for which we would prepare a
Federalism Assessment.

Regulatory Flexibility Act
The Director of the Bureau of Prisons, under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), reviewed this regulation. By approving it, the Director certifies that it will not have a significant economic impact upon a substantial number of small entities because: This proposed rule is about the correctional management of offenders committed to the custody of the Attorney General or the Director of the Bureau of Prisons, and its economic impact is limited to the Bureau’s appropriated funds.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995
This proposed rule will not cause State, local and tribal governments, or the private sector, to spend $100,000,000 or more in any one year, and it will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. We do not need to take action under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

Small Business Regulatory Enforcement
Fairness Act of 1996
This proposed rule is not a major rule as defined by section 804 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. This proposed rule would not result in an annual effect on the economy of $100,000,000 or more; a major increase in costs or prices; or significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based companies to compete with foreign-based companies in domestic and export markets.

List of Subjects in 28 CFR Part 550
Prisoners.

Charles E. Samuels, Jr.,
Director, Bureau of Prisons.

Under the rulemaking authority vested in the Attorney General in 5 U.S.C. 301; 28 U.S.C. 509, 510 and delegated to the Director, Bureau of Prisons in 28 CFR 0.96, we propose to amend 28 CFR part 550 as follows:

PART 550—DRUG PROGRAMS
1. The authority citation for part 550 continues to read as follows:
2. Revise § 550.50 to read as follows:
§ 550.50 Purpose and scope.
The purpose of this subpart is to describe the Bureau’s drug abuse treatment programs for the inmate population, to include drug abuse education, non-residential drug abuse treatment services, and residential drug abuse treatment programs (RDAP). These services are provided by Psychology Services department.
3. Amend § 550.53 by revising paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(3), (f), and (g) to read as follows:
§ 550.53 Residential Drug Abuse Treatment Program (RDAP).
(a) * * * *
(1) Unit-based component. Inmates must complete a course of activities provided by the Psychology Services Department in a treatment unit set apart from the general prison population. This component must last at least six months.
* * * *
(3) Community Treatment Services (CTS). Inmates who have completed the unit-based program and (when appropriate) the follow-up treatment and transferred to a community-based program must complete CTS to have successfully completed RDAP and receive incentives. The Warden, on the basis of his or her discretion, may find an inmate ineligible for participation in a community-based program; therefore, the inmate cannot complete RDAP.
* * * *
(f) Completing the unit-based component of RDAP. To complete the unit-based component of RDAP, inmates must have satisfactory attendance and participation in all RDAP activities.
(g) Expulsion from RDAP. (1) Inmates may be removed from the program by the Drug Abuse Program Coordinator because of disruptive behavior related to the program or unsatisfactory progress in treatment.
(2) Ordinarily, inmates must be given at least one formal warning before removal from RDAP. A formal warning is not necessary when the documented lack of compliance with program standards is of such magnitude that an inmate’s continued presence would create an immediate and ongoing problem for staff and other inmates.
(3) We may return an inmate who withdraws or is removed from RDAP to his/her prior institution (if we had transferred the inmate specifically to participate in RDAP).
* * * *
4. Revise § 550.55(b)(4) and (6) to read as follows:
§ 550.55 Eligibility for early release.
* * * *
(b) * * *
(4) Inmates who have a prior felony or misdemeanor conviction within the ten years prior to the date of sentencing for their current commitment for:
(i) Homicide (including deaths caused by recklessness, but not including deaths caused by negligence or justifiable homicide);
(ii) Forcible rape;
(iii) Robbery;
(iv) Aggravated assault;
(v) Arson;
(vi) Kidnapping; or
(vii) An offense that by its nature or conduct involves sexual abuse offenses committed upon minors;
* * * *
(6) Inmates who have been convicted of an attempt, conspiracy, or solicitation to commit an underlying offense listed in paragraph (b)(4) and/or (b)(5) of this section; or
* * * *
5. Revise § 550.56 to read as follows:
§ 550.56 Community Treatment Services (CTS).
(a) For inmates to successfully complete all components of RDAP, they must participate in CTS. If inmates refuse or fail to complete CTS, they fail RDAP and are disqualified for any additional incentives.
(b) Inmates with a documented drug use problem who did not choose to participate in RDAP may be required to participate in CTS as a condition of participation in a community-based program, with the approval of the Supervisory Community Treatment Services Coordinator.

[FR Doc. 2015–17707 Filed 7–21–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–05–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
39 CFR part 3050
[Docket No. RM2015–11; Order No. 2593]
Periodic Reporting
AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.
SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing requesting that the Commission initiate an informal rulemaking proceeding to consider changes to analytical principles relating to periodic reports (Proposal Three). This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.
mail from Delivery Barcode Sequencing (DBCS) second pass operations. Id. at 3. The remaining portion of MEPs would continue to employ the existing manual ODIS–RPW sampling techniques. Id. at 4–5. The Postal Service asserts that all of the mail characteristics currently collected from manually sampled mailpieces can be collected from digitally captured images of sampled mailpieces, except for weight and shape. Id. at 3. The Postal Service proposes to use the weight and shape data from those MEPs that continue to employ manual sampling techniques as a distribution key for the digitally sampled mailpieces. Id. at 5.

The Postal Service plans to implement the change in Proposal Three beginning on January 1, 2016. Id. at 3. The Postal Service asserts that the proposed change would only impact three mail categories: First-Class Mail single-piece cards, First-Class Mail single-piece stamped letters, and First-Class Mail single-piece metered letters. Id. at 5.

The Postal Service states that the changes in Proposal Three will have very little impact on the business needs that the ODIS–RPW system supports. Id. at 10. Moreover, the Postal Service notes that the changes in Proposal Three will result in cost savings through the elimination of travel time and on-site work hours for ODIS–RPW data collectors. Id. at 5 n.1.

III. Initial Commission Action


4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–17939 Filed 7–21–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri; Control of Petroleum Liquid Storage, Loading and Transfer

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the state of Missouri. This revision includes regulatory amendments that remove the requirements of stage II vapor recovery control systems at gasoline dispensing facilities in the St. Louis area, revises certification and testing procedures for stage I vapor recovery systems, prohibits above ground storage tanks at gasoline dispensing facilities, and includes general revisions to better clarify the rule.

The revisions to Missouri’s SIP do not have an adverse effect on air quality as demonstrated in Missouri’s technical demonstration document and EPA’s technical support demonstration which is a part of this docket.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 21, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R07–OAR–2015–0268, by one of the following methods:

1. www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

2. Email: brown.steven@epa.gov.

3. Mail or Hand Delivery or Courier: Steven Brown, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–R07–OAR–2015–0268. EPA’s policy is that all comments...