submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its processes. DOT posts these comments, without edit, including any personal information the commenter provides, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL–14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at www.dot.gov/privacy. See also http://www.regulations.gov/#!privacyNotice for the privacy notice of regulations.gov. Issued in Washington, DC, on June 10, 2015.

Ron Hynes, Director, Office of Technical Oversight.

[FR Doc. 2015–14632 Filed 6–12–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Bureau of Transportation Statistics

[Docket ID Number: DOT–OST–2014–0031]

Agency Information Collection;
Activity Under OMB Review; Airline Service Quality Performance—Part 234

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research and Technology (OST–R), Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS), Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13, the Bureau of Transportation Statistics invites the general public, industry and other governmental parties to comment on the continuing need for—and usefulness of—DOT requiring large certificated air carriers to file “On-Time Flight Performance Reports” and “Mishandled-Baggage Reports” pursuant to 14 CFR 234.4 and 234.6. These reports are used to monitor the quality of air service that larger air carriers provide to the flying public. The Federal Aviation Administration uses the On-Time Flight Performance Reports to identify problem areas within the air traffic control system.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted by August 14, 2015.


Comments: Comments should identify the associated OMB approval number 2138–0041 and Docket ID Number DOT–OST–2014–0031. Persons wishing the Department to acknowledge receipt of their comments must submit with those comments a self-addressed stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: Comments on OMB #2138–0041, Docket—DOT–OST–2014–0031. The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

Federal Erulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.


Hand Delivery or Courier: West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.


Instructions: Identify docket number, DOT–OST–2014–0031, at the beginning of your comments, and send two copies. To receive confirmation that DOT received your comments, see instructions above. Internet users may access all comments received by DOT at http://www.regulations.gov. All comments are posted electronically without charge or edits, including any personal information provided.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of DOT’s dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78) or you may visit http://DocketInfo.dot.gov.

Docket: For access to the docket, or to read background documents or comments received, go to http://www.regulations.gov or the street address listed above. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval No. 2138–0041. Title: Airline Service Quality Performance Reports—Part 234. Form No.: BTS Form 234. Type of Review: Renewal of approved collection.

Respondents: Large certificated air carriers that account for at least 1 percent of the domestic scheduled-service passenger revenues.

Number of Respondents: 14.

Number of Responses: 168.

Total Burden per Response: 20 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 3,360 hours.

Needs and Uses:

Consumer Information

Part 234 gives air travelers information concerning the on-time performance history of flights and the rate of mishandled baggage for each reporting carrier. The reports are filed by the 14 largest scheduled-service U.S. passenger carriers.

On July 15, 2011 the Department published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) proposing to change the manner in which baggage data are reported (see 76 FR 41726). The proposed rule would require carriers to report: (1) The number of mishandled checked bags (as opposed to the current requirement to report the number of mishandled baggage reports filed by passengers), (2) the total number of checked bags (as opposed to the current requirement to report the total number of enplaned passengers), (3) the number of mishandled wheelchairs and scooters used by passengers with disabilities that were carried in the cargo compartment, and (4) the total number of wheelchairs and scooters used by passengers with disabilities that were carried in the cargo compartment.

In the preamble to the Notice, the Department stated that the change in the matrix to mishandled bags per unit of checked bags would give consumers more reliable information on the air carriers’ performance regarding the treatment of baggage within their control. Under the current system, there is no direct relationship between the number of mishandled bags and the number of checked bags. With the institution of baggage fees, the number of checked bags at some carriers has declined by 40 to 50 percent. There has been a corresponding 40 percent decline (i.e., improvement) in the industry mishandled baggage rates. The proposed matrix would have a direct correlation between mishandled baggage and checked baggage.

A separate breakout of mishandled wheelchairs/scooters would assist passengers with mobility disabilities in selecting air carriers with high probabilities of meeting their special needs. There is a gap in the Department’s data regarding the mishandling of wheelchairs and scooters. The proposed data would provide information to passenger with
Reducing and Identifying Traffic Delays

The Federal Aviation Administration uses Part 234 data to pinpoint and analyze air traffic delays. Wheels-up and wheels-down times are used in conjunction with departure and arrival times to show the extent of ground delays. Actual elapsed flight time, wheels-down minus wheels-up time, is compared to scheduled elapsed flight time to identify airborne delays. The reporting of aircraft tail number allows the FAA to track an aircraft through the air network, which enables the FAA to study the ripple effects of delays at hub airports. The data can be analyzed for airport design changes, new equipment purchases, the planning of new runways or airports based on current and projected airport delays and traffic levels. The identification of the reason for delays allows the FAA, airport operators, and air carriers to pinpoint delays under their control.

Administrative Issues

The Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act of 2002 (44 U.S.C. 3501) requires a statistical agency to clearly identify information it collects for non-statistical purposes. BTS hereby notifies the respondents and the public that BTS uses the information it collects under this OMB approval for non-statistical purposes including, but not limited to, publication of both Respondent’s identity and its data, submission of the information to agencies outside BTS for review, analysis and possible use in regulatory and other administrative matters.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 8, 2015.

Patricia Hu,
Director, Bureau of Transportation Statistics, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research and Technology.

DATES: Comments should be received on or before July 15, 2015 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESS: Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestion for reducing the burden, to (1) Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for Treasury, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, or email at OIRA Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV and (2) Treasury PRA Clearance Officer, 1750 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Suite 8140, Washington, DC 20220, or email at PRA@treasury.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 927–5331, email at PRA@treasury.gov, or the entire information collection request may be found at www.reginfo.gov.

Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFI) Fund

OMB Number: 1559–0021.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Title: CDFI Program and NACA Program Application.

Abstract: The CDFI Fund provides financial assistance in the form of grants, loans, equity investments and deposits to community development financial institutions providing capital and financial services to underserved markets. The FY 2016 CDFI and NACA Program application introduces an integrated web-based collection tool that will now be used to collect data from CDFI and NACA Program applicants. The new interactive, integrated application reduces the burden on the applicant since it will store previously supplied information, which will reduce data entry in future applications. It is anticipated that this will decrease the amount of time to complete the application from 50 hours to 30 hours per response for the applicants. This revision reflects a decrease in hours due to the new collection tool. Total burden hours requested for this submission is 13,530 (451 applications X 30 hours an application).

Affected Public: Private Sector: Businesses or other for-profits; not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 13,530.

Robert Dahl,
Treasury PRA Clearance Officer.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

June 9, 2015.

The Department of the Treasury will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13, on or after the date of publication of this notice.

U.S.-CHINA ECONOMIC AND SECURITY REVIEW COMMISSION

Notice of Open Meetings To Prepare the 2015 Annual Report to Congress


ACTION: Notice of open meetings to be held in Washington, DC to review and edit drafts of 2015 Annual Report to Congress on the following dates: July 8–9, August 12–13, September 16–17, and October 7–8, 2015.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of meetings of the U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission.

Name: William A. Reinsch, Chairman of the U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission.

The Commission is mandated by Congress to investigate, assess, and report to Congress annually on the U.S.-China economic and security relationship. The mandate specifically charges the Commission to prepare a report to Congress “regarding the national security implications and impact of the bilateral trade and economic relationship between the United States and the People’s Republic of China (that) shall include a full analysis, along with conclusions and recommendations for legislative and administrative actions . . . .”

Purpose of Meetings: Pursuant to this mandate, members of the Commission will meet in Washington, DC on July 8–9, August 12–13, September 16–17, and October 7–8, 2015 to review and edit drafts of the 2015 Annual Report to Congress.

The Commission is subject to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) with the enactment of the Science, State, Justice, Commerce and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2006 that was signed into law on November 22, 2005 (Pub. L. 109–108). In accordance with FACA, the Commission’s meeting to make decisions concerning the substance and recommendations of its 2015 Annual Report to Congress are open to the public.

Topics To Be Discussed: The Commissioners will be considering draft report sections addressing the following topics:

• U.S.-China Economic and Trade Relations, including: The foreign investment climate in China, China’s economic reforms, and commercial cyber espionage and barriers to digital trade in China.
• Security and Foreign Policy Issues Involving China, including: China’s