INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–957]

Certain Touchscreen Controllers and Products Containing the Same Institution of investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 21, 2015, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Synaptics Incorporated of San Jose, California. Supplements were filed on May 7, 2015. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain touchscreen controllers and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,868,874 ("the '874 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 8,338,724 ("the '724 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 8,558,811 ("the '811 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 8,952,916 ("the '916 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complaint requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436; and the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–2560. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.


Scope Of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 19, 2015, ORDERED THAT—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain touchscreen controllers and products containing the same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, 15, 16–18, 20, 23, and 25 of the '874 patent; claims 1–3, 5, 8, 12, and 19–22 of the '724 patent; claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, 15, 16–18, 20, 23, and 25 of the '811 patent; and claims 1–3, 7, 9, 10, and 13–16 of the '916 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Synaptics Incorporated, 1251 McKay Drive, San Jose, CA 95131;

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Shenzhen Huiding Technology Co., Ltd., a/k/a, Shenzhen Goodix Technology Co., Ltd., Floor 2 and 13, Phase B, Tengfei Industrial Building, Futian Freetrade Zone, Shenzhen 518000, China.

Goodix Technology Inc., 6370 Lusk Boulevard, Suite F204, San Diego, CA 92121.

BLU Products, Inc., 10814 NW 33rd Street, No. 100, Doral, FL 33172.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be served upon the respondent.


Scope Of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 19, 2015, ORDERED THAT—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain touchscreen controllers and products containing the same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, 15, 16–18, 20, 23, and 25 of the '874 patent; claims 1–3, 5, 8, 12, and 19–22 of the '724 patent; claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, 15, 16–18, 20, 23, and 25 of the '811 patent; and claims 1–3, 7, 9, 10, and 13–16 of the '916 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Synaptics Incorporated, 1251 McKay Drive, San Jose, CA 95131;

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Shenzhen Huiding Technology Co., Ltd., a/k/a, Shenzhen Goodix Technology Co., Ltd., Floor 2 and 13, Phase B, Tengfei Industrial Building, Futian Freetrade Zone, Shenzhen 518000, China.

Goodix Technology Inc., 6370 Lusk Boulevard, Suite F204, San Diego, CA 92121.

BLU Products, Inc., 10814 NW 33rd Street, No. 100, Doral, FL 33172.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be served upon the respondent.

The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Dated: May 20, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015–12630 Filed 5–22–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7202–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On May 14, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois in the lawsuit entitled United States v. Enviro-Safe Refrigerants, Inc., Civil Action No. 1:15–cv–1196.

The United States of America, on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), filed a claim against Defendant Enviro-Safe Refrigerants, Inc. ("Enviro-Safe") to obtain injunctive relief and civil penalties pursuant to Clean Air Act Sections 113 and 612, and the Significant New Alternatives Policy program regulations promulgated at 40 CFR part 81, subpart G §§ 82.170–82.184 (commonly known as the "SNAP" program). The United States alleged that Enviro-Safe had marketed and sold flammable hydrocarbon refrigerants as direct replacements for ozone-depleting substances without providing the
The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to United States v. Enviro-Safe Refrigerants, Inc., D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–11014. All comments must be submitted no later than 30 days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments: Send them to:
By email .......... pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.
By mail .......... Assistant Attorney General,
U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC
20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for $9.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the exhibits and signature pages, the cost is $6.25.

Randall M. Stone,
Acting Assistant Section Chief,
Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.

---

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed First Amendment To Consent Decree Under The Clean Water Act (“CWA”)

On May 19, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed First Amendment to Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, in the lawsuit entitled United States of America v. District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, et al., and the District of Columbia, Civil Action No. 1:00–cv–00183 (TFH).

The proposed First Amendment to Consent Decree, if approved, will amend and supersede the 2005 Clean Water Act Consent Decree in the same action. Under the 2005 Consent Decree, DC Water was required to implement its Long Term Control Plan (LTCP) which primarily consisted of the construction of a system of pumps and three underground storage tunnels to store excess flows pending treatment. The proposed Amendment provides for the incorporation of Green Infrastructure (GI) in the Potomac River and Rock Creek sewersheds, reduction of the size of the tunnel in the Potomac River, and construction of facilities at the Blue Plains wastewater treatment plant including a Tunnel Dewatering Pumping Station and an Enhanced Clarification Facility. Construction of the Anacostia tunnel has begun according to schedule and will not be affected by this proposed Amendment. The final compliance date of 2025 imposed in the 2005 Consent Decree would be extended to 2030.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed First Amendment to Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to United States of America v. District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, et al., and the District of Columbia, Civil Action No. 1:00–cv–00183 (TFH), D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–1–1–07137. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments: Send them to:
By email .......... pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.
By mail .......... Assistant Attorney General,
U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC
20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed First Amendment to Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed First Amendment to Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for $180.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the exhibits and signature pages, the cost is $13.00.

Robert Brook.
Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

---

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On May 19, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan in the lawsuit entitled United States and Michigan Department of Environmental Quality v. AK Steel Corporation, Civil Action No. 15–11804. The United States filed this lawsuit under the Clean Air Act (CAA), naming AK Steel Corporation as the defendant. The complaint seeks injunctive relief and civil penalties for violations of the environmental regulations that govern iron and steel mills and the emission of particulate matter from certain sources at defendant’s iron and steel mill in Dearborn, Wayne County, Michigan. The Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) joined the complaint as a co-plaintiff asserting the same claims under equivalent state laws and regulations. Under the proposed consent decree, AK Steel agrees to implement procedures to improve future compliance with the CAA and State regulations, and pay a total of $1,353,126 in civil penalties, to be divided equally between the United States and MDEQ. Under the proposed consent decree, AK Steel also agrees to fund the installation of air filtration systems at nearby public schools. In return, the United States and MDEQ agree not to sue the defendant under section 113 of the CAA related to its past violations.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to United States and MDEQ v. AK Steel Corp., D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–