

deployed during aerial refueling operations. Application of 14 CFR 25.345(c) would drive increased maneuver and gust loads resulting in impractical redesign of the wing structure. The airplane design provides adequate structural capability for aerial operations with high lift devices deployed due to the limited maneuvering and the low frequency of occurrence. The petitioner requests an exemption from 14 CFR 25.345(c).

[FR Doc. 2015-16866 Filed 7-9-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### Sixth Meeting: Special Committee 231 (SC 231)

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Sixth Meeting Notice of Special Committee 231.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is issuing this notice to advise the public of the sixth meeting of the Special Committee 231.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held September 22nd–September 24th from 9:00 a.m.–5:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at RTCA Headquarters, 1150 18th Street NW., Suite 910, Washington, DC 20036, Tel: (202) 330-0663.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The RTCA Secretariat, 1150 18th Street NW., Suite 910, Washington, DC 20036, or by telephone at (202) 833-9339, fax at (202) 833-9434, or Web site at <http://www.rtca.org> or Sophie Bousquet, Program Director, RTCA, Inc., [sbousquet@rtca.org](mailto:sbousquet@rtca.org), (202) 330-0663.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 5 U.S.C., App.), notice is hereby given for a meeting of the Special Committee 231. The agenda will include the following:

#### Tuesday, September 22, 2015

1. Welcome/Introduction
2. Administrative Remarks
3. Agenda Review
4. Summary of Working Group activities
5. Other Business
6. Date and Place of Next Meeting

#### Wednesday, September 23, 2015

1. Continuation of Plenary or Working Group Session

#### Thursday, September 24, 2015

1. Continuation of Plenary or Working Group Session

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 7, 2015.

**Latasha Robinson,**

*Management & Program Analyst, NextGen, Program Oversight and Administration, Federal Aviation Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2015-16961 Filed 7-9-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Highway Administration

#### Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on US 69/Loop 49 North Lindale Reliever Route, Smith County, Texas

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), U.S. DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by TxDOT and Federal Agencies.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces actions taken by Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT) and Federal agencies that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). The actions relate to a proposed highway project, US 69/Loop 49 North Lindale Reliever Route, Smith County, Texas. Those actions grant licenses, permits, and approvals for the project.

**DATES:** By this notice, TxDOT is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before December 7, 2015. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 150 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Carlos Swonke, P.G., Environmental Affairs Division, Texas Department of Transportation, 125 East 11th Street, Austin, Texas 78701; telephone: (512) 416-2734; email: [carlos.swonke@txdot.gov](mailto:carlos.swonke@txdot.gov). TxDOT normal business hours are 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. (central time) Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that TxDOT and Federal agencies have taken final agency actions by issuing licenses, permits, and

approvals for the following highway project in the State of Texas: US 69/ Loop 49 North Lindale Reliever Route, Smith County, Texas. The project will construct a new location, full control of access reliever route around the city of Lindale in Smith County, Texas, referred to as U.S. Highway (US) 69/ Loop 49 North Lindale Reliever Route (Lindale Reliever Route). The proposed action is intended to provide relief to the existing US 69 through the city of Lindale and extend a proposed toll facility (Loop 49 West) from Interstate Highway (IH) 20 southwest of Lindale to US 69 north of Lindale, a distance of approximately seven miles.

The actions by TxDOT and the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the project, approved on February 10, 2015, in the Record of Decision (ROD) issued on April 24, 2015, and in other documents in the TxDOT administrative record. The FEIS, ROD, and other documents in the administrative record file are available by contacting TxDOT at the address provided above. The FEIS and ROD can be viewed on the project Web site at <http://www.txdot.gov/inside-txdot/projects/studies/tyler/us69-loop49.html>. This notice applies to all TxDOT decisions and Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

1. General: National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321-4351]; Federal-Aid Highway Act [23 U.S.C. 109].
2. Air: Clean Air Act [42 U.S.C. 7401-7671(q)].
3. Land: Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966 [49 U.S.C. 303]; Landscaping and Scenic Enhancement (Wildflowers), 23 U.S.C. 319.
4. Wildlife: Endangered Species Act [16 U.S.C. 1531-1544 and Section 1536]; Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act [16 U.S.C. 661-667(d)]; Migratory Bird Treaty Act [16 U.S.C. 703-712].
5. Historic and Cultural Resources: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended [16 U.S.C. 470(f) *et seq.*]; Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1977 [16 U.S.C. 470(aa)-11]; Archeological and Historic Preservation Act [16 U.S.C. 469-469(c)]; Native American Grave Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) [25 U.S.C. 3001-3013].
6. Social and Economic: Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C. 2000(d)-2000(d)(1)]; American Indian Religious Freedom Act [42 U.S.C. 1996]; Farmland

Protection Policy Act (FPPA) [7 U.S.C. 4201–4209].

7. Wetlands and Water Resources: Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) [16 U.S.C. 4601–4604]; Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) [42 U.S.C. 300(f)–300(j)(6)]; Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 [33 U.S.C. 401–406]; Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [16 U.S.C. 1271–1287]; Emergency Wetlands Resources Act [16 U.S.C. 3921, 3931]; TEA–21 Wetlands Mitigation [23 U.S.C. 103(b)(6)(m), 133(b)(11)]; Flood Disaster Protection Act [42 U.S.C. 4001–4128].

8. Executive Orders: E.O. 11990, Protection of Wetlands; E.O. 11988, Floodplain Management; E.O. 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations; E.O. 11593, Protection and Enhancement of Cultural Resources; E.O. 13007, Indian Sacred Sites; E.O. 13287, Preserve America; E.O. 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments; E.O. 11514, Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality; E.O. 13112, Invasive Species; E.O. 12372, Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried-out by TxDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated December 16, 2014, and executed by FHWA and TxDOT.

**Authority:** 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1).

Issued on: June 22, 2015.

**Michael T. Leary,**

*Director, Planning and Program Development, Federal Highway Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2015–16182 Filed 7–9–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–22–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2014–0109; Notice 2]

#### RECARO Child Safety, LLC, Denial of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Denial of petition.

**SUMMARY:** RECARO Child Safety, LLC (Recaro) determined that certain Recaro child restraints do not fully comply with the system integrity requirements

of paragraph S5.1.1(a) of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 213, *Child Restraint Systems*. Recaro filed an appropriate report, pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, *Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and Reports*, that was received by NHTSA on July 30, 2014. Recaro also submitted a petition for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis of the petitioner's belief that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. NHTSA published a notice of receipt of the petition and requested comment on the petition. After consideration of Recaro's analysis and other information, NHTSA has decided to deny the petition.

**ADDRESSES:** For further information on this decision contact Zachary Fraser, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), telephone (202) 366–5754, facsimile (202) 366–5930.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: I. Overview:** Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h) (see implementing rule at 49 CFR part 556), Recaro submitted a petition for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis of the petitioner's belief that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

Notice of receipt of the petition was published, with a 30-day public comment period, on November 21, 2014 in the **Federal Register** (79 FR 69551). Comments were received, from an individual, Sean Stewart, and from Advocates for Highway and Auto Safety (Advocates). Both commenters opposed the petition. Mr. Stewart believes that child restraint manufacturers should be required to meet the applicable requirements in FMVSS No. 213 regardless of the manufacturer's instructions and warnings. Advocates believes that “the reasons provided by RECARO fail to justify determining that the non-compliance is inconsequential.” To view the petition, the comments, and all supporting documents, log onto the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) Web site at: <http://www.regulations.gov/>. Follow the online search instructions to locate docket number “NHTSA–2014–0109.”

II. Child Restraints Involved: Affected are approximately 78,339 Recaro ProRIDE child restraints manufactured between April 9, 2010 and July 8, 2014, and approximately 42,303 Recaro Performance RIDE child restraints

manufactured between January 15, 2013 and July 8, 2014.

III. Noncompliance: Recaro explains that the subject child restraints do not comply with the system integrity requirements of FMVSS No. 213, paragraph S5.1.1(a), when subjected to the dynamic test requirements of FMVSS No. 213 S6.1. During NHTSA's compliance tests with the Hybrid II six-year-old child dummy and the Hybrid III weighted six-year-old child dummy connected to the child restraints with the internal harness and the child restraints attached to the test bench with a lap belt and top tether, the tether belt separated at the attachment point to the child restraints. The top tether belt separation exhibited a complete separation of a load bearing structural element. Therefore, the child restraints do not comply with the requirements set forth in FMVSS No. 213 S5.1.1(a).<sup>1</sup>

IV. Rule Text: Paragraph S5.1.1 of FMVSS No. 213 requires, in pertinent part:

S5.1.1 Child restraint system integrity. When tested in accordance with S6.1, each child restraint system shall meet the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (c) of this section.

(a) Exhibit no complete separation of any load bearing structural element and no partial separation exposing either surfaces with a radius of less than 1/4 inch or surfaces with protrusions greater than 3/8 inch above the immediate adjacent surrounding contactable surface of any structural element of the system.

\* \* \* \* \*

Under S6.1 of FMVSS No. 213, NHTSA tests child restraints with a child test dummy selected for use in accordance with the provisions of S7 of the standard. Under S7, the selection is based on the heights and weights of the children for whom the child restraint is sold. Under S7.1.2(d), NHTSA uses the Hybrid II (HII) or Hybrid III (HIII) six-year-old child test dummy to test CRSs recommended for children with masses greater than 18 kg (40 lb). Under S7.1.2(e), NHTSA uses the HIII weighted six-year-old child test dummy to test CRSs for children with masses above 22.7 kg (50 lb). The children for whom Recaro sold the subject CRSs included children with masses from 18 kilograms (kg) (40 pounds (lb)) to 30 kg (65 lb). Thus, under FMVSS No. 213, Recaro's child restraints were required to meet the child restraint system integrity requirements of FMVSS No. 213 when tested with the six-year-old

<sup>1</sup> Petitioner informed NHTSA that production and distribution of the subject child restraints affected by the noncompliance were corrected effective July 9, 2014.