Dated: May 27, 2015. Sue E. Masica, Regional Director, Intermountain Region, National Park Service. [FR Doc. 2015–16475 Filed 7–2–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4312–CB–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Certain Windshield Wipers and Components Thereof

[Investigation No. 337–TA–928 and Investigation No. 337–TA–937 (Consolidated)]

Notice of a Commission Determination not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating Investigation as to Federal-Mogul Respondents Based on a Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 24) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") terminating the investigation as to Federal-Mogul respondents based on a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at *http://www.usitc.gov.* The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted Investigation No. 337–TA–928, *Certain Windshield Wipers and Components Thereof*, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), on September 2, 2014, based on a complaint filed by Valeo North America, Inc. of Troy, MI, and Delmex de Juarez S. de R.L. de C.V. of Mexico (collectively, "Valeo"). The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,891,044 ("the '044 patent"); 7,937,798 ("the '798 patent"); and 8,220,106 by Federal-Mogul Corp. of Southfield, Michigan; Federal-Mogul Vehicle Component Solutions, Inc. of Southfield, Michigan; and Federal-Mogul S.A. of Aubange, Belgium (collectively, "Federal-Mogul"). 79 FR 52041–42 (Sep. 2, 2014).

On November 21, 2014, the Commission instituted Investigation No. 337–TA–937, *Certain Windshield Wipers and Components Thereof,* based on a separate complaint filed by Valeo. The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 by reason of infringement of certain claims of the '044 patent and the '798 patent by Trico Products Corporation of Rochester Hills, Michigan, Trico Products of Brownsville, Texas; and Trico Componentes SA de CV of Tamaulipas, Mexico. 79 FR 69525–26 (Nov. 21, 2014).

On December 9, 2014, the ALJ consolidated Investigation Nos. 337– TA–928 and 337–TA–937. *See* ALJ Order No. 8 in the investigation 337– TA–928. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations does not participate as a party in these consolidated investigations.

On May 19, 2015, complainants Valeo and respondents Federal-Mogul, *inter alia*, filed a joint motion pursuant to 19 CFR 210.21(a)(2) and (b) to terminate by settlement the Federal-Mogul respondents. No responses were filed.

On June 5, 2015, the ALJ issued Order No. 24 in which he, *inter alia*, granted the joint motion to terminate the investigation as to respondents Federal-Mogul based on a settlement agreement. This portion of Order No. 24 represents the subject ID. The ALJ found that the joint motion complies with the Commission Rules, and that termination of the investigation as to Federal-Mogul is in the public interest and will conserve public and private resources. No party petitioned for review of Order No. 24, and the Commission has determined not to review it.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 29, 2015. William R. Bishop, Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer. [FR Doc. 2015–16436 Filed 7–2–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–776–779 (Third Review)]

Preserved Mushrooms from Chile, China, India, and Indonesia; Scheduling of expedited five-year reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on preserved mushrooms from Chile, China, India, and Indonesia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: Effective Date: June 5, 2015. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joanna Lo (202–205–1888), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background. On June 5, 2015, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (80 FR 11221, March 2, 2015) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.¹ Accordingly,

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be

the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report. A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of these reviews was placed in the nonpublic record on June 19, 2015, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions. As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to these reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to these reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in these reviews. Comments are due on or before July 6, 2015 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to these five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by July 6, 2015. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. Please be aware that the Commission's rules with respect to filing have changed. The most recent amendments took effect on July 25, 2014. See 79 FR 35920 (June 25, 2014), and the revised Commission Handbook on E-filing, available from the

Commission's Web site at *http://edis.usitc.gov*.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination. The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission. Issued: June 29, 2015.

William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015–16434 Filed 7–2–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA-392]

Manufacturer of Controlled Substances Registration: Johnson Matthey, Inc.

ACTION: Notice of registration.

SUMMARY: Johnson Matthey, Inc. applied to be registered as a manufacturer of certain basic classes of controlled substances. The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) grants Johnson Matthey, Inc. registration as a manufacturer of those controlled substances.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By notice dated February 11, 2015, and published in the **Federal Register** on February 19, 2015, 80 FR 8901, Johnson Matthey, Inc., Custom Pharmaceuticals Department, 2003 Nolte Drive, West Deptford, New Jersey 08066–1742 applied to be registered as a manufacturer of certain basic classes of controlled substances. No comments or objections were submitted for this notice.

The DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and determined that the registration of Johnson Matthey, Inc. to manufacture the basic classes of controlled substances is consistent with the public interest and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971. The DEA investigated the company's maintenance of effective controls against diversion by inspecting and testing the company's physical security systems, verifying the company's compliance with state and local laws, and reviewing the company's background and history.

Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33, the above-named company is granted registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed:

Controlled substance	Schedule
Gamma Hydroxybutyric Acid (2010).	I
Marihuana (7360)	1
Tetrahydrocannabinols (7370)	1
Dihydromorphine (9145)	1
Difenoxin (9168)	1
Propiram (9649)	1
Amphetamine (1100)	П
Methamphetamine (1105)	П
Lisdexamfetamine (1205)	П
Methylphenidate (1724)	П
Nabilone (7379)	П
Cocaine (9041)	П
Codeine (9050)	П
Dihydrocodeine (9120)	П
Oxycodone (9143)	П
Hydromorphone (9150)	П
Diphenoxylate (9170)	П
Ecgonine (9180)	П
Hydrocodone (9193)	П
Meperidine (9230)	П
Methadone (9250)	П
Methadone intermediate (9254)	П
Morphine (9300)	П
Thebaine (9333)	П
Oxymorphone (9652)	П
Noroxymorphone (9668)	П
Alfentanil (9737)	П
Remifentanil (9739)	П
Sufentanil (9740)	П
Tapentadol (9780)	П
Fentanyl (9801)	П

The company plans to manufacture the listed controlled substances in bulk for sale to its customers.

Dated: June 25, 2015.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator. [FR Doc. 2015–16452 Filed 7–2–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

² The Commission has found the responses submitted by L.K. Bowman Co., Monterey Mushrooms, Inc., and The Mushroom Co. to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).