of the certification when driving, for presentation to a duly authorized Federal, State, or local enforcement official.

V. Discussion of Comments

FMCSA received no comments in this proceeding.

IV. Conclusion

Based upon its evaluation of the 28 exemption applications, FMCSA exempts the following drivers from the vision requirement in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), subject to the requirements cited above (49 CFR 391.64(b)):

Dakota A. Albrecht (MN)
Joseph L. Beverly (FL)
Jaroslav Cigler (IN)
David E. Cope (OH)
Alan J. Daisey (DE)
Terry L. Daneau (NH)
Ronald A. Doyle (NY)
Darin T. Eubank (VA)
Dan J. Feik (IL)
Phillip E. Fitzpatrick (NM)
William H. Fleming (OR)
Lucien W. Foote III (NH)
Jimmy F. Garrett (AR)
Odus P. Gauntney III (TX)
Dale R. Goodell (SD)
Elmer Y. Mendoza (VA)
Andrew M. Miller (IA)
Richard N. Moyer, Jr. (PA)
Heath A. Pillig (WA)
Alonzo K. Rawls (NJ)
John R. Ropp (IL)
Timothy J. Slone (KY)
David L. Sorensen (NE)
Nelson J. Stokke (CA)
Darwin L. Stuart (IL)
Ivan Tlumach (PA)
Clarence K. Watkins (TN)
Kevin D. Zaloudek (VT)

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, each exemption will be valid for 2 years unless revoked earlier by FMCSA. The exemption will be revoked if: (1) The person fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the exemption; (2) the exemption has resulted in a lower level of safety than was maintained before it was granted; or (3) continuation of the exemption would not be consistent with the goals and objectives of 49 U.S.C. 31136 and 31315.

If the exemption is still effective at the end of the 2-year period, the person may apply to FMCSA for a renewal under procedures in effect at that time.

Issued on: May 29, 2015.

Larry W. Minor,
Associate Administrator for Policy.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Railroad Administration

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice and Request for Comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces that the renewal Information Collection Requests (ICR) abstracted below is being forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. The Federal Register notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collections of information was published on March 30, 2015.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 10, 2015.


(Similarly designed 1 inch and 2 inch valves, as well as similarly-designed 3 inch valves, cause mechanical damage to the valves’ seal integrity and that the 3 inch valves’ closure plugs installed on the 3 inch valves cause mechanical damage to the valves, which leads to the destruction of the valves’ seal integrity and that the 3 inch valves, as well as similarly-designed 1 inch and 2 inch valves provided by this manufacturer are not approved for use on tank cars.)


Before OMB decides whether to approve these proposed collections of information, it must provide 30 days for public comment. 44 U.S.C. 3507(b); 5 CFR 1320.12(d). Federal law requires OMB to approve or disapprove paperwork packages between 30 and 60 days after the 30 day notice is published. 44 U.S.C. 3507(b)–(c); 5 CFR 1320.12(d); see also 60 FR 44978. 44983, Aug. 29, 1995. OMB believes that the 30 day notice informs the regulated community to file relevant comments and affords the agency adequate time to digest public comments before it renders a decision. 60 FR 44983, Aug. 29, 1995. Therefore, respondents should submit their respective comments to OMB within 30 days of publication to best ensure having their full effect. 5 CFR 1320.12(c); see also 60 FR 44983, Aug. 29, 1995.

The summary below describes the nature of the information collection request (ICR) and the expected burden. The revised request is being submitted for clearance by OMB as required by the PRA.

Title: Railworthiness Directive Notice No. 1.

OMB Control Number: 2130–0606.

Abstract: Recent FRA investigations identified several railroad tank cars transporting hazardous materials and leaking small quantities of product from the cars’ liquid lines. FRA’s investigation revealed that the liquid lines of the leaking tank cars were equipped with a certain type of 3 inch ball valve marketed and sold by McKenzie Valve & Machining LLC (McKenzie) (formerly McKenzie Valve & Machining Company), an affiliate company of Union Tank Car Company (UTLC). FRA further found certain closure plugs installed on the 3 inch valves cause mechanical damage to the valves, which leads to the destruction of the valves’ seal integrity and that the 3 inch valves, as well as similarly-designed 1 inch and 2 inch valves provided by this manufacturer are not approved for use on tank cars.

Type of Request: Extension with Change of a Currently Approved Information Collection.

Affected Public: Businesses (Railroads).

Form(s): N/A.

Total Annual Estimated Burden: 275 hours.

Addresssee: Send comments regarding these information collections to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 Seventeenth Street NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: FRA Desk Officer. Comments may also be sent via email to OMB at the following address: oira_submissions@omb.eop.gov.

Comments are invited on the following: Whether the proposed collections of information are necessary
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Office of the Secretary

[DOCKET NO. DOT–OST–2011–0022]

Request for Comments on the
Reinstatement of an OMB Control Number for an Information Collection

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces that the request for reinstatement of an OMB Control Number for the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below is being forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comments. A Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following information collection was published on February 6, 2015 (80 FR 6793–4).

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 10, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Send comments regarding the burden estimate, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for the Office of the Secretary of Transportation, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503. Comments may also be sent via email to OMB at the following address: oira_submissions@omb.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daeleen Chesley, Office of the Secretary, Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings (C–70), Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, at 202 366–9342 (voice) or Daeleen.Chesley@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: On-Line Complaint Form for Service-Related Issues in Air Transportation.

OMB Control Number: 2105–0568.

Type of Request: Request to reinstate OMB control number 2105–0568.

Abstract: The Department of Transportation’s (Department) Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings (Enforcement Office) has broad authority under 49 U.S.C., subtitle VII, to investigate and enforce consumer protection and civil rights laws and regulations related to air transportation. The Enforcement Office, including its Aviation Consumer Protection Division (ACPD), monitors compliance with and investigates violations of the Department’s aviation economic, consumer protection, and civil rights requirements.

Among other things, the office is responsible for receiving and investigating service-related consumer complaints filed against airlines and other travel-related companies. Once received, the complaints are reviewed by the office to determine the extent to which carriers are in compliance with federal aviation consumer protection and civil rights laws and what, if any, action should be taken.

The key reason for this request is to enable consumers to continue to file their complaints (or comments) to the Department using an on-line form, whether using their personal computer or their mobile device. If the information collection form is not available, the Department may receive fewer complaints from consumers. The lack of information could inhibit the Departments’ ability to improve airline consumer satisfaction, effectively investigate individual complaints against an airline or other travel-related companies that have an air travel component, and/or determine patterns and practices that may develop in violation of our rules. The information collection also furthers the objectives of 49 U.S.C. 41712, 40101, 40127, 41702, and 41705 to protect consumers from unfair or deceptive practices, to protect the civil rights of air travelers, and to ensure safe and adequate service in air transportation.

Filing a complaint using a web-based form is voluntary and minimizes the burden on the public. Consumers can also choose to file a complaint with the Department by sending a letter using regular mail or by phone message. The type of information requested on the on-line form includes complainant’s name, address, home and/or daytime phone number (including area code) and email address, name of the airline or company about which she/he is complaining, flight date, flight number, and origin and destination cities of complainant’s trip. A consumer may also use the form to give a description of a specific problem or to ask for air-travel related information from the ACPD. The Department has limited its informational request to only that information necessary to meet its program and administrative monitoring and enforcement requirements.

On February 6, 2015, the Department published a 60-day notice in the Federal Register (80 FR 6793–4) asking for comments on whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department. We received one comment in the docket from a commenter who supported the Department collecting the information.

Respondents: Consumers that Choose to File an On-Line Complaint with the Aviation Consumer Protection Division.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 14,479 (based on CY 2014 data).

Frequency: 1 submission per year.

Estimated Burden per Response: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total Burden on Respondents: 3,620 hours.

Public Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department’s estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collections of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.


Issued in Washington, DC on May 28, 2015.

Patricia Lawton,
DOT Paperwork Reduction Act Clearance Officer, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–13990 Filed 6–9–15; 8:45 am]