and immediate relationship between these SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, and safety in air commerce, I find that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and, where applicable, under 5 U.S.C. 553(d), good cause exists for making some SIAPs effective in less than 30 days.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 97
Air Traffic Control, Airports, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Issued in Washington, DC on July 31, 2015.

John Duncan,
Director, Flight Standards Service.

Adoption of the Amendment
Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 97 (14 CFR part 97) is amended by establishing, amending, suspending, or removing Standard Instrument Approach Procedures and/or Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures effective at 0901 UTC on the dates specified, as follows:

PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

1. The authority citation for part 97 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40106, 40113, 40114, 40120, 44502, 44514, 44701, 44719, 44721–44722.

2. Part 97 is amended to read as follows:

Effective 15 OCTOBER 2015
Anchorage, AK, Ted Stevens Anchorage Intl, ILS RWY 15, Amdt 6B
Anchorage, AK, Ted Stevens Anchorage Intl, ILS OR LOC/DME RWY 7L, ILS RWY 7L (SA CAT I), ILS RWY 7L (SA CAT II), Amdt 3B
Anchorage, AK, Ted Stevens Anchorage Intl, ILS OR LOC/DME RWY 7R, ILS RWY 7R (CAT II), ILS RWY 7R (CAT III), ILS RWY 7R (SA CAT I), Amdt 3B
Anchorage, AK, Ted Stevens Anchorage Intl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 7L, Amdt 2C
Anchorage, AK, Ted Stevens Anchorage Intl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 7L, Amdt 2C
Anchorage, AK, Ted Stevens Anchorage Intl, RNAV (GPS) Y RWY 7R, Amdt 4C
Anchorage, AK, Ted Stevens Anchorage Intl, RNAV (RNP) RWY 33, Orig-A
Anchorage, AK, Ted Stevens Anchorage Intl, RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 7R, Orig-A
Nogales, AZ, Nogales Intl, NDB OR GPS–C, Amdt 2C, CANCELED
Hawthorne, CA, Jack Northrop Field/Hawthorne Muni, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 4
Miami, FL, Miami Intl, RNAV (RNP) Y RWY 6R, Orig-B
Raymond, MS, John Bell Williams, RNAV (GPS) RWY 30, Amdt 4
New York, NY, John F Kennedy Intl, ILS OR LOC RWY 4L, Amdt 11
New York, NY, John F Kennedy Intl, RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 4L, Amdt 1
New York, NY, John F Kennedy Intl, VOR RWY 4L, Amdt 1
Greenville, SC, Donaldson Field, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Orig-A
Greenville, SC, Greenville Downtown, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 7
Tullahoma, TN, Tullahoma Rgnl Arpt/Wm Northern Field, VOR RWY 6, Amdt 1A, CANCELED
Tullahoma, TN, Tullahoma Rgnl Arpt/Wm Northern Field, VOR RWY 6, Amdt 1, CANCELED
Tyler, TX, Tyler Pounds Rgnl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 17, Orig
Tyler, TX, Tyler Pounds Rgnl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 35, Orig
Tyler, TX, Tyler Pounds Rgnl, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 2

[FR Doc. 2015–20521 Filed 8–20–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Bureau of Industry and Security
15 CFR Part 700
[Docket No. 150720623–5623–01]
RIN 0694–AG68

Update to List of Countries Where Persons in the United States May Request Department of Defense Assistance in Obtaining Priority Delivery of Contracts

AGENCY: Bureau of Industry and Security, Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Defense Priorities and Allocations System (DPAS) Regulations contain a list of countries with which the Department of Defense (DOD) has entered into security of supply arrangements. Persons in the United States may request the assistance of the DOD in seeking priority delivery from parties in those countries. This rule adds Spain to the list, reflecting DOD’s recent security of supply arrangement with that country. Listing these countries in the DPAS Regulations is purely informational and does not affect any right, duty or prohibition that applies to any person under those regulations.

DATES: The rule is effective August 21, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Liam McMenamin at (202) 482–2233, or liam.mcmenamin@bis.doc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Defense Priorities and Allocations System (DPAS) Regulations implement priorities and allocations authority of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended. Through the regulations, certain national defense and energy programs may be supported through the prioritization of contracts, or the allocation of resources. The priorities authority applies to the prioritization of contracts to support an approved national defense and/or energy program. Once a program is approved, the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) (or another agency to which BIS has delegated authority) may place priority ratings on certain contracts. These ratings effectively expedite contractual performance to support the approved program.

The Department of Defense (DOD) has entered into bilateral security of supply arrangements with certain countries that allow DOD to request priority delivery of DOD contracts, subcontracts or orders from companies in those countries. Persons in the United States who need assistance in obtaining priority delivery for such a contract, subcontract or order in those countries may request DOD to provide assistance in obtaining priority delivery. The DPAS Regulations list the countries with which DOD has entered into such arrangements to provide readers whose need for contract prioritization may extend beyond the United States with information about how to seek such prioritizations.

Recently, DOD entered into a bilateral security of supply arrangement with Spain. Accordingly, this rule adds Spain
Rulemaking Requirements

1. Executive Orders 13563 and 12866 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributional impacts, and equity). This rule does not impose any regulatory burden on the public and is consistent with the goals of Executive Order 13563. This rule has been determined not to be significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866.

2. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), unless that collection of information displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number. This rule does not involve a collection of information that is subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act.

3. This rule does not contain policies with Federalism implications as that term is defined under Executive Order 13132.

4. BIS finds good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B) to waive prior notice of proposed rulemaking and the opportunity for public comment because it is unnecessary. This rule merely updates the list of countries with which the DOD has entered into security of supply arrangements and thus may seek prioritization of contracts in those countries. Persons in the United States who need such prioritization may request DOD assistance to obtain it. The lists are in the DPAS regulations to inform persons whose need for contract prioritization may extend beyond the United States of where they may be able to obtain assistance. DOD may seek such prioritization and persons in the United States may request DOD assistance regardless whether or not the countries with which DOD has entered into security of supply arrangements are identified in the DPAS Regulations. Listing these countries in the DPAS Regulations does not affect any right, duty or prohibition that applies to any person under those regulations. Because these revisions are not substantive changes, it is unnecessary to provide notice and opportunity for public comment. Because neither the Administrative Procedure Act nor any other law requires that notice and an opportunity for public comment be given for this rule, the analytical requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) are not applicable.

In addition, the 30-day delay in effectiveness otherwise required by 5 U.S.C. 553(d) is not applicable because this rule is not a substantive rule.

List of Subjects in 15 CFR Part 700

Administrative practice and procedure, Business and industry, Government contracts, National defense, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Strategic and critical materials.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Defense Priorities and Allocations System Regulations (15 CFR part 700) are amended as follows:

PART 700—[AMENDED]

§ 700.57 [Amended]

1. The authority citation for 15 CFR part 700 continues to read as follows:


§ 700.57 [Amended]

2. Section 700.57 is amended by adding “Spain” after “The Netherlands,” in:

a. The last sentence of paragraph (a);

b. The italicized heading of paragraph (c);

c. Paragraph (c)(1); and

d. The first and second sentences of paragraph (c)(2).

Dated: August 17, 2015.

Kevin J. Wolf,
Assistant Secretary for Export Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 73

[Docket No. FDA–2014–C–1552]

Listing of Color Additives Exempt From Certification; Spirulina Extract

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or we) is amending the color additive regulations to provide for the safe use of spirulina extract as a color additive in coating formulations applied to dietary supplement and drug tablets and capsules. This action is in response to a petition filed by Colorcon, Inc. (Colorcon).

DATES: This rule is effective September 22, 2015. See section IX for information on the filing of objections. Submit either electronic or written objections and requests for a hearing by September 21, 2015.

ADDRESSES: You may submit either electronic or written objections and requests for a hearing, identified by Docket No. FDA–2014–C–1552, by any of the following methods:

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic objections in the following way:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

Written Submissions

Submit written objections in the following ways:

• Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for paper submissions): Division of Dockets Management (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Agency name and Docket No. FDA–2014–C–1552 for this rulemaking. All objections received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on submitting objections, see the “Objections” heading of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or objections received, go to http://www.regulations.gov and insert the docket number, found in brackets in the