800–977–8339 and providing the operator with the conference call number 1–888–510–1765 and conference call ID code 8558900#.

Members of the public are invited to submit written comments; the comments must be received in the regional office by Monday, July 27, 2015. Written comments may be mailed to the Eastern Regional Office, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 1150, Washington, DC 20425, faxed to (202) 376–7548, or emailed to Evelyn Bohor at ero@uscrr.gov. Persons who desire additional information may contact the Eastern Regional Office at (202) 376–7533.

Records and documents discussed during the meeting will be available for public viewing as they become available at http://facdatabase.gov/committee/meetings.aspx?cid=2681 and clicking on the “Meeting Details” and “Documents” links. Records generated from this meeting may also be inspected and reproduced at the Eastern Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission’s Web site, www.usccr.gov, or to contact the Eastern Regional Office at the above phone number, email or street address.

**Agenda**

Welcome and Introductions
- Tara Martinez, Vice Chair

Discuss Plans for Future Briefing Meeting
- WV State Advisory Committee
- Administrative Matters
- Ivy L. Davis, DFO

**DATES:** Friday, June 26, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. [EDT].

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held via teleconference:
- Conference Call-in Number: 1–888–510–1765; Conference Call ID code: 8558900#.
- TDD: Dial Federal Relay Service 1–800–977–8339 and give the operator the above number.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ivy L. Davis at ero@uscrr.gov, or 202–376–7533.

Dated: Friday, June 5, 2015.

David Mussatt, Chief, Regional Programs Unit.

[FR Doc. 2015–12437 Filed 6–10–15; 8:45 am]

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A–570–924]

**Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2012–2013**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On December 5, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) published its Preliminary Results in the 2012–2013 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from the People’s Republic of China (PRC). The period of review (“POR”) is November 1, 2012, through October 31, 2013. This review covers four companies: Shaoxing Xiangyu Green Packaging Co. Ltd. (“Green Packing”) and Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd. (“Wanhua”), which were subject to individual examination, as well as Fuwei Films (Shandong) Co., Ltd. (“Fuwei Films”) and Sichuan Dongfang Insulating Material Co., Ltd. (“Dongfang”). Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made certain changes to our margin calculations for Wanhua. The final dumping margins for this review are listed in the “Final Results” section below.

**DATES:** Effective Date: June 11, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Martin or Jonathan Hill, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3936 or (202) 482–3518, respectively.

**Background**

On December 5, 2014, the Department published its Preliminary Results in this review. We received case briefs from Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc. and SKC, Inc. (collectively “Petitioners”), Green Packing, and Wanhua on January 14, 2015. On January 26, 2015, Petitioners and Wanhua submitted rebuttal briefs. On March 23, 2015, Green Packing and Wanhua resubmitted case briefs and Petitioners resubmitted its rebuttal brief to redact certain untimely new factual information.

**Scope of the Order**

The products covered by the order are all gauges of raw, pre-treated, or primed PET film, whether extruded or co-extruded. PET film is classifiable under subheading 3920.62.00.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

For the full text of the scope of the order, see Memorandum to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2012–2013 Administrative Review,” (“Issues and Decision Memorandum”), dated concurrently with this notice.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed by parties in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the
issues that parties raised and to which we responded in the Issues and
Decision Memorandum follows as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and
Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically
via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty
Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”). ACCESS is available to
registered users at http://
access.trade.gov and in the Central
Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main
Department of Commerce building. In
addition, a complete version of the
Issues and Decision Memorandum can be
accessed directly on the Internet at
http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The
paper copy and electronic version of the
Issues and Decision Memorandum are
identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and
comments received from interested
parties regarding our Preliminary
Results, we revised the margin
calculations for Wanhua. Specifically, we corrected two errors in our
calculations by deducting marine
insurance expenses6 and value added
taxes7 from Wanhua’s U.S. sales prices,
both of which we inadvertently failed to
deduct in the
Preliminary Results.

Final Determination of No Shipments

For these final results, the Department continues8 to find that Fuwei Films and
Dongfang did not have any reviewable
entries during the POR.9

Final Results

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins
exist for the POR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percentage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shaoxing Xiangyu Green Packing Co. Ltd</td>
<td>35.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd</td>
<td>72.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 6.
7 See Memorandum from Jonathan Hill, International Trade Compliance Analyst to Howard
Smith, Program Manager, AD/CVD Operation, Office IV “Analysis for the Final Results of the
2012–2013 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene
Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from the People’s Republic of China: Tianjin Wanhua Co.,
Ltd.”, dated concurrently with this notice.
8 See Preliminary Results and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at “Preliminary
Determination of No Shipments.”
9 See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 1.

Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) shall assess, antidumping
duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to
CBP 15 days after the publication date of these final results of this review. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1),
the Department will calculate importer- (or customer)- specific assessment rates for the merchandise subject to this review.
For any individually examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above de minimis
(i.e., 0.50 percent), the Department will calculate importer- (or customer)- specific assessment rates for
merchandise subject to this review. Where appropriate, we calculated a per
unit rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins
for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with
those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will
direct CBP to assess the resulting per
unit rate against the entered quantity of
the subject merchandise.10 We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping
duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-
specific assessment rate is above de minimis. Where either the respondent’s
weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer-
specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to
liquidate the appropriate entries
without regard to antidumping duties.

For entries that were not reported in
the U.S. sales database submitted by an
exporter individually examined during this
review, the Department will
instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at
the PRC-wide rate (i.e., 76.72 percent).11
Additionally, if the Department
determines that an exporter under
review had no shipments of the subject
merchandise, any suspended entries
that entered under that exporter’s case
number will be liquidated at the PRC-
wide rate.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit
requirements will be effective upon
publication of the final results of this
administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC
entered, or withdrawn from warehouse,
for consumption on or after the
publication date of this notice in the
Federal Register, as provided by section
751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporters listed above, the cash deposit
rate will be the rate listed for each
exporter in the table in the “Final
Results” section of this notice; (2) for
previously investigated or reviewed PRC
and non-PRC exporters that received a
separate rate in a prior segment of this
proceeding, the cash deposit rate will
continue to be the existing exporter-
specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been
found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate
previously established for the PRC-wide
entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters
of subject merchandise which have not
received their own rate, the cash deposit
rate will be the rate applicable to the
PRC exporter that supplied that non-
PRC exporter. These deposit
requirements, when imposed, shall
remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these final results of
review within five days of the date of
publication of this notice in the Federal
Register in accordance with 19 CFR
351.224(b).

Notification to Importers Regarding the
Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final
reminder to importers of their
responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)
to file a certificate regarding the
reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant
dates during this POR. Failure to
comply with this requirement could
result in the Secretary’s presumption
that reimbursement of antidumping
duties has occurred and the subsequent
assessment of double antidumping
duties.

Administrative Protective Order
(“APO”)

This notice also serves as a reminder
to parties subject to APO of their
responsibility concerning the return or
destruction of proprietary information
disclosed under APO in accordance
with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues
to govern business proprietary
information in this segment of the
proceeding. Timely written notification
of the return or destruction of APO
materials, or conversion to judicial
protective order, is hereby requested.
Failure to comply with the regulations
and terms of an APO is a violation
which is subject to sanction.

As issuing these results of
administrative review and publishing
notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: June 3, 2015.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary
Scope of the Order
Discussion of the Issues
I. General Issues
Comment 1: Respondent Selection
Comment 2: Surrogate Country Selection
A. Whether South Africa is a Significant Producer of Comparable Merchandise
B. Quality of the Indonesian and South African Surrogate Value Data
C. Surrogate Financial Statements
Comment 3: IV for Paper Core
II. Company-Specific Issues

A. Whether South Africa is a Significant Producer of Comparable Merchandise

B. Quality of the Indonesian and South African Surrogate Value Data
C. Surrogate Financial Statements

Comment 4: Treatment of Green Packing’s Reintroduced PET By-Product
Comment 5: Value-Added Tax (“VAT”)
Adjustment to Wanhua’s U.S. Sales Price
Comment 6: Deduction of Marine Insurance from Wanhua’s U.S. Sales Price

Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015–14349 Filed 6–10–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Procedures for Considering Requests and Comments From the Public for Textile and Apparel Safeguard Actions on Imports From Colombia

AGENCY: International Trade Administration (ITA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before August 10, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at f Jessup@ doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Laurie Mease, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, Telephone: 202–482–2043, Email: Laurie.Mease@trade.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

Title III, Subtitle B, Section 321 through Section 328 of the United States-Colombia Trade Promotion Agreement Implementation Act (the “Act”) [Pub. L. 112–42] implements the textile and apparel safeguard provisions, provided for in Article 3.1 of the United States-Colombia Trade Promotion Agreement (the “Agreement”). This safeguard mechanism applies when, as a result of the elimination of a customs duty under the Agreement, a Colombian textile or apparel article is being imported into the United States in such increased quantities, in absolute terms or relative to the domestic market for that article, and under such conditions as to cause serious damage or actual threat thereof to a U.S. industry producing a like or directly competitive article. In the circumstances, Article 3.1 permits the United States to increase duties on the imported article from Colombia to a level that does not exceed the lesser of the prevailing U.S. normal trade relations (NTR)/most-favored-nation (MFN) duty rate for the article or the U.S. NTR/MFN duty rate in effect on the day before the Agreement entered into force.

The Statement of Administrative Action accompanying the Act provides that the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA) will issue procedures for requesting such safeguard measures, for making its determinations under Section 322(a) of the Act, and for providing relief under section 322(b) of the Act.

In Proclamation No. 8818 (77 FR 29519, May 18, 2012), the President delegated to CITA his authority under Subtitle B of Title III of the Act with respect to textile and apparel safeguard measures. CITA must collect information in order to determine whether a domestic textile or apparel industry is being adversely impacted by imports of these products from Colombia, thereby allowing CITA to take corrective action to protect the viability of the domestic textile industry, subject to section 322(b) of the Act.

Pursuant to Section 321(a) of the Act and Section (9) of Presidential Proclamation 8818, an interested party in the U.S. domestic textile and apparel industry may file a request for a textile and apparel safeguard action with CITA. Consistent with longstanding CITA practice in considering textile safeguard actions, CITA will consider an interested party to be an entity (which may be a trade association, firm, certified or recognized union, or group of workers) that is representative of either: (A) A domestic producer or producers of an article that is like or directly competitive with the subject Colombian textile or apparel article; or (B) a domestic producer or producers of a component used in the production of an article that is like or directly competitive with the subject Colombian textile or apparel article.

In order for a request to be considered, the requester must provide the following information in support of a claim that a textile or apparel article from Colombia is being imported into the United States in such increased quantities, in absolute terms or relative to the domestic market for that article, and under such conditions as to cause serious damage or actual threat thereof, to a U.S. industry producing an article that is like, or directly competitive with, the imported article:

(1) Name and description of the imported article concerned; (2) import data demonstrating that imports of a Colombian origin textile or apparel article that are like or directly competitive with the articles produced by the domestic industry concerned are increasing in absolute terms or relative to the domestic market for that article; (3) U.S. domestic production of the like or directly competitive articles of U.S. origin indicating the nature and extent of the serious damage or actual threat thereof, along with an affirmation that the best of the requester’s knowledge, the data represent substantially all of the domestic production of the like or directly competitive article(s) of U.S. origin; (4) imports from Colombia as a percentage of the domestic market of the like or directly competitive article; and (5) all data available to the requester showing changes in productivity, utilization of capacity, inventories, exports, wages, employment, domestic prices, profits, and investment, and any other information, relating to the existence of serious damage or actual threat thereof caused by imports from Colombia to the industry producing the like or directly competitive article that is the subject of the request. To the extent that such information is not available, the requester should provide best estimates and the basis therefore.

If CITA determines that the request provides the information necessary for it to be considered, CITA will publish a notice on the Federal Register seeking public comments regarding the request. The comment period shall be 30