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Fresh Garlic From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Changed Circumstances Review of Jining Yongjia Trade Co., Ltd. and Jinxiang County Shanfu Frozen Co., Ltd.

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 16, 2014, the Department of Commerce (Department) initiated a changed circumstance review (CCR) of the antidumping duty (AD) order on fresh garlic from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) in response to a request from Jining Yongjia Trade Co., Ltd. (Yongjia), an exporter of fresh and peeled garlic from the People’s Republic of China (PRC), on behalf of its garlic supplier, Jinxiang County Shanfu Frozen Co., Ltd. (Shanfu I). Pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.216, the Department preliminarily determined that Shanfu II is not the successor-in-interest to the entity of the same name (Shanfu I). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Effective date June 30, 2015.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 16, 1994, the Department published the AD order on fresh garlic from the PRC in the Federal Register. On October 8, 2014, Yongjia requested that the Department conduct a CCR pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216(b) to determine that Shanfu II, its garlic supplier, is the successor-in-interest to Shanfu I for purposes of the Order. On November 4, 2014, petitioners submitted comments opposing initiation of this review, 5 contending that Shanfu II is not the successor-in-interest to Shanfu I based on Shanfu I’s dissolution in June 2012.6 The Department initiated this CCR regarding Yongjia and Shanfu II on December 16, 2014.7 On February 6, 2015, the Department issued its initial CCR questionnaire to Yongjia.8 Yongjia timely responded to the Department’s questionnaire.9 On March 23, 2015, the petitioners rebutted Yongjia’s questionnaire response.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is all grades of garlic, whether whole or separated into constituent cloves. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 0703.20.0000, 0703.20.0010, 0703.20.0020, 0703.20.0090, 0710.80.7060, 0710.80.9750, 0711.90.6000, 0711.90.6500, 2005.90.9500, 2005.90.9700, 0703.20.0005, 2005.99.9700 and 0703.20.0015. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description is dispositive.

A complete description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.11

The petitioners are the Fresh Garlic Producers Association and its individual members: Christopher Ranch LLC; The Garlic Company; Valley Garlic, Inc.; and Vessey and Company, Inc. Effective April 8, 2015, the lead petitioner is The Garlic Company.


For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Methodology

In accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act, we are conducting this changed circumstances review based upon the information contained in Yongjia’s submissions. In making a successor-in-interest determination, the Department typically examines several factors including, but not limited to, changes in: (1) Management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base. While no single factor or combination of factors will necessarily be dispositive, the Department generally will consider the new company to be the successor to the predecessor if the resulting operations of the successor are not materially dissimilar to that of its predecessor. Thus, if the record demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as the predecessor company, the Department may assign the new company the cash deposit rate of its predecessor. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov, and ACCESS is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.


4. The petitioners are the Fresh Garlic Producers Association and its individual members: Christopher Ranch LLC; The Garlic Company; Valley Garlic, Inc.; and Vessey and Company, Inc. Effective April 8, 2015, the lead petitioner is The Garlic Company.


7. See Letter from Yongjia, “Response to Initial Questionnaire in Changed Circumstances Review filed on behalf of Jining Yongjia Trade Co., Ltd. and Jinxiang County Shanfu Frozen Co., Ltd.” (February 27, 2015) (Yongjia Questionnaire Response).

8. See Petitioners’ Questionnaire Rebuttal.

Preliminary Results of the Changed Circumstances Review

Based on the evidence reviewed, we preliminarily determine that Shanfu II is not the successor-in-interest to Shanfu I. Specifically, we find that material changes occurred after Shanfu I dissolved and Shanfu II was registered. These were changes in management, business scope, production facilities, supplier relationships, and ownership/legal structure with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise.16 Thus, we preliminarily determine that Shanfu II does not operate as the same business entity as Shanfu I with respect to the subject merchandise. A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum appears in the Appendix to this notice.

If the Department upholds these preliminary results in the final results, Yongjia and Shanfu will be assigned the cash deposit rate currently assigned to the PRC-wide entity with respect to the subject merchandise (i.e., the $4.71 per kilogram cash deposit rate currently assigned to the PRC-wide entity).17 If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this changed circumstances review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to suspend liquidation of entries of fresh garlic made by Shanfu II and exported by Yongjia, effective on the publication date of the final results, at the cash deposit rate assigned to the PRC-wide entity.

Public Comment

Interested parties may submit written comments by no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review in the Federal Register.18 Rebuttals, limited to issues raised in the written comments, may be filed by no later than five days after the written comments are filed.19 Parties that submit written comments or rebuttals are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.20 All briefs are to be filed electronically using ACCESS.21 An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the day on which it is due.22 Any interested party may submit a request for a hearing to the Assistant Secretary of Enforcement and Compliance using ACCESS within 30 days of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.23 Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed.24 Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.25

Final Results of the Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(e), the Department intends to issue the final results of this changed circumstances review not later than 270 days after the date on which the review is initiated.

Notification to Parties

The Department issues and publishes these results in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221.

Dated: June 23, 2015.
Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the Order

IV. Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review Successor-in-Interest

1. Changes in Ownership And Management
2. Production Facilities and Equipment
3. Supplier Relationships
4. Customer Base
5. Other Material Considerations
   a. Dissolution
   b. Change in Corporate Form
V. Summary of Preliminary Findings
VI. Recommendation
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Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From the People’s Republic of China, India, Italy, the Republic of Korea, and Taiwan: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigations

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: June 30, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Myrna Lobo at (202) 482–2371 (the People’s Republic of China, and the Republic of Korea); Matt Renkey or Jerry Huang at (202) 482–2312 and (202) 482–4047, respectively (India); Robert Palmer at (202) 482–9068 (Italy); Kristen Johnson at (202) 482–4793 (Taiwan), AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petitions

On June 3, 2015, the Department of Commerce (Department) received countervailing duty (CVD) petitions concerning imports of certain corrosion-resistant steel products (corrosion-resistant steel) from the People’s Republic of China (PRC), India, Italy, the Republic of Korea (Korea), and Taiwan, filed in proper form on behalf of United States Steel Corporation, Nucor Corporation, Steel Dynamics, Inc., ArcelorMittal USA, LLC, AK Steel Corporation, and California Steel Industries, (collectively, Petitioners). The CVD petitions were accompanied by antidumping duty (AD) petitions also concerning imports of corrosion-resistant steel from all of the above countries.1 Petitioners are domestic producers of corrosion-resistant steel.2 On June 9 and 10, 2015, the Department requested information and clarification for certain areas of the Petitions.3 Petitioners filed responses to

2 See Volume I of the Petitions, at I–2 and Exhibit I–1.
3 See Letter from the Department to Petitioners entitled “Petition for the Imposition of Countervailing Duties on Imports of Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from the People’s Republic of China (PRC): Supplemental

Continued