| Type of respondent | Data collection<br>activity                           | Number of respondents | Number of<br>responses<br>per<br>respondent<br>(frequency) | Total<br>number of<br>responses | Response<br>time per<br>response<br>(in hours) | Total annual<br>burden<br>(in hours) | Average<br>hourly wage<br>(Jan 2015)* | Total<br>annualized<br>cost |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Study Participant  | Participant Track-<br>ing System—<br>Data Collection. | 1,633                 | 6  | 9,798                           | 0.167  | 1,636                                | \$20.81                               | \$34,051                    |
| Program Staff      | Site Visit Inter-<br>views.                           | 32                    | 2  | 64                              | 0.750  | 48                                   | \$24.48                               | \$1,175                     |
| Study Participant  | 18-Month Follow-<br>Up Survey.                        | 1,306                 | 1  | 1,306                           | 0.333  | 435                                  | \$20.81                               | \$9,050                     |
| Total              |   | 2,971                 |  | 11,168                          |  | 2,119                                |                                       | \$44,276                    |

\* For the wage rate used for the "study participant," see U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Table B–8. Average hourly and weekly earnings of production and nonsupervisory employees on private nonfarm payrolls by industry sector, seasonally adjusted (accessed from the following website as of January 2015: http://www.bls.gov/news.release/empsit.t24.htm). For the wage rate for "program staff," see U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Table B–3. Average hourly and weekly earnings of all employees on private nonfarm payrolls by industry sector, seasonally adjusted (accessed from the following website as of January 2015: http://www.bls.gov/news.release/empsit.t24.htm).

We will summarize and/or include the comments received in response to this request for comments in our request for OMB approval of the ICR. They will also become a matter of public record.

#### Portia Wu,

Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training, Labor. IFR Doc. 2015–16717 Filed 7–7–15: 8:45 aml

BILLING CODE 4510-FT-P

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

#### [Notice (15-051)]

# Notice of Intent To Grant Exclusive License

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to grant exclusive license.

SUMMARY: This notice is issued in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209(e) and 37 CFR 404.7(a)(1)(i). NASA hereby gives notice of its intent to grant a partially exclusive license in the United States to practice the inventions described and claimed in USPN 8,255,079, Human Grasp Assist Device and Method of Use, NASA Case No. MSC-24741-1; USSN 13/408,675, Control of a Glove-Based Grasp Assist Device, NASA Case No. 25320-1; USSN 13/408,668, Human Grasp Assist Device Soft Good, NASA Case No. MSC-25318-1; Japanese Patent Application No. 2014–064953, Human Grasp Assist Soft, NASA Case No. MSC-25318-2; USPN 8,849,453, Human Grasp Assist Device with Exoskeleton, NASA Case No. 25319-1 and USSN 14/ 175,094, Grasp Assist Device with Shared Tendon Actuator Assembly, NASA Case No. MSC-25783-1 to CSSDP Investments, Inc., having its principal place of business in Newport Coast, California. The fields of use may

be limited to assistive wearable devices for humans. The patent rights in these inventions have been assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The prospective partially exclusive license will comply with the terms and conditions of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7.

**DATES:** The prospective partially exclusive license may be granted unless within fifteen (15) days from the date of this published notice, NASA receives written objections including evidence and argument that establish that the grant of the license would not be consistent with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7. Competing applications completed and received by NASA within fifteen (15) days of the date of this published notice will also be treated as objections to the grant of the contemplated exclusive license.

Objections submitted in response to this notice will not be made available to the public for inspection and, to the extent permitted by law, will not be released under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

ADDRESSES: Objections relating to the prospective license may be submitted to Patent Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel, NASA Johnson Space Center, 2101 NASA Parkway, Mail Code AL, Houston, Texas 77058, Phone (281) 483–3021; Fax (281) 483–6936.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Michelle P. Lewis, Johnson Space Center, 2101 NASA Parkway, Technology Transfer and Commercialization Office, Mail Code AO52, Houston, TX 77058, (281) 483– 8051. Information about other NASA inventions available for licensing can be found online at *http:// technology.nasa.gov.* 

## Mark P. Dvorscak,

Agency Counsel for Intellectual Property. [FR Doc. 2015–16641 Filed 7–7–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–13–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2013-0225]

## Physical Security—Review of Physical Security System Designs—Standard Design Certification and Operating Reactor Licensing Applications

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Standard review plan-final section revision; issuance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a final revision to the following section of NUREG–0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants: LWR Edition," Section 13.6.2, "Physical Security—Review of Physical Security System Designs—Standard Design Certification and Operating Reactor Licensing Applications."

**DATES:** The effective date of this Standard Review Plan (SRP) update is August 7, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2013–0225 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

• Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC–2013–0225. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–415–3463;

email: *Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov*. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

 NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may obtain publiclyavailable documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ adams.html. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced. The final revision for SRP Section 13.6.2, "Physical Security-Review of Physical Security System Designs—Standard Design Certification and Operating Reactor Licensing Applications" is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML14140A210.

• *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

• The NRC posts its issued staff guidance on the NRC's external Web page (http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr0800/).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Notich, Office of New Reactors, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone: 301–415–6992, email: *Mark.Notich@ nrc.gov.* 

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

On September 30, 2013 (78 FR 59981), the NRC published for public comment draft Revision 2 of SRP Section 13.6.2, "Physical Security—Review of Physical Security System Designs—Standard Design Certification and Operating Reactor Licensing Applications." This section has been developed to assist the NRC staff with the review of the physical security system designs for design certification and operating reactor license applications and to inform applicants and other affected entities of guidance regarding an acceptable method by which to evaluate the affected portions of part 73 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR).

The NRC staff received comment submissions on the proposed revision.

The NRC staff made several changes to the proposed revision after consideration of the comments. The comments are documented alongside the NRC staff's responses are available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML14140A207. A redline strikeout comparing the proposed draft and final revision can be found in ADAMS under Accession No. ML14140A208.

#### II. Backfitting and Issue Finality

Issuance of this final SRP section does not constitute backfitting as defined in 10 CFR 50.109 (the Backfit Rule) and is not otherwise inconsistent with the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. The NRC staff's position is based upon the following considerations:

1. The SRP positions do not constitute backfitting, inasmuch as the SRP is internal guidance directed at the NRC staff with respect to their regulatory responsibilities.

The SRP provides guidance to the staff on how to review an application for NRC regulatory approval in the form of licensing. Changes in internal staff guidance are not matters for which either nuclear power plant applicants or licensees are protected under either the Backfit Rule or the issue finality provisions of 10 CFR part 52.

2. Backfitting and issue finality—with certain exceptions discussed below—do not protect current or future applicants.

Applicants and potential applicants are not, with certain exceptions, protected by either the Backfit Rule or any issue finality provisions under 10 CFR part 52. This is because neither the Backfit Rule nor the issue finality provisions were intended to apply to every NRC action which substantially changes the expectations of current and future applicants.

The exceptions to the general principle are applicable whenever an applicant references a 10 CFR part 52 license (e.g., an early site permit) and/ or NRC regulatory approval (e.g., a design certification rule) with specified issue finality provisions. The staff does not currently intend to impose the positions represented in this SRP section in a manner that is inconsistent with any issue finality provisions of 10 CFR part 52. If in the future the NRC staff does indeed intend to impose positions inconsistent with these issue finality provisions, the NRC staff must address the regulatory criteria for avoiding issue finality.

3. The NRC staff has no intention to impose the SRP positions on existing nuclear power plant licenses or regulatory approvals either now or in the future (absent a voluntary request for change from the licensee, holder of a regulatory approval, or a design certification applicant).

The staff does not intend to impose or apply the positions described in the SRP section to existing (already issued) licenses (e.g., operating licenses and combined licenses) and regulatory approvals-in this case, design certifications. Hence, the issuance of this SRP guidance even if considered guidance which is within the purview of the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52-need not be evaluated as if it were a backfit or as being inconsistent with issue finality provisions. If, in the future, the staff seeks to impose a position in the SRP on holders of already issued licenses in a manner which does not provide issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision, then the staff must make the showing as set forth in the Backfit Rule, or address the criteria for avoiding issue finality as described applicable issue finality provision, as applicable.

### **III. Congressional Review Act**

This action is a rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801–808). However, the Office of Management and Budget has not found it to be a major rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of June, 2015.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

# Lawrence Burkhart,

Acting Chief, New Reactor Rulemaking and Guidance Branch, Division of Advanced Reactors and Rulemaking, Office of New Reactors.

[FR Doc. 2015–16741 Filed 7–7–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2013-0124]

## Strategies and Guidance To Address Loss of Large Areas of the Plant Due to Explosions and Fires

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory

Commission.

**ACTION:** Standard review plan-final section revision; issuance.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a final revision to the following section of NUREG–0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants: LWR Edition": Section 19.4, "Strategies and Guidance to Address Loss of Large Areas of the Plant due to Explosions and Fires."