Form Number: FCC Form 333.
Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.
Respondents: Business or other for-profit entities; State, local or Tribal Government.
Number of Respondents and Responses: 776 respondents and 776 responses.
Estimated Time per Response: 7 hours.
Frequency of Response: Annual reporting requirement.
Total Annual Burden: 5,432 hours.
Total Annual Cost: None.
Obligation to Respond: Mandatory.
Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: If individual respondents to this survey wish to request confidential treatment of any data provided in connection with this survey, they can do so upon written request, in accordance with Sections 0.457 and 0.459 of the Commission’s rules. To request confidential treatment of their data, respondents must describe the specific information they wish to protect and provide an explanation of why such confidential treatment is appropriate. If a respondent submits a request for confidentiality, the Commission will review it and make a determination.
Privacy Impact Assessment: No impact(s).
Needs and Uses: The Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992 (“Cable Act”) requires the Commission to publish annually a report on average rates for basic cable service, cable programming service, and equipment. The report must compare the prices charged by cable operators subject to effective competition and those that are not subject to effective competition. The Annual Cable Industry Price Survey is intended to collect the data needed to prepare that report. The data from these questions are needed to complete this report.
Federal Communications Commission.
Marlene H. Dortch,
Secretary, Office of the Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2015–12895 Filed 5–27–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreements Filed

The Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreements under the Shipping Act of 1984. Interested parties may submit comments on the agreements to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within twelve days of the date this notice appears in the Federal Register. Copies of the agreements are available through the Commission’s Web site (www.fmc.gov) or by contacting the Office of Agreements at (202) 523–5793 or tradeanalysis@fmc.gov.

Agreement No.: 011539–019.
Title: HLAG/NYK/MSC Vessel Sharing Agreement.
Parties: Companhia Libra de Navegacao; Compania Libra de Navegacion Uruguay S.A.; Hapag-Lloyd AG; Nippon Yusen Kaisha; and MSC Mediterranean Shipping Company SA.
Synopsis: The Amendment would add MSC as a party to the agreement and change the vessel provision and space allocation accordingly. It would also revise the duration of the agreement, restate the agreement, and make other corresponding changes.

Agreement No.: 012334.
Title: Hyundai Glovis/Hoegh Transpacific Westbound Space Charter Agreement.
Parties: Hoegh Autoliners AS and Hyundai Glovis Co. Ltd.
Filing Party: Wayne R. Rohde, Esq.; Cozen O’Connor; 1627 I Street NW.; Suite 1100; Washington, DC 20006–4007.
Synopsis: The agreement authorizes the parties to charter space to one another for the transport of vehicles from the U.S. West Coast to China and Japan.

Agreement No.: 012335.
Title: Gulf Coast/ECSA Vessel Sharing Agreement.
Parties: Hamburg Sudamerikanische Dampfschiffahrts-Gesellschaft KG; Alianca Navegacao e Logistica Ltda. e CIA; MSC Mediterranean Shipping Company.
Filing Party: Wayne R. Rohde, Esq.; Cozen O’Connor; 1627 I Street NW.; Suite 1100; Washington, DC 20006–4007.
Synopsis: The agreement would authorize the parties to operate a service in the trade between the U.S. Gulf Coast on the one hand, and Panama, Colombia, Brazil, and Mexico on the other hand. The parties have requested expedited review.

Agreement No.: 012336.
Title: Zim/OOCL Space Charter Agreement.
Filing Party: Wayne R. Rohde, Esq.; Cozen O’Connor; 1627 I Street NW.; Suite 1100; Washington, DC 20006–4007.
Synopsis: The agreement authorizes Zim to charter space to OOCL in the trade between China, Vietnam, Singapore, and Sri Lanka on the one hand and the U.S. Atlantic Coast on the other hand.
By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.
Dated: May 22, 2015.
Karen V. Gregory,
Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2015–12915 Filed 5–27–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6730–01–P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

AGENCY: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
SUMMARY: On June 15, 1994, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) delegated to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) its approval authority under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), to approve of and assign OMB control numbers to collection of information requests and requirements conducted or sponsored by the Board. Board-approved collections of information are incorporated into the official OMB inventory of currently approved collections of information. Copies of the PRA Submission, supporting statements and approved collection of information instruments are placed into OMB’s public docket files. The Federal Reserve may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 1, 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.
DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 27, 2015.
ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by FR 4199, by any of the following methods:
• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
• Email: regs.comments@ federalreserve.gov. Include OMB...
The following information collection, which is being handled under this delegated authority, has received initial Board approval and is hereby published for comment. At the end of the comment period, the proposed information collection, along with an analysis of comments and recommendations received, will be submitted to the Board for final approval under OMB delegated authority. Comments are invited on the following:

a. Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the Federal Reserve’s functions; including whether the information has practical utility;

b. The accuracy of the Federal Reserve’s estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

c. Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;

d. Ways to minimize the burden of information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and

e. Estimates of capital or start up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Proposal To Approve Under OMB Delegated Authority the Extension for Three Years, Without Revision, of the Following Report


Agency form number: FR 4199.

OMB control number: 7100–0320.

Frequency: Annual.

Reporters: State member banks, bank holding companies (BHCs).

Estimated annual reporting hours: 5,460.

Estimated average hours per response: 420.

Number of respondents: 13.

General description of report: The Board’s Legal Division has determined that the FR 4199 is authorized by section 9(6) of the Federal Reserve Act and section 5 of the Bank Holding Company Act. Section 9(6) of the Federal Reserve Act requires state member banks to “comply with the reserve and capital requirements of this chapter” and to make reports of condition “in such form” and “contain[ing] such information” as the Board may require (12 U.S.C. 324). Section 5 of the Bank Holding Company Act authorizes the Board to “issue regulations and orders relating to the capital requirement for bank holding companies” and requires BHCs to “keep the Board informed as to [their] financial condition, systems for monitoring and controlling financial and operating risks . . .” (12 U.S.C. 1844 (b) & (c)). Because the recordkeeping requirements are contained within guidance (and not a statute or regulation), they are voluntary. Because the FR 4199 recordkeeping requirements require that banks and BHCs retain their own records, the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) would only be implicated if the Federal Reserve’s examiners retained a copy of the records as part of an examination or supervision of a bank or BHC. However, records obtained as a part of an examination or supervision of a bank or BHC are exempt from disclosure under FOIA exemption (b)(8), for examination material (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(8)). In addition, the records may also be exempt under (b)(4), which exempts from disclosure “trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential” and under (b)(6) for non-public personal information regarding owners, shareholders, directors, officers or employees if the disclosure would “constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy” (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) and (b)(6)).

Abstract: The advanced approaches framework requires certain banks and BHCs to use an internal ratings-based approach to calculate regulatory credit risk capital requirements and advance measurement approaches to calculate regulatory operational risk capital requirements, and to meet the higher of the minimum requirements under the general risk-based capital rules and the minimum requirements under the advanced approaches framework.

A bank is required to comply with the advanced approaches framework if it meets either of two independent threshold criteria: (1) Consolidated total assets of $250 billion or more, as reported on the most recent year-end regulatory reports; or (2) Consolidated total on-balance sheet foreign exposure of $10 billion or more at the most recent year-end.

A BHC is required to comply with the advanced approaches framework if the BHC has (1) Consolidated total assets (excluding assets held by an insurance underwriting subsidiary) of $250 billion or more, as reported on the most recent year-end regulatory reports; (2) Consolidated total on-balance sheet foreign exposure of $10 billion or more at the most recent year-end; or (3) A subsidiary depository institution (DI) that meets the criteria to be subject to the advanced approaches rule, or elects to adopt the advanced approaches. As of September 30, 2014, 13 BHCs meet the above criteria and are therefore subject to the advanced approaches rule.¹ Also, some banks or BHCs may voluntarily decide to adopt the advanced approaches framework. Both

¹Regulation YY permits a bank holding company that is a subsidiary of a foreign banking organization to elect not to comply with the advanced approaches rule prior to formation of an IHC with the prior approval of the Board. 12 CFR 252.15(e)(2)(ii).
mandatory and voluntary respondents are required to meet certain qualification requirements before they can use the advanced approaches framework for risk-based capital purposes.

The Pillar 2 Guidance sets the expectation that respondents maintain certain documentation as described in paragraphs 37, 41, 43, and 46 of this portion of the guidance. Details of the expectations for each section are provided below.

Setting and Assessing Capital Adequacy Goals That Relate to Risk

Paragraph 37. In analyzing capital adequacy, a banking organization should evaluate the capacity of its capital to absorb losses. Because various definitions of capital are used within the banking industry, each banking organization should state clearly the definition of capital used in any aspect of its internal capital adequacy assessment process (ICAAP). Since components of capital are not necessarily alike and have varying capacities to absorb losses, a banking organization should be able to demonstrate the relationship between its internal capital definition and its assessment of capital adequacy. If a banking organization’s definition of capital differs from the regulatory definition, the banking organization should reconcile such differences and provide an analysis to support the inclusion of any capital instruments that are not recognized under the regulatory definition. Although common equity is generally the predominant component of a banking organization’s capital structure, a banking organization may be able to support the inclusion of other capital instruments in its internal definition of capital if it can demonstrate a similar capacity to absorb losses. The banking organization should document any changes in its internal definition of capital, and the reason for those changes.

Ensuring Integrity of Internal Capital Adequacy Assessments

Paragraph 41. A banking organization should maintain thorough documentation of its ICAAP to ensure transparency. At a minimum, this should include a description of the banking organization’s overall capital-management process, including the committees and individuals responsible for the ICAAP; the frequency and distribution of ICAAP-related reporting; and the procedures for the periodic evaluation of the appropriateness and adequacy of the ICAAP. In addition, where applicable, ICAAP documentation should demonstrate the banking organization’s sound use of quantitative methods (including model selection and limitations) and data-selection techniques, as well as appropriate maintenance, controls, and validation. A banking organization should document and explain the role of third-party and vendor products, services and information—including methodologies, model inputs, systems, data, and ratings—and the extent to which they are used within the ICAAP. A banking organization should have a process to regularly evaluate the performance of third-party and vendor products, services and information. As part of the ICAAP documentation, a banking organization should document the assumptions, methods, data, information, and judgment used in its quantitative and qualitative approaches.

Paragraph 43. The board of directors and senior management have certain responsibilities in developing, implementing, and overseeing the ICAAP. The board should approve the ICAAP and its components. The board or its appropriately delegated agent should review the ICAAP and its components on a regular basis, and approve any revisions. That review should encompass the effectiveness of the ICAAP, the appropriateness of risk tolerance levels and capital planning, and the strength of control infrastructures. Senior management should continually ensure that the ICAAP is functioning effectively and as intended, under a formal review policy that is explicit and well documented. Additionally, a banking organization’s internal audit function should play a key role in reviewing the controls and governance surrounding the ICAAP on an ongoing basis.

Paragraph 46. As part of the ICAAP, the board or its delegated agent, as well as appropriate senior management, should periodically review the resulting assessment of overall capital adequacy. This review, which should occur at least annually, should include an analysis of how measures of internal capital adequacy compare with other capital measures (such as regulatory, accounting-based or market-determined). Upon completion of this review, the board or its delegated agent should determine that, consistent with safety and soundness, the banking organization’s capital takes into account all material risks and is appropriate for its risk profile. However, in the event a capital deficiency is uncovered (that is, if capital is not consistent with the banking organization’s risk profile or risk tolerance) management should consult and adhere to formal procedures to correct the capital deficiency.


Robert deV. Frierson,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2015–12738 Filed 5–27–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[Notice–2015–QVO–01; Docket No. 2015–0002; Sequence 12]

Federal Procurement Data System Product Service Code Manual Update

AGENCY: Federal Acquisition Service; General Services Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that the Product and Service Codes (PSC) Manual, which provides codes to describe products, services, and research and development purchased by the government, is in the process of being updated. The General Services Administration (GSA), which maintains the PSC Manual, is in the process of updating the manual. The update includes the addition, deletion or revisions of codes. The revised PSC Manual will be effective October 1, 2015 (FY 2016).


Comments: Interested parties should submit written comments to the Regulatory Secretariat at one of the addresses shown below on or before June 29, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, June 29, 2015, identified by Notice–2015–QVO–01, Federal Procurement Data System Product and Service Codes Manual Update, by any of the following methods:

- Regulations.gov: http://www.regulations.gov. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching for Notice–2015–QVO–01. Select the link “Comment Now” that corresponds with “Notice–2015–QVO–01, Federal Procurement Data System Product and Service Codes Manual Update”. Follow the instructions provided on the screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and