along the streets. Visitors should keep their belongings with them at all times. The following items are strictly prohibited: any pointed object, e.g., knitting needles and letter openers (pens and pencils are permitted); any bag larger than 18” wide x 14” high x 8.5” deep; electric stun guns, martial arts weapons or devices; guns, replica guns, ammunition and fireworks; knives of any size; mace and pepper spray; razors and box cutters.

Written Comments:

Pursuant to section 10(a)(3) of the FACA and 41 CFR 102–3.105(j) and 102–3.140, the public or interested organizations may submit written comments to the Commission in response to the stated agenda of the open and/or closed meeting or the Commission’s mission. The Designated Federal Officer (DFO) will review all submitted written statements. Written comments should be submitted to Mr. Donald Tison, DFO, via facsimile or electronic mail, the preferred modes of submission. Each page of the comment must include the author’s name, title or affiliation, address, and daytime phone number. All comments received before Tuesday, June 2, 2015, will be provided to the Commission before the June 9, 2015, meeting. Comments received after Tuesday, June 2, 2015, will be provided to the Commission before its next meeting. All contact information may be found in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

Oral Comments:

In addition to written statements, one and one half hours will be reserved for individuals or interest groups to address the Commission on June 10, 2015. Those interested in presenting oral comments to the Commission must summarize their oral statement in writing and submit with their registration. The Commission’s staff will assign time to oral commenters at the meeting, for no more than five minutes each. While requests to make an oral presentation to the Commission will be honored on a first come, first served basis, other opportunities for oral comments will be provided at future meetings.

Registration: Individuals and entities who wish to attend the public hearing and meeting on Wednesday, June 10, 2015 are encouraged to register for the event with the Designated Federal Officer using the electronic mail and facsimile contact information found in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section. The communication should include the registrant’s full name, title, affiliation or employer, email address, day time phone number. This information will assist the Commission in contacting individuals should it decide to do so at a later date. If applicable, include written comments and a request to speak during the oral comment session. (Oral comment requests must be accompanied by a summary of your presentation.) Registrations and written comments should be typed.

Additional Information

The DoD sponsor for the Commission is the Deputy Chief Management Officer. The Commission is tasked to submit a report, containing a comprehensive study and recommendations, by February 1, 2016 to the President of the United States and the Congressional defense committees. The report will contain a detailed statement of the findings and conclusions of the Commission, together with its recommendations for such legislation and administrative actions it may consider appropriate in light of the results of the study. The comprehensive study of the structure of the Army will determine whether, and how, the structure should be modified to best fulfill current and anticipated mission requirements for the Army in a manner consistent with available resources.

Dated: May 19, 2015.

Aaron Siegel,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2015–12564 Filed 5–22–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Proposals by Non-Federal Interests for Feasibility Studies and for Modifications to an Authorized Water Resources Development Project, or Feasibility Study for Inclusion in the Annual Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Section 7001 of Water Resources Reform and Development Act (WRRDA) 2014 requires that the Secretary of the Army annually submit to the Congress a report that identifies feasibility reports, proposed feasibility studies submitted by non-Federal interests, and proposed modifications to an authorized water resources development project or feasibility study that meet certain criteria. The report is to be based, in part, upon an annual request for proposals by non-Federal interests.
DATES: Proposals must be submitted online by September 23, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Submit proposals online at: http://www.usace.army.mil/Missions/CivilWorks/ProjectPlanning/WRRDA7001Proposals.aspx. If a different method of submission is required, use the further information contact information to arrange an alternative submission process.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Send an email to the help desk at WRRDA7001Proposal@usace.army.mil or call Lisa Kiefel, Planning Community WRRDA7001Proposal@usace.army.mil of Practice, Headquarters, USACE, Washington, DC at 202–761–0626.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 7001 of WRRDA 2014 requires the publication of a notice in the Federal Register to request proposals by non-Federal interests for feasibility studies and modifications to an authorized USACE water resources development project or feasibility study. Project feasibility reports that have successfully completed Executive Branch review, but have not been authorized will be included in the report table by the Secretary of the Army and these proposals do not need to be submitted in response to this notice.

Proposals by non-Federal interests must be entered online and require the following information:

1. The name of all non-Federal interests planning to act as the sponsor, including any non-Federal interest that has contributed to or is expected to contribute toward the non-Federal share of the proposed feasibility study or modification.
2. State if this proposal is for a feasibility study or a modification to an authorized USACE water resources development project or feasibility study and, if a modification, specify the authorized water resources development project or study that is proposed for modification.
3. State the specific project purpose(s) of the proposed study or modification.
4. Provide an estimate, to the extent practicable, of the total cost, and the Federal and non-Federal share of those costs, of the proposed study and, separately, an estimate of the cost of construction or modification.
5. Describe, to the extent applicable and practicable, an estimate of the anticipated monetary and nonmonetary benefits of the proposal with regard to benefits to the protection of human life and property; improvement to transportation; the national economy; the environment; or the national security interests of the United States.
6. Describe if local support exists for the proposal.
7. State if the non-Federal interest has the financial ability to provide for the required cost share, reference ER 1105–2–100.
8. Upload a letter or statement of support from each associated non-Federal interest.

All provided information may be included in the Annual Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development. Therefore, please do not include information that is Confidential Business Information, information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, or other information that you would not want to appear in the annual report.

Process: Proposals received within the time frame set forth in this notice will be reviewed by the Chief of Engineers and Secretary of the Army and will be presented in one of two tables. The first table will be in the report itself, and the second table will be in an appendix. To be included in the report table, the proposals must meet the following criteria:

1. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
2. Involve a proposed or existing USACE water resources project or effort whose primary purpose is flood and storm damage reduction, commercial navigation, or aquatic ecosystem restoration. Following long-standing USACE practice, related proposals such as for recreation, hydropower, or water supply, are eligible for inclusion if undertaken in conjunction with such a project or effort.
3. Require specific congressional authorization, including by an Act of Congress;
4. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
5. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
6. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
7. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
8. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
9. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
10. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
11. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
12. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
13. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
14. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
15. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
16. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
17. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
18. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
19. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
20. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
21. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
22. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
23. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
24. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
25. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
26. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
27. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
28. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
29. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
30. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
31. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
32. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
33. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
34. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
35. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
36. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
37. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
38. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
39. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
40. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
41. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
42. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
43. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
44. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
45. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
46. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
47. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
48. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
49. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
50. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
51. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
52. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
53. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
54. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
55. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
56. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
57. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
58. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
59. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
60. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
61. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
62. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
63. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
64. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
65. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
66. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
67. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
68. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
69. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
70. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
71. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
72. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
73. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
74. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
75. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
76. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
77. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
78. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
79. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
80. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
81. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
82. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
83. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
84. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
85. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
86. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
87. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
88. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
89. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
90. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
91. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
92. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
93. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
94. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
95. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
96. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
97. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
98. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
99. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE;
100. Are related to the missions and authorities of the USACE.

For feasibility reports or ongoing feasibility studies, there is a signed Chief’s Report that has been transmitted to Congress. For non-Federal feasibility reports submitted, or to be submitted, under Section 203 of WRDA 1986, as amended, the report has been transmitted to Congress; and for modifications to authorized projects, a current decision document that has been transmitted to Congress.

b. In cases seeking new construction authorization, the Secretary of the Army will make clear that construction on any project included in the main report cannot proceed until: And

• For feasibility reports or ongoing feasibility studies, there is a signed Chief’s Report that has been transmitted to Congress.
• For non-Federal feasibility reports submitted, or to be submitted, under Section 203 of WRDA 1986, as amended, the report has been transmitted to Congress;
• For modifications to authorized projects, a current decision document that has been transmitted to Congress.
• Have not been congressionally authorized;
• Have not been included in the report table of any previous Annual Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development; and
• If the proposal was included in the report table in a previous Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development, then the proposal is not eligible to be included in the report table. If a proposal was previously included in an appendix it may be re-submitted.
• If authorized, could be carried out by the USACE.

Whether following the USACE Chief’s Report process, or Section 7001 of WRRDA 2014, a proposal for a project or a project modification would need a current decision document to provide updated information on the scope of the potential project and demonstrate a clear Federal interest. This
determination would include an assessment of whether the proposal is:

—Technically sound, economically viable and environmentally acceptable.

—Compliant with environmental and other laws including but not limited to National Environmental Policy Act, Endangered Species Act, Coastal Zone Management Act, and the National Historic Preservation Act.

—Compliant with statutes related to Water Resources Development including but not limited to the various water resources provisions related to the authorized cost of projects, level of detail, separable elements, fish and wildlife mitigation, project justification, matters to be addressed in planning, and the 1958 Water Supply Act.

—Feasibility study proposals submitted by non-Federal interests if authorized, are for the study only. Once a decision document is completed in accordance with Executive Branch policies and procedures, the Secretary will determine what projects to recommend for authorization.

—Section 902 of WRDA 1986 established a process for reauthorizing USACE projects. A post authorization report is required to be completed to support an increase to the 902 limit. Authority to undertake a 902 study is inherent in the project authority, so no authority is required to proceed with the study. The post authorization change report is the basis for the Administration to seek reauthorization to increase the 902 limit.

The Secretary shall include in the Annual Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development a certification stating that each feasibility report, proposed feasibility study, and proposed modification to an authorized water resources development project or feasibility study included in the annual report meets the criteria established in Section 7001 of WRDA 2014.

Please contact the appropriate division office or use the contact information above to assist with researching and identifying existing authorizations and existing USACE decision documents. Those proposals that do not meet the criteria will be included in an appendix table included in the Annual Report to Congress on Future Water Resources Development. Proposals in the appendix table will include a description of why those proposals did not meet the criteria.

Dated: May 18, 2015.

Steven L. Stockton,
Director of Civil Works.

BILLING CODE 3720–58–P

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

Sunshine Act Notice

AGENCY: Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (Board) published a document in the Federal Register on May 20, 2015, (80 FR 28988), concerning notice of a closed meeting where the Board Members will discuss issues dealing with potential Recommendations to the Secretary of Energy. That notice stated that the Board would convene the closed meeting at the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, 625 Indiana Avenue NW., Room 352, Washington, DC 20004. The Board wishes to correct that notice to indicate that the closed meeting will be in Room 425.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Welch, General Manager, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, 625 Indiana Avenue NW., Suite 700, Washington, Washington, DC 20004–2901, (800) 788–4016. This is a toll-free number.

Correction

In the Federal Register of May 20, 2015, in FR Doc. 2015–12391, on page 28988, under the ADDRESSES caption, first column, correct the statement to read:

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, 625 Indiana Avenue NW., Room 425, Washington, DC 20004.

Date: May 20, 2015.

Jessie H. Roberson,
Vice Chairman.

BILLING CODE 3670–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No.: ED–2015–ICCD–0068]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Application for New Grants Under the Comprehensive Centers Program

AGENCY: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education (OESE), Department of Education (ED).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 3501 et seq.), ED is proposing an extension of an existing information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before June 25, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov by selecting Docket ID number ED–2015–ICCID–0068 or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. If the regulations.gov site is not available to the public for any reason, ED will temporarily accept comments at ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Please note that comments submitted by fax or email and those submitted after the comment period will not be accepted; ED will ONLY accept comments during the comment period in this mailbox when the regulations.gov site is not available. Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Director of the Information Collection Clearance Division, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., LBJ, Mailstop L–OM–2–2E319, Room 2E115, Washington, DC 20202.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For specific questions related to collection activities, please contact Britt Jung, 202–205–4513.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Education (ED), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department’s information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the