DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[C–552–813]
Certain Steel Wire Garment Hangers From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2014
AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
DATES: Effective Date: June 10, 2015.
SUMMARY: On December 5, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the Preliminary Results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe (CWP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for the period November 1, 2012, through October 31, 2013. The review covers two producers/exporters of the subject merchandise, Husteel Co., Ltd. (Husteel) and Hyundai HYSCO (HYSCO), both of which were selected for individual examination. As a result of our analysis of the comments received, these final results differ from our Preliminary Results. For these final results, we continue to find that Husteel and HYSCO sold subject merchandise at prices less than normal value.
DATES: Effective date: June 10, 2015.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Shuler or Jennifer Meek, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1009.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–580–809]
AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has calculated the final dumping margins for certain circular welded non-alloy steel pipe (CWP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for the period January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014. The Department is publishing these results in accordance with section 7515(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.
DATES: Effective date: April 3, 2015.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1009.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[80 FR 18202 (April 3, 2015)]
AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
SUMMARY: In the preliminary affirmative肯定的) antidumping duty (AD) administrative review of circular welded non-alloy steel pipe (CWP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for 2012–2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) has determined that HYSCO sold CWP at less than normal value (LTV) for the period of review (POR) in that country. On December 5, 2014, the Department issued the Preliminary Results of this review. In accordance with section 7515(c)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, the Department is rescinding the AD order as to HYSCO.
DATES: Effective date: December 5, 2014.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, [FR Doc. 2015–14208 Filed 6–9–15; 8:45 am] BILING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[80 FR 18202 (April 3, 2015)]
AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has calculated the final dumping margins for certain circular welded non-alloy steel pipe (CWP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for the period January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014. The Department is publishing these results in accordance with section 7515(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.
DATES: Effective date: April 3, 2015.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Shuler or Jennifer Meek, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1009.
telephone (202) 482–1293 or (202) 482–2778, respectively.

Background

Following the Preliminary Results, the Department sent a supplemental questionnaire to HYSCO and received timely responses from HYSCO and its affiliate, Hyundai Steel.2

On March 10, 2015, the Department issued a memorandum extending the time period for issuing the final results of this administrative review from April 4, 2015 to May 4, 2015, as permitted by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).3 We again, on April 15, 2015, extended the final results from May 4, 2015 to June 3, 2015.4

On January 26, 2015, we received case briefs from Wheatland Tube Company (Wheatland, or the petitioner), Husteel, and HYSCO.5 On February 2, 2015, we received rebuttal briefs from Wheatland and HYSCO.6

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is circular welded non-alloy steel pipe and tube. The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 7306.30.10.00, 7306.30.50.25, 7306.30.50.32, 7306.30.50.40, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.85, and 7306.30.50.90. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum from Gary Taverner, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: 2012–2013,” dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum), and which is hereby adopted by this notice.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the parties’ briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://trade.gov/enforcement. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes From the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received from interested parties, we have made certain adjustments to define the universe of Husteel’s U.S. sales. Also, based on our analysis of the comments received from interested parties, and the additional information we solicited from HYSCO, we have made certain changes to HYSCO’s costs. HYSCO’s General and Administrative expense calculation; and corrected certain errors related to grade code.8 For details regarding these changes, please refer to the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period November 1, 2012, through October 31, 2013:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer/exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Husteel Co., Ltd</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyundai HYSCO</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations used in our analysis to parties to these proceedings within five days of the date of publication of this notice pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) and (C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), the Department has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

For assessment purposes, Husteel and HYSCO reported the name of the importer of record and the entered value for all of their sales to the United States during the period of review (POR). Accordingly, for each respondent, we calculated importer-specific ad valorem antidumping duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales and the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Husteel and HYSCO for which they did not...
Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 3, 2015.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Issues Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Comment 1: Differential Pricing Analysis Should Not Be Used Because the Cohen’s d Test Does Not Measure Targeted or Masked Dumping
Comment 2: Differential Pricing Analysis Reasoning for Use of Average-to-Transaction Comparison Methodology is Arbitrary and Unlawful
Comment 3: Differential Pricing Analysis is Not Permitted to be Used in Administrative Reviews
Comment 4: Defining the Universe of Sales
Comment 5: Narrative Description of Calculation Methodology Contained An Error
Comment 6: The Department Changed Its Practice Regarding Treatment of HYSCO’s Costs Without Giving Prior Notice
Comment 7: The Department Should Use GNA 1 In Its Margin Calculation and Should Adjust HYSCO’s Reported Costs
Comment 8: HYSCO’s Reported Costs and Control Number (CONNUM) Characteristics Are Consistent with the Department’s Reporting Requirements and Should Not Be Reallocated
Comment 9: The Petitioner’s Analysis of HYSCO’s Cost Reporting Does Not Support Revision To Costs and a Complete Reallocation of HYSCO’s Cost is Unwarranted
Comment 10: Cost Adjustments Eliminate Cost Differences Associated with Product Characterics and Reallocating Total Material Costs Rather Than Only Hot-Coil Costs Is An Error
Comment 11: The Department Should Adjust for Certain of HYSCO’s Affiliated Hot-Rolled Coil Purchases

Comment 12: The Department Should Adjust HYSCO’s G&A Ratio
Comment 13: Grade Coding Adjustments Contained Clerical Errors
Comment 14: Draft Assessment Instructions Contained Errors
Comment 15: Application of Total Adverse Facts Available is Warranted Due to HYSCO’s Repeated Failure to Provide Necessary Information for Affiliated Hot-Rolled Coil Purchases

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title: Highly Migratory Species Dealer, Importer and Exporter Reporting Family of Forms.
OMB Control Number: 0648–0040.
Form Number(s): None.

Type of Request: Revision and extension of a currently approved information collection.

Number of Respondents: 9,585.
Average Hours per Response: 5 minutes each for CD, SD, and RXC certificates; 15 minutes for CD/SD/RXC validation by government official; 120 minutes for authorization of non-governmental CD/SD/RXC validation; 2 minutes for daily Atlantic BFT landing reports; 3 minutes for daily Atlantic BFT landing reports from pelagic longline and purse seine vessels; 1 minute for Atlantic BFT tagging; 15 minutes for biweekly Atlantic BFT dealer landing reports; 15 minutes for HMS international trade biweekly reports; 15 minutes for weekly electronic HMS dealer landing reports (e-dealer); 5 minutes for negative weekly electronic HMS dealer landing reports (e-dealer); 15 minutes for voluntary fishing vessel and catch forms.

Burden Hours: 39,961.

Needs and Uses: This request is for revision and extension of a currently approved information collection, Under the provisions of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.), the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) is responsible