

consent to automatically transfer the *myRA* account balance and related account and personal information to another Roth IRA provider if the *myRA* account reaches the Transfer Threshold without transfer or distribution instructions from the account holder. Will Roth IRA providers be comfortable opening accounts on this basis?

- What eligibility criteria should Treasury consider in selecting providers to receive automatic transfers?

- Should Treasury impose any specific guidelines or conditions on providers? If so, what types of guidelines or conditions should there be? How long should they remain in effect or should they be indefinite?

- Is there a particular number of Roth IRA providers that should be selected among those that are willing to accept automatic transfers of *myRA* account balances?

- How would the number of providers on the list affect the willingness of potential providers to participate as recipients of automatic transfers?

- What factors are likely to make a Roth IRA provider willing (or unwilling) to be selected to receive automatically transferred *myRA* account balances?

- Are there potential requirements that would discourage Roth IRA providers from choosing to be on the list of institutions that accept automatically transferred *myRA* account balances?

- Are there potential circumstances that would cause providers to wish to decline receipt of an automatically transferred *myRA* account?

- If there are multiple providers receiving automatically transferred *myRA* account balances, how should accounts be transferred to providers?

D. Automatic Transfer Provider Fee Structure Questions

- Should Treasury establish guidelines for the types and/or amounts of fees or other charges that providers that accept automatic transfers may charge the account holder? If so, how? What types and levels of fees or other charges should be permitted? How should they be disclosed?

- How would any such guidelines affect the willingness of such providers to participate?

- Should any such guidelines require that all such providers charge the same fees, or should varying fees be permitted?

E. Automatic Transfer Investment Offering Questions

- What types of investment options should providers that accept automatic transfers be permitted or required to

offer, and what policies, fees, or determining factors should be considered?

- Should these or other providers be required to provide a default investment option for automatically transferred accounts, and, if so, what should that default investment option be (for example, a target date fund)?

- Should the default investment be different depending upon the characteristics (*e.g.*, age or account balance size) of a particular account holder?

- Should providers be required to offer alternative investment options in addition to a default option? If so, should there be specific criteria for the types of alternative investment options, for example having at least one “safe” (principal-protected) alternative investment option?

F. Other Questions

- Are there key or unique features of *myRA* that Treasury should consider when selecting providers or that could present a challenge in the context of transfers to the private sector?

- What other operational, legal, or regulatory issues should Treasury be aware of or take into consideration in developing a *myRA* account balances transfer process?

V. Comments Instructions

Comments should refer to docket number FISCAL–2015–0001, and should also include (1) the supporting rationale; and (2) alternative approaches, if any, that should be considered, including specific examples and options. All comments received will become part of this docket, and in general, will be published on www.regulations.gov without change, including any business or personal information provided. You should only submit information that you wish to make publicly available. Comments received will also be available for public inspection and copying at the Treasury Department Library, Main Treasury Building, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20220. To visit the library, call (202) 622–0990 for an appointment.

Authority: 31 CFR part 347.

Dated: August 6, 2015.

David A. Lebryk,

Fiscal Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–19798 Filed 8–11–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810–AS–P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

Enhanced-Use Lease of Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Real Property for the Development of a Housing Facility on One Parcel of Land Totaling Approximately 5.4 Acres of Land in Grand Island, Nebraska

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Amended notice of intent to enter into an amended Enhanced-Use Lease (EUL).

SUMMARY: The Secretary of VA intends to amend the scope and terms of an existing EUL that was entered into during the month of December 2011, totaling approximately 4.6 acres of land, for the purpose of constructing and developing 102 units of supportive housing for Veterans. Since that time market conditions have changed making the original scope infeasible. This notice provides details on the current scope and terms of the proposed amended EUL. The EUL lessee will finance, design, develop, manage, maintain and operate up to 78 units of housing for eligible Veterans, on approximately 5.4 acres of land in one or more phases at the Grand Island VAMC campus for eligible homeless Veterans, and Veterans at risk of homelessness, on a priority placement basis, and provide supportive services that guide resident Veterans toward attaining long-term self-sufficiency.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward L. Bradley III, Office of Asset Enterprise Management (044), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20420, (202) 461–7778.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As required under Section 211(b)(2)(B) of Public Law 112–154, this amended EUL will adhere to the prior version of VA’s EUL statute dated as of December 30, 2011.

Signing Authority

The Secretary of Veterans Affairs, or designee, approved this document and authorized the undersigned to sign and submit the document to the Office of the Federal Register for publication electronically as an official document of the Department of Veterans Affairs. Robert A. McDonald, Secretary of Veterans Affairs, approved this document on August 7, 2015 for publication.

Approved: August 10, 2015.

Jeffrey M. Martin,

Program Office Manager, Regulation Policy and Management, Office of General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2015-19902 Filed 8-11-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

Loan Guaranty: Maximum Allowable Attorney Fees

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs (VA).

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: On July 31, 2015, the Department of Veterans Affairs published a notice in the **Federal Register** providing information to participants in the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Home Loan Guaranty program concerning the maximum attorney fees allowable in calculating the indebtedness used to determine the guaranty claim payable upon loan termination (80 FR 45718). This notice contained two administrative errors.

DATES: These corrections will be effective as of August 12, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Andrew Trevaayne, Assistant Director for Loan and Property Management (261), Loan Guaranty Service, Department of Veterans Affairs, Washington, DC 20420, (202) 632-8795 (Not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the **Federal Register** of July 31, 2015, FR Doc. # 2015-18762, the table representing the Secretary's determination of the reasonable and customary cost of legal services needs to be replaced with the following table:

Jurisdiction	VA non-judicial foreclosure ^{1 2}	VA judicial foreclosure ^{1 2}	Deed-in-lieu of foreclosure
Alabama	\$1325	N/A	\$350
Alaska	1600	N/A	350
Arizona	1350	N/A	350
Arkansas	1400	N/A	350
California	1350	N/A	350
Colorado	1650	N/A	350
Connecticut	N/A	2450	350
Delaware	N/A	1800	350
District of Columbia	1200	2300	350
Florida	N/A	2800	350
Georgia	1325	N/A	350
Guam	1600	N/A	350
Hawaii	N/A	2950	350
Idaho	1150	N/A	350
Illinois	N/A	2300	350
Indiana	N/A	2050	350
Iowa	850	1880	350
Kansas	N/A	1800	350
Kentucky	N/A	2250	350
Louisiana	N/A	1900	350
Maine	N/A	2300	350
Maryland	2400	N/A	350
Massachusetts	N/A	2550	350
Michigan	1425	N/A	350
Minnesota	1450	N/A	350
Mississippi	1200	N/A	350
Missouri	1350	N/A	350
Montana	1150	N/A	350
Nebraska	1150	N/A	350
Nevada	1525	N/A	350
New Hampshire	1350	N/A	350
New Jersey	N/A	2975	350
New Mexico	N/A	2000	350
New York—Western Counties ³	N/A	2675	350
New York—Eastern Counties	N/A	3475	350
North Carolina	1575	N/A	350
North Dakota	N/A	1750	350
Ohio	N/A	2250	350
Oklahoma	N/A	2000	350
Oregon	1350	2600	350
Pennsylvania	N/A	2350	350
Puerto Rico	N/A	2050	350
Rhode Island	1725	N/A	350
South Carolina	N/A	1650	350
South Dakota	N/A	2200	350
Tennessee	1200	N/A	350
Texas	1325	N/A	350
Utah	1350	N/A	350
Vermont	N/A	2250	350
Virgin Islands	N/A	1800	350
Virginia	1350	N/A	350
Washington	1350	N/A	350
West Virginia	1150	N/A	350
Wisconsin	N/A	2000	350