

incorporated in a Scoping Report and were considered in the preparation of this Draft EIS.

Concerns raised during scoping include: potential degradation of surface water or groundwater quality and potential depletion to groundwater from pit lakes and/or water withdrawals for mine operations; potential impacts to mule deer habitat and migration corridors; potential impacts to greater sage-grouse habitat and strutting grounds; potential impacts to Wild Horse Herd Management Areas (HMAs), including herd access to surface water sources; potential air quality impacts from fugitive dust containing mercury, arsenic, or other contaminants; and potential impacts to visual resources including the visual setting of the Pony Express Trail and the Ruby Lake National Wildlife Refuge. The North and South Operations Area Facilities Reconfiguration Alternative was developed to help reduce impacts to mule deer, greater sage-grouse, and visual resources. The Western Redbird Modification Alternative was developed to help further reduce impacts to mule deer. Mitigation measures have also been included to show how impacts on resources could be minimized.

The BLM has prepared the Draft EIS in conjunction with its five Cooperating Agencies: Nevada Department of Wildlife, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, State of Nevada Sagebrush Ecosystem Program, Eureka County, and White Pine County.

Please note that public comments and information submitted, including names, street addresses, and email addresses of persons who submit comments will be available for public review and disclosure at the above address during regular business hours (7:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.), Monday through Friday, except holidays.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Authority:** 40 CFR 1501 and 43 CFR 3809.

**Jill A. Moore,**

*Field Manager, Egan Field Office.*

[FR Doc. 2015-19924 Filed 8-13-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-HC-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-15-025]

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** August 18, 2015 at 11:00 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: none
2. Minutes
3. Ratification List
4. Vote in Inv. No. 731-TA-1070A (Second Review) (Crepe Paper from China). The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determination and views of the Commission on August 31, 2015.

5. Outstanding action jackets: none

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

Issued: August 11, 2015.

By order of the Commission.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2015-20173 Filed 8-12-15; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number—1121-NEW]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; New Collection of Information; Beneficiary Referral Request

**AGENCY:** Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until October 13, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public

burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Eugene Schneeberg, Director, Center for Faith-based & Neighborhood Partnerships, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20531 (phone (202) 305-7462)).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Office of Justice Programs, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

1. *Type of Information Collection:* This is a new information collection, which requires the collection and identification of types of information that the Department does not currently collect.

2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Beneficiary Referral Request.

3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Office of Justice Programs.

4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* The proposed rule includes two new paperwork requirements for faith-based or religious organizations. The proposed rule would require faith-based or religious organizations to give beneficiaries (or prospective beneficiaries) notice informing them of their protections under the regulation. The proposed rule would also require

faith-based or religious organizations to make reasonable efforts to identify and refer beneficiaries requesting referrals to alternative service providers.

5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* The Department acknowledges that estimating the number of faith-based or religious organizations that provide services or benefits under Department programs is challenging. To obtain this estimate, the Department relied upon information from two of its grantmaking components: The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) and the Office of Justice Programs (OJP). OVW estimates that there are approximately 100 grantees and subgrantees that would have to provide the notice to beneficiaries. OJP estimates that there may be fewer than 50 grantees and subgrantees subject to the notice requirement, based on three years of information related to legal name, application for funding, and use of special conditions that is maintained in its Grants Management System. Accordingly, the Department estimates that the total number of organizations that must give notice will be equal to 150. It is further estimated, as stated below, the total hours per year for respondents to give notice is estimated to be 60.

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated public burden associated with this collection is 60 hours per year. It is estimated that respondents will take 1 minute to provide the notice. The burden hours for providing a beneficiary referral request was calculated as follows: (150 faith-based or religious organizations × 1/60 hour (the time needed to give the notice) × 12 per year (the number of annual requests for a referral) = 60 hours).

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: August 11, 2015.

**Jerri Murray,**

*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2015-20162 Filed 8-13-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, the Model Toxics Control Act, Clean Water Act, the Washington Water Pollution Control Act, and the Oil Pollution Act**

On August 5, 2015, The United States of America filed a complaint and lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington in the lawsuit entitled *United States of America, et al. v. Advance Ross Sub Company, et al.*, Civil Action 3:15-cv-05548, Dkt #'s 1-9.

The United States Department of Commerce, acting through NOAA; the United States Department of the Interior; the Washington Department of Ecology on behalf of the State of Washington; the Puyallup Tribe of Indians, and the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe (collectively, "the Trustees" and, individually, a "Trustee"), under the authority of section 107(f) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) 42 U.S.C. 9607(f), section 1321(f)(5) of the Clean Water Act (CWA), section 1006(b) of the Oil Pollution Act (OPA), 33 U.S.C. 2706(b), and 40 CFR part 300, subpart G, of the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) and the Washington Water Pollution Control Act (WPCA), serve as trustees for natural resources for the assessment and recovery of damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources under their trusteeship.

Investigations conducted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), the Trustees, and others have detected hazardous substances in the sediments, soils and groundwater of the Commencement Bay environment, including but not limited to arsenic, antimony, cadmium, chromium, copper, mercury, nickel, lead, zinc, bis(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). The Trustees have documented the presence of over 23 hazardous substances in the marine sediments of Commencement Bay's Thea Foss and Wheeler-Osgood Waterways.

Plaintiffs have filed a complaint pursuant to section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607; MTCA, chapter 70.105D RCW; CWA, 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*; and OPA, 33 U.S.C. 2701 *et seq.*, seeking recovery from Defendants of damages for injury to, destruction of, and loss of natural resources resulting from releases

of hazardous substances from the Thea Foss and Wheeler-Osgood waterway and into Commencement Bay, including the costs of assessing the damages.

The Trustees allege that Defendants each are the current or past owners and/or operators of facilities from which hazardous substances have been discharged to Commencement Bay. The Trustees further allege that those hazardous substances caused injury to, destruction of, and loss of natural resources, including fish, shellfish, invertebrates, birds, marine sediments, and resources of cultural significance.

Under the proposed settlement, the Defendants will fund and take responsibility for the development of a habitat restoration project on the White River; Monitor and adaptively manage the project for ten years to ensure stable acreage; preserve a portion of the Wheeler Osgood Waterway for use as a future habitat restoration project; pay \$50,000 to fund Trustee oversight of the restoration projects; reimburse \$833,705 in Trustees' assessment costs; and contribute \$188,000 to the Trustees' permanent restoration site stewardship fund.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States, et al. v. Advance Ross Sub Company et al. Aluminum Corporation*, Civil Action No. 3:15-cv-05548, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-2-1049/16. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email .....	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov</i>
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ-ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044-7611

During the public comment period, the Proposed Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ-ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$44.75 (25 cents per page