DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board [S–89–2015]

Foreign Trade Zone 61—San Juan, Puerto Rico; Application for Subzone; Autogermana, Inc., San Juan, Puerto Rico

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Puerto Rico Trade & Export Company, grantee of FTZ 61, requesting subzone status for the facility of Autogermana, Inc., located in San Juan, Puerto Rico. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on June 11, 2015.

The proposed subzone (2.63 acres) is located at 1086 Muñoz Rivera Avenue in San Juan. The proposed subzone would be subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 61. No authorization for production activity has been requested at this time.

Autogermana is currently operating within Site 22 of FTZ 61. The applicant is also requesting removal of Site 22 of FTZ 61 following a transition period to allow merchandise to be transferred to the new subzone.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Camille Evans of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make recommendations to the Executive Secretary.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is July 27, 2015. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to August 11, 2015.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Camille Evans at Camille.Evans@trade.gov or (202) 482–2350.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results and Final Recission, in Part, of Administrative Review and Final Results of New Shipper Review; 2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 11, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) published the preliminary results of a new shipper review (“NSR”) and the ninth administrative review (“AR”) of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture (“WBF”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”), in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B) and 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”).

The period of review (“POR”) is January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013. The AR covers 28 PRC exporters of subject merchandise, of which the Department selected one company for individual examination, Jiedong Lehouse Furniture Co., Ltd. (“Jiedong Lehouse”). The NSR covers one exporter-producer of subject merchandise, Wuxi Yushea Furniture Co., Ltd. (“Wuxi Yushea”). The Department invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results. We received comments from the American Furniture Manufacturers Committee for Legal Trade and Vaughan-Bassett Furniture Company, Inc. (“Petitioners”) which agreed with our Preliminary Results in the administrative review. No other party commented. Accordingly, our final results remain unchanged from the Preliminary Results.

DATES: Effective Date: June 17, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick O’Connor, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

As noted above, on February 11, 2015, the Department published the Preliminary Results of the NSR and AR of the antidumping duty order on WBF from the PRC covering the period January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013. On March 13, 2015, Petitioners filed briefs in the AR. No other parties submitted comments on the Preliminary Results in the AR or the NSR.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is wooden bedroom furniture, subject to certain exceptions. Imports of subject merchandise are classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) subheadings: 9403.50.9042, 9403.50.9045, 9403.50.9080, 9403.50.9042, 9403.50.9045, 9403.50.9045, 9403.60.8081, 7009.92.1000 or 7009.92.5000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description in the Order remains dispositive.

Analysis of the Comments Received

The issues raised in Petitioners’ case brief are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum which is dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is appended to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System (“ACCESS”). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit of the main Department building, Room 7046. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://...
enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Final Rescission, In Part**

In the Preliminary Results, the Department determined that 16 companies under review in the AR, including Jiedong Lehouse, the company that the Department selected as a mandatory respondent, did not establish their eligibility for separate rate status and will be treated as part of the PRC-wide entity.4 Because no party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity, we will rescind the AR with respect to these 16 companies, including Jiedong Lehouse, as part of the PRC-wide entity.5 Further, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to liquidate entries for these companies at the PRC-wide entity rate, which is 216.01 percent.

**Final Determination of No Shipments**

In the Preliminary Results, we determined that 12 companies subject to this AR did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR.6 We did not receive any comments concerning our finding of no shipments by these 12 companies. In these final results, we continue to determine that these 12 companies had no reviewable transactions of subject merchandise during the POR.

**Final Results of the 2013 New Shipper Review**

The Department has determined that the following dumping margin exists for the exporter-producer combination listed below for the period January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Producer</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wuxi Yushea Furniture Co., Ltd</td>
<td>Wuxi Yushea Furniture Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment Rates**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department has determined, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all shipments of subject merchandise, any exporter under review had no entries of subject merchandise exported by Wuxi Yushea, the new shipper respondent, the Department established a combination cash deposit rate for this company, consistent with its practice, as follows: (1) For subject merchandise produced and exported by Wuxi Yushea, a zero cash deposit will be required. For subject merchandise exported by Wuxi Yushea, but not produced by Wuxi Yushea, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the PRC-wide entity. For subject merchandise produced by Wuxi Yushea, but not exported by Wuxi Yushea, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of these reviews for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date in the Federal Register of the final results of review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) With respect to Wuxi Yushea, the new shipper respondent, the Department established a combination cash deposit rate for this company, consistent with its practice, as follows: (1) For subject merchandise produced and exported by Wuxi Yushea, a zero cash deposit will be required. For subject merchandise exported by Wuxi Yushea, but not produced by Wuxi Yushea, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the PRC-wide entity. For subject merchandise produced by Wuxi Yushea, but not exported by Wuxi Yushea, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the exporter; (2) For previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters named above that did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) For all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the PRC-wide entity, which is 216.01 percent; (4) For all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with

---


7 See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

8 For a full discussion of this practice, see Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65964 (October 24, 2011).
this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

These final results of reviews are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(a)(2)(B), and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213, 351.214.

Dated: June 10, 2015.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary, for Enforcement and Compliance.
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BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–274–806]

Melamine From Trinidad and Tobago: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“Department”) preliminarily determines that melamine from Trinidad and Tobago is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”), as provided in section 733(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the “Act”). The period of investigation is October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014. The estimated weighted-average dumping margins are shown in the “Preliminary Determination” section of this notice. Interested parties are invited to comment on this preliminary determination.

DATES: Effective Date: June 17, 2015.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published the notice of initiation of this investigation on December 9, 2014.1 Pursuant to section 773(c)(1)(A) of the Act, the Department postponed this preliminary LTFV determination by a period of 50 days.2

Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise subject to this investigation is melamine (Chemical Abstracts Service (“CAS”) registry number 108–78–0, molecular formula C3H6N3).3 Melamine is a crystalline powder or granule typically (but not exclusively) used to manufacture melamine formaldehyde resins. All melamine is covered by the scope of this investigation irrespective of purity, particle size, or physical form. Melamine that has been blended with other products is included within this scope when such blends include constituent parts that have been intermingled, but that have not been chemically reacted with each other to produce a different product. For such blends, only the melamine component of the mixture is covered by the scope of this investigation. Melamine that is otherwise subject to this investigation is not excluded when commingled with melamine from sources not subject to this investigation. Only the subject component of such commingled products is covered by the scope of this investigation.

The subject merchandise is provided in subheading 2933.61.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Although the HTSUS subheading and CAS registry number are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive.

Scope Comments

The Department’s Initiation Notice provided interested parties an opportunity to raise issues regarding product coverage (scope).4 None of the parties to the proceeding provided scope comments with respect to this product.

Methodology

The Department has conducted this investigation in accordance with section 731 of the Act. We calculated constructed export price (“CEP”) in accordance with section 777 of the Act, and normal value (“NV”) in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov; and is available to all parties in the Department’s Central Records Unit, located at room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be found at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

All Others Rate

Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act provides that the estimated “all others” rate shall be an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or de minimis margins, and any margins determined entirely under section 776 of the Act. We based our calculation of the “all others” rate on the margin calculated for Methanol Holdings (Trinidad) Limited (“MHTL”),

1 See Melamine from the People’s Republic of China and Trinidad and Tobago: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Value Investigations, 79 FR 73037 (December 9, 2014) (“Initiation Notice”).

2 See Melamine from the People’s Republic of China and Trinidad and Tobago: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations of Antidumping Duty Investigations, 80 FR 12979 (March 12, 2015).

3 Melamine is also known as 2,4,6-triamino-s-triazine; 1,3,5-Triazine-2,4,6-triamine; Cyanuronitrilamide; Cyanuroniamide; Cyanuramide; and by various brand names.

4 See Initiation Notice, 79 FR at 73037.

5 See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Determination in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Melamine from Trinidad and Tobago,” dated concurrently with this notice. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum appears in Appendix II, below.