DATES: Comments are due: August 31, 2015. Reply Comments are due: September 10, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
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I. Introduction

On July 14, 2015, the Postal Service filed a petition pursuant to 39 CFR 3050.11 requesting that the Commission initiate an informal rulemaking proceeding to consider a proposed change in analytical principles relating to periodic reports.1 A description of Proposal Three is attached to the Petition.

II. Summary of Proposal

The Postal Service states that the changes in Proposal Three will have very little impact on the business needs that the ODIS–RPW system supports. Id. at 5. The Postal Service notes that the changes in Proposal Three will result in cost savings through the elimination of travel time and on-site work hours for ODIS–RPW data collectors. Id. at 5 n.1.

III. Initial Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket No. RM2015–11 for consideration of matters raised by the Petition. Additional information concerning the Petition may be accessed via the Commission’s Web site at http://www.prc.gov.

IV. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:


mail from Delivery Barcode Sequencing (DBCS) second pass operations. Id. at 3. The remaining portion of MEPs would continue to employ the existing manual ODIS–RPW sampling techniques. Id. at 4–5. The Postal Service asserts that all of the mail characteristics currently collected from manually sampled mailpieces can be collected from digitally captured images of sampled mailpieces, except for weight and shape. Id. at 3. The Postal Service proposes to use the weight and shape data from those MEPs that continue to employ manual sampling techniques as a distribution key for the digitally sampled mailpieces. Id. at 5.

The Postal Service plans to implement the change in Proposal Three beginning on January 1, 2016. Id. at 3. The Postal Service asserts that the proposed change would only impact three mail categories: First-Class Mail single-piece cards, First-Class Mail single-piece stamped letters, and First-Class Mail single-piece metered letters. Id. at 5.

The change in Proposal Three would have very little impact on the business needs that the ODIS–RPW system supports. Id. at 10. Moreover, the Postal Service notes that the changes in Proposal Three will result in cost savings through the elimination of travel time and on-site work hours for ODIS–RPW data collectors. Id. at 5 n.1.


4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–17939 Filed 7–21–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri; Control of Petroleum Liquid Storage, Loading and Transfer

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the state of Missouri. This revision includes regulatory amendments that remove the requirements of stage II vapor recovery control systems at gasoline dispensing facilities in the St. Louis area, revises certification and testing procedures for stage I vapor recovery systems, prohibits above ground storage tanks at gasoline dispensing facilities, and includes general revisions to better clarify the rule. These revisions to Missouri’s SIP do not have an adverse effect on air quality as demonstrated in Missouri’s technical demonstration document and EPA’s technical support demonstration which is a part of this docket.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 21, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R07–OAR–2015–0268, by one of the following methods:

1. www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

2. Email: brown.steven@epa.gov.

3. Mail or Hand Delivery or Courier: Steven Brown, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–R07–OAR–2015–0268. EPA’s policy is that all comments
received will be included in the public docket without change and may be
made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any
personal information provided, unless the comment includes information
claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information
whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you
consider to be CBI or otherwise
protected through www.regulations.gov
or email. The www.regulations.gov Web
site is an “anonymous access” system,
which means EPA will not know your
identity or contact information unless
you provide it in the body of your
comment. If you send an email
comment directly to EPA without going
through www.regulations.gov, your
email address will be automatically
captured and included as part of the
comment that is placed in the public
docket and made available on the
Internet. If you submit an electronic
comment, EPA recommends that you
include your name and other contact
information in the body of your
comment and with any disk or CD–ROM
you submit. If EPA cannot read your
comment due to technical difficulties
and cannot contact you for clarification,
EPA may not be able to consider your
comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form
of encryption, and be free of any defects
or viruses.

Docket. All documents in the
electronic docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although
listed in the index, some information is
not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other
information whose disclosure is
restricted by statute. Certain other
material, such as copyrighted material,
will be publicly available only in hard
copy. Publicly available docket
materials are available either
electronically in www.regulations.gov or
in hard copy at the Environmental
Protection Agency, Air Planning and
Development Branch, 11201 Renner
Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219. EPA
requests that you contact the person
listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION
CONTACT section to schedule your
inspection. The interested persons
wanting to examine these documents
should make an appointment with the
office at least 24 hours in advance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Steven Brown Environmental Protection
Agency, Air Planning and Development
Branch, 11201 Renner Boulevard,
Lenexa, Kansas 66219 at (913) 551–
7718, or by email at brown.steven@
epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Throughout this document “we,” “us,”
or “our” refer to EPA. This section
provides additional information by
addressing the following:
I. What is being addressed?
II. Have the requirements for approval of
a SIP revision been met?
III. What action is EPA taking?

I. What is being addressed?

EPA proposes to approve the SIP revision submitted by the state of
Missouri that removes the requirements of stage II vapor recovery control
systems at gasoline dispensing facilities in the St. Louis area including minor
revisions to the rule as described below. On November 20, 2014, MDNR
submitted a request to revise the SIP to include the following revision to
Missouri Rule 10 CSR 10–5.220,
“Control of Petroleum Liquid Storage, Loading and Transfer”:
(1) Removes the requirements of stage II vapor recovery control
systems at gasoline dispensing facilities in the St. Louis area, (2) revises
certification and testing procedures for the remaining stage I systems consistent
with California Air Resources Board (CARB) vapor recovery requirements
instead of the Missouri Performance Evaluation and Test Procedures
(MOPETP), (3) the prohibition of above ground storage tanks at gasoline
dispensing facilities, and (4) general text revisions to better clarify the rule.

II. Have the requirements for approval of
a SIP revision been met?

The state submission has met the
public notice requirements for SIP submissions in accordance with 40 CFR
51.102. The submission also satisfied the completeness criteria of 40 CFR part
51, appendix V. In addition, as explained in this proposed action, the
revisions meet the substantive SIP requirements of the CAA, including
section 110(l) and section 193 and implementing regulations. EPA has
determined that the revisions meet all applicable CAA regulations, policy
and guidance as detailed in EPA Technical Support Document and Missouri’s
technical support documentation which is part of this docket.

III. What action is EPA taking?

We are processing this as a proposed
action because we are soliciting
comments on this proposed action.
Final rulemaking will occur after
consideration of any comments.

Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

In this rule, EPA is proposing to
include in a final EPA rule regulatory
text that includes incorporation by
reference. In accordance with
requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, EPA is
proposing to incorporate by reference
the Missouri Regulation “Control of
Petroleum Liquid Storage, Loading and
Transfer” described in the proposed
amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth
below. EPA has made, and will continue
to make, these documents generally
available electronically through
www.regulations.gov and/or in hard
copy at the appropriate EPA office (see
the ADDRESSES section of this preamble
for more information).

Under the CAA, the Administrator is
required to approve a SIP submission
that complies with the provisions of
the Act and applicable Federal regulations.
42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a).
Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions,
EPA’s role is to approve state choices,
provided that they meet the criteria of
the CAA. Accordingly, this proposed
action merely approves state law as
meeting Federal requirements and does
not impose additional requirements
beyond those imposed by state law. For
that reason, this proposed action:
• is not a “significant regulatory action” under the terms of Executive
Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and is therefore not subject to
review under Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21,
2011);
• does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions
of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
• is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a
substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5
U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
• does not contain any unfunded
mandate or significantly or uniquely
affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of
1995 (Pub. L. 104–4); and
does not have Federalism
implications as specified in Executive
Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10,
1999);
• is not an economically significant
regulatory action based on health or
safety risks subject to Executive
Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
• is not a significant regulatory action
subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR
28355, May 22, 2001); and
• is not subject to requirements of
Section 12(d) of the National
Technology Transfer and Advancement
application of those requirements would
be inconsistent with the CAA; and
• does not provide EPA with the
discretionary authority to address, as
appropriate, disproportionate human
health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52
Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter. Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: June 29, 2015.

Mark Hague,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, EPA proposes to amend 40 CFR part 52 as set forth below:

EPA-APPROVED MISSOURI REGULATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Missouri citation</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>State effective date</th>
<th>EPA Approval date</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Missouri Department of Natural Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5—Air Quality Standards and Air Pollution Control Regulations for the St. Louis Metropolitan Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–5.220 ................................. Control of Petroleum Liquid Storage, Loading and Transfer.</td>
<td>07/21/14</td>
<td>07/22/15 [Insert Federal Register citation].</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at http://www.epa.gov/dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Joseph Nevola, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 308–8037; email address: nevola.joseph@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information
A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

• Crop production (NAICS code 111).
• Animal production (NAICS code 112).