Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.11 The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of the final results of this review. For each individually-examined respondent in this review (i.e., CPZ/SGBC) which has a weighted-average dumping margin which is not zero or de minimis (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), we will calculate importer-specific assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales to the total entered value of those sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).12 Where either the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer-specific ad valorem dumping margin is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For the respondents which were not selected for individual examination in this administrative review and which qualified for a separate rate, the assessment rate will be equal to the dumping margin assigned to the non-examined separate-rate companies in the 2012–2013 administrative review of TRBs from the PRC.13

For the final results, if we continue to treat Yantai CMC as part of the PRC-wide entity, we will instruct CBP to apply an ad valorem assessment rate of 92.84 percent to all entries of subject merchandise which were exported by Yantai CMC.

For entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise during the POR which were exported by Yantai CMC.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporters listed above which have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or de minimis, then a cash deposit rate of zero will be established for that company); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the PRC-wide entity, 92.84 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these preliminary results of review in accordance with sections 731(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4). Dated: June 30, 2015.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Discussion of the Methodology
   a. Non-Market Economy Country
   b. Separate Rates
   c. Separate Rate for Non-Selected Companies
   d. Collapsing of CPZ With Another Producer of TRBs
   e. Surrogate Country
   f. Date of Sale
   g. Normal Value Comparisons
5. Conclusion
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Fresh Garlic From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of the Changed Circumstances Review of Lanling Qingshui Vegetable Foods Co., Ltd.

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 23, 2015, the Department of Commerce (Department) published a notice of preliminary results of a changed circumstance review (CCR) of the antidumping duty (AD) order on fresh garlic from the People’s Republic of China (PRC)1 in response to a request from Lanling Qingshui Vegetable Foods Co., Ltd. (Qingshui), a producer/exporter of fresh and peeled garlic from the PRC.2 Pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.216, the Department preliminarily determined that Qingshui is the successor-in-interest to Cangshan Qingshui Vegetable Foods Co., Ltd. (Cangshan Qingshui) for purposes of the AD order on fresh garlic from the PRC, and, as such, is entitled to Cangshan Qingshui’s cash deposit rate with respect to entries of subject merchandise. We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary


See ‘Rate for Non-Examined Companies Which Are Eligible for a Separate Rate’ section, above.

11 See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

12 In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings, Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012). The Department will limit the application of this assessment rate to CPZ/SKF’s entries of subject merchandise because SGBC’s entries were not subject to the antidumping duty order on TRBs during the POR. For further discussion, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

13 See ‘Rate for Non-Examined Companies Which Are Eligible for a Separate Rate’ section, above.

For a full discussion of this practice, see Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).
Results: As no parties submitted comments or requested a hearing, the Department continues to find that Qingshui is the successor-in-interest to Cangshan Qingshui for these final results.

DATES: Effective Date: July 7, 2015.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Based on a request from Qingshui, the Department initiated a CCR on Qingshui to determine whether it is the successor-in-interest to Cangshan Qingshui, for purposes of determining antidumping duties due as a result of the Order. The Department preliminarily determined that Qingshui is the successor-in-interest to Cangshan Qingshui and is entitled to its cash deposit rate with respect to entries of merchandise subject to the AD order on fresh garlic from the PRC. The Department provided interested parties 30 days from the date of publication of the preliminary results to submit comments or request a public hearing in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii). No parties submitted comments or requests for a public hearing.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all grades of garlic, whole or separated into constituent cloves, whether or not peeled, fresh, chilled, frozen, water or other neutral substance, but not prepared or preserved by the addition of other ingredients or heat processing. The differences between grades are based on color, size, sheathing, and level of decay. The scope of the order does not include the following: (a) Garlic that has been mechanically harvested and that is primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use; or (b) garlic that has been specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed.

As a result of this determination, the Department finds that Qingshui is entitled to the cash deposit rate previously assigned to Cangshan Qingshui as determined in the new shipper review of Cangshan Qingshui. Consequently, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping duties for all shipments of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by Qingshui, and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice in the Federal Register at $3.06 per kilogram, which is the current antidumping duty cash deposit rate for Cangshan Qingshui. This cash deposit requirement shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Parties

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these final results in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: June 30, 2015.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FPR Doc. 2015–16644 Filed 7–6–15; 8:45 am]
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National Institute of Standards and Technology Plan for Providing Public Access to the Results of Federally Funded Research

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) seeks comments on the NIST Public Access Plan (NIST Public Access Plan). NIST is taking steps to make its scientific data and publications more readily available and accessible by the public, as directed in several recent White House memoranda. The NIST Public Access Plan applies to the results of research funded wholly or in part by NIST, presented in peer-reviewed scholarly publications and as research data. This document outlines NIST’s plan for implementing new responsibilities and procedures to manage the public access of scientific data and publications. The NIST Public Access Plan was reviewed by the Office of Science and Technology Policy and the Office of Management and Budget; they approved it on December 4, 2014. Comments received on the NIST Public Access Plan will inform NIST as it revises its directives that implement the Plan.

DATES: Comments must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on August 21, 2015 to be considered.

* * *

2 See Preliminary Results.