chloropicrin plus metam (sodium or potassium) or allyl isothiocyanate (Dominus™) used in place of metam in states other than California, dimethyl disulfide (DMDS), and steam.

Applications should address regulatory and economic implications for growers and your region’s production of these crops using these alternatives, including the costs to retrofit equipment and the differential impact of buffers for methyl bromide plus chloropicrin compared to the alternatives. For the economic assessment, applicants should provide the following: Price per pound of fumigant gas used (for both methyl bromide and alternatives) from the most recent use season; application rates; value of the crop being produced; differences in labor inputs (i.e., hours and wages); and any differences in equipment costs or time needed to operate equipment associated with alternatives. Applicants should also address their efforts to secure and use stockpiled methyl bromide.

**Golf Courses**

EPA has not found that a significant market disruption would occur in the golf industry in the absence of methyl bromide. To support a nomination, applicants should address potential changes to quality when converting to alternatives, including: Basamid, chloropicrin, 1,3-dichloropene, 1,3-dichloroprene plus chloropicrin, metam sodium, or allyl isothiocyanate (Dominus™), and steam. Non-fumigant alternatives currently in use (e.g., additional pesticides, fertilizers, different cultural practices, and increased management) should also be described.

Applications should address regulatory and economic implications for growers using these alternatives, including the costs to retrofit equipment and the differential impact of buffers for methyl bromide compared to the alternatives. For the economic assessment, applicants should provide the following: Price per pound of fumigant gas used (both methyl bromide and alternatives) from the most recent use season; application rates; economic impact for the golf course from a transition to alternatives (e.g., downtime when resurfacing, years between fumigations); differences in labor inputs (i.e., hours and wages); and any differences in equipment costs or time needed to operate equipment associated with alternatives. Supporting evidence could be included that would demonstrate that alternatives lead to more frequent resurfacing and therefore, greater adverse economic impacts.

Applicants should also address their efforts to secure and use stockpiled methyl bromide.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7414, 7601, 7671–7671q.

**Dated:** June 23, 2015.

**Sarah Dunham,**

Director, Office of Atmospheric Programs.
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**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**[FRL–9929–87–OA]**

**Notification of Two Public Teleconferences of the Science Advisory Board Chemical Assessment Advisory Committee Augmented for the Review of EPA’s Draft Benzo[a]pyrene Assessment**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.


**DATES:** The public teleconferences will be held on Friday August 21, 2015 and Wednesday September 2, 2015. The teleconferences will be held from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) on both days.

**ADDRESSES:** The public teleconference will be conducted by telephone only.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Any member of the public wishing further information concerning the teleconferences may contact Dr. Diana Wong, Designated Federal Officer (DFO), SAB Staff Office, by telephone/voice mail at (202) 564–2049; or via email at wong.diana-M@epa.gov. General information concerning the EPA Science Advisory Board can be found at the EPA SAB Web site at http://www.epa.gov/sab.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Background: The SAB was established pursuant to the Environmental Research, Development, and Demonstration Authorization Act (ERDAA) codified at 42 U.S.C. 4365, to provide independent scientific and technical advice to the Administrator on the technical basis for Agency positions and regulations. The SAB is a Federal Advisory Committee chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C., App. 2. The SAB will comply with the provisions of FACA and all appropriate SAB Staff Office procedural policies. Pursuant to FACA and EPA policy, notice is hereby given that the SAB CAAC—Benzo[a]pyrene Panel will hold public teleconferences to discuss its draft report regarding the draft IRIS Toxicological Review of Benzo[a]pyrene (September 2014 External Review Draft). The EPA SAB Staff Office augmented the SAB CAAC with subject matter experts to provide advice through the chartered SAB regarding this IRIS assessment.

The SAB CAAC—Benzo[a]pyrene Panel held a public meeting on April 15–17, 2015. The purpose of that meeting was to develop responses to the peer review charge on the agency’s draft IRIS Toxicological Review of Benzo[a]pyrene (September 2014 External Review Draft). The purpose of these public teleconferences is for the Panel to discuss its draft report peer reviewing the agency’s draft toxicological review. The two public teleconferences will be conducted as one complete meeting, beginning on August 21, 2015 and if necessary, will continue on September 2, 2015.

Availability of Meeting Materials: Additional background on this SAB activity, the teleconference agenda, draft report, and other materials for the teleconferences will be posted on the SAB Web site at http://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/sabproduct.nsf/activites/IRIS%20BaP?Open Document

Procedures for Providing Public Input: Public comment for consideration by EPA’s federal advisory committees and panels has a different purpose from public comment provided to EPA program offices. Therefore, the process for submitting comments to a federal advisory committee is different from the process used to submit comments to an EPA program office. Federal advisory committees and panels, including scientific advisory committees, provide independent advice to the EPA. Members of the public can submit relevant comments pertaining to the meeting materials or the group conducting this SAB activity. Input from the public to the SAB will have the most impact if it consists of comments that provide specific scientific or technical information or analysis for SAB committees and panels to consider or if it relates to the clarity or accuracy of the technical information. Members
of the public wishing to provide
comment should contact the DFO
directly.

Oral Statements: In general,
individuals or groups requesting an oral
presentation on a public teleconference
will be limited to three minutes per
speaker. Interested parties wishing to
provide comments should contact Dr.
Diana Wong, DFO (preferably via email),
at the contact information noted above,
by August 14, 2015 to be placed on the
list of public speakers for the
teleconference. Written Statements:
Written statements for these
teleconferences should be received in
the SAB Staff Office by the same
deadlines given above for requesting
oral comments. Written statements
should be supplied to the DFO via
email. It is the SAB Staff Office general
policy to post written comments on the
Web page for the advisory meeting or
teleconference. Submitters are requested
to provide an unsigned version of each
document because the SAB Staff Office
does not publish documents with
signatures on its Web sites. Members of
the public should be aware that their
personal contact information, if
included in any written comments, may
be posted to the SAB Web site.
Copyrighted material will not be posted
without explicit permission of the
copyright holder.

Accessibility: For information on
access or services for individuals with
disabilities, please contact Dr. Diana
Wong at (202) 564–2049 or wong.diana-
M@epa.gov. To request accommodation
of a disability, please contact Dr. Wong
preferably at least ten days prior to the
teleconferences, to give EPA as much
time as possible to process your request.

Dated: June 24, 2015.

Thomas H. Brennan,
Deputy Director, EPA Science Advisory Board
Staff Office.
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Proposed Information Collection
Request; Comment Request; Water
Quality Standards Regulation
(Renewal)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA) is planning to submit an
information collection request (ICR),
“Water Quality Standards Regulation
(Renewal)” (EPA ICR No. 0988.12, OMB
Control No. 2040–0049) to the Office of
Management and Budget (OMB) for
review and approval in accordance with
the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C.
3501 et seq.). Before doing so, EPA is
soliciting public comments on specific
aspects of the proposed information
collection as described below. This is a
proposed extension of the ICR, which is
currently approved through December
31, 2015. An Agency may not conduct
or sponsor and a person is not required
to respond to a collection of information
unless it displays a currently valid OMB
control number.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on
or before August 31, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments,
referencing Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–
OW–2011–0465, online using
www.regulations.gov (our preferred
method), by email to ow-docket@
epa.gov, or by mail to: EPA Docket
Center, Environmental Protection
Agency, Mail Code 28221T, 1200
Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington,
DC 20460.

EPA’s policy is that all comments
received will be included in the public
docket without review of any
personal information provided, unless
the comment includes profanity, threats,
information claimed to be Confidential
Business Information (CBI) or other
information whose disclosure is
restricted by statute.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Tangela Cooper, Office of Water, Office of
Science and Technology, Standards
and Health Protection Division, (4305T),
Environmental Protection Agency, 1200
Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington,
DC 20460; telephone number: 202–566–
0369; fax number: 202–566–0409; email
address: cooper.tangela@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Supporting documents which explain in
detail the information that the EPA will
be collecting are available in the public
docket for this ICR. The docket can be
viewed online at www.regulations.gov
or in person at the EPA Docket Center,
WJ West, Room 3334, 1301
Constitution Ave. NW., Washington,
DC. The telephone number for the
Docket Center is 202–566–1744. For
additional information about EPA’s
public docket, visit http://www.epa.gov/
dockets.

Pursuant to section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the
PRA, EPA is soliciting comments and
information to enable it to: (i)
Evaluate whether the proposed
information collection is necessary for
the proper performance of the
functions of the Agency, including
whether the information will have
practical utility; (ii) evaluate the
accuracy of the Agency’s estimate of the
burden of the proposed collection of
information, including the validity of
the methodology and assumptions used;
(iii) enhance the quality, utility, and
clarity of the information to be
collected; and (iv) minimize the burden
of the collection of information on those
who are to respond, including through
the use of appropriate automated
electronic, mechanical, or other
information technology, e.g., permitting
electronic submission of
responses. EPA will consider the
comments received and amend the ICR
as appropriate. The final ICR package
will then be submitted to OMB for
review and approval. At that time, EPA
will issue another Federal Register
notice to announce the submission of
the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to
submit additional comments to OMB.

Abstract: Water quality standards are
provisions of state,1 tribal, and federal
law that consist of designated uses for
waters of the United States, water
quality criteria to protect the designated
uses, and an antidegradation policy.
Section 303(c) of the Clean Water Act
requires states and authorized tribes to
establish water quality standards, and to
review and, if appropriate, revise their
water quality standards once every three
years. The Act also requires EPA to
review and either approve or disapprove
the new or revised standards, and to
promulgate replacement federal
standards if necessary. Section 118(c)(2)
of the Act specifies additional water
quality standards requirements for
waters of the Great Lakes system.

The Water Quality Standards
regulation (40 CFR part 131 and
portions of part 132) governs national
implementation of the water quality
standards program. The regulation
describes requirements and procedures
for states and authorized tribes to
develop, review, and revise their
water quality standards, and EPA
procedures for reviewing and approving the
water quality standards. The regulation
requires the development and
submission of information to EPA,
including:

—The minimum elements in water
quality standards that each state or
tribe must submit to EPA for review,
including any new or revised water

1 The Clean Water Act defines the term “state” to
mean the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and
specific territories including Guam, the
Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands,
American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the
Northern Mariana Islands.