DATES: The comment period for the document published on June 23, 2015, at 80 FR 35870, is extended. Comments should be filed no later than November 19, 2015. Comments received after this date will be considered, if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESS: You may submit comments by any of the following methods (unless this document describes a different method for submitting comments on a specific subject):

- Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC–2015–0057. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–415–3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions contact the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.

- Email comments to: Rulemaking.Comments@nrc.gov. If you do not receive an automatic email reply confirming receipt, then contact us at 301–415–1677.

- Fax comments to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission at 301–415–1101.

- Mail comments to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001. ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

- Hand deliver comments to: 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (Eastern Time) Federal workdays; telephone: 301–415–1677.

For additional direction on obtaining information and submitting comments, see “Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments” in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2015–0057 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this action by any of the following methods:


- NRC's PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC–2015–0057 in your comment submission.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC will post all comment submissions at http://www.regulations.gov as well as enter the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

II. Discussion

On June 23, 2015, the NRC requested public comment on three PRMs, PRM–20–28, PRM–20–29, and PRM–20–30, requesting that the NRC amend its “Standards for Protection Against Radiation” regulations and change the basis of those regulations from the linear no-threshold model of radiation protection to the radiation hormesis model. The NRC is examining the issues raised in these PRMs to determine whether they should be considered in rulemaking.

The public comment period was originally scheduled to close on September 8, 2015. The NRC is extending the public comment period on this docket until November 19, 2015, to allow more time for members of the public to submit their comments.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of August, 2015.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Annette L. Vietti-Cook,
Secretary of the Commission.

[FPR Doc. 2015–20722 Filed 8–20–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

12 CFR Part 1238

RIN 2590–AA74

Proposed Amendments to the Stress Test Rule

AGENCY: Federal Housing Finance Agency.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking with request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) is proposing amendments to its stress testing rule adopted in 2013 to implement section 165(i) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. The amendments would modify the start date of the stress test cycles from October 1 of a calendar year to January 1 of the following calendar year. The amendments would also modify the dates for FHFA to issue scenarios for the upcoming cycle, the dates for the regulated entities to report the results of their stress tests to FHFA, and the dates for the regulated entities to publicly disclose a summary of their stress test results for the severely adverse scenario. These amendments would align FHFA’s rule with rules adopted by other financial institution regulators that implement the Dodd-Frank stress testing requirements.

DATES: Comments on the proposed amendments must be received on or before September 21, 2015.

ADDRESSES: You may submit your comments, identified by regulatory identification number (RIN) 2590–AA74, by any of the following methods:

- Agency Web site: www.fhfa.gov/open-for-comment-or-input.

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. If you submit your comment to the Federal eRulemaking Portal, please also send it by email to FHFA at RegComments@fhfa.gov to ensure timely receipt by the agency. Please include “RIN 2590–AA74” in the subject line of the message.

- Hand Delivered/Courier: The hand delivery address is: Alfred M. Pollard, General Counsel, Attention: Comments/
The Federal Housing Enterprises, and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) (collectively, the Enterprises), and the Federal Home Loan Banks (Bank(s)) (collectively, the regulated entities). FHFA is the primary federal financial regulator of each regulated entity. FHFA’s regulatory mission is to ensure, among other things, that each of the regulated entities “operates in a safe and sound manner” and that their “operations and activities . . . foster liquid, efficient, competitive, and resilient national housing finance markets.”

On September 26, 2013, FHFA published a final rule implementing section 165(i)(2) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act), which requires certain financial companies with total consolidated assets of more than $10 billion to conduct annual stress tests to determine whether the companies have the capital necessary to absorb losses as a result of adverse economic conditions. Each regulated entity is covered by this Dodd-Frank Act requirement. FHFA’s regulation, located at 12 CFR part 1238, requires each regulated entity to conduct an annual stress test based on scenarios provided by FHFA and consistent with FHFA prescribed methodologies and practices. The annual stress test period begins October 1 of one year and ends September 30 of the next year, which coincides with the testing period established by Federal Reserve Board (FRB) regulations for its Dodd-Frank Act stress testing.

FHFA’s regulation requires that the agency issue to the regulated entities stress test scenarios that are generally consistent with and comparable to those developed by the FRB not later than 15 days after the FRB publishes its scenarios.

Each regulated entity is required to report the stress test results to FHFA and the FRB and publicly disclose a summary of the stress test results for the severely adverse scenario. The reporting date for the Enterprises is on or before February 5, and for the Banks it is on or before April 30. Each Enterprise must publicly disclose a summary of its results from the severely adverse scenario of the stress test not earlier than April 15 and not later than April 30. The FRB requires regulated entities to disclose their summaries not earlier than July 15 and not later than July 30.

These dates were established by measuring forward from the corresponding dates in the FRB regulation, after accounting for differences in the business models of the regulated entities from those of the institutions regulated by the FRB.

On October 27, 2014, the FRB published a final rule amending several dates relevant to its rule and from which FHFA measured to determine appropriate dates for stress testing cycles, scenario issuance, test reporting, and summary test disclosures. The FRB’s new rule establishes January 1 of each year as the beginning of the stress testing cycle (changed from October 1) and the following December 31 as the date as of which the regulated entity is to identify and use data for testing. The new FRB rule requires large bank holding companies with $50 billion or more in total consolidated assets to report their test results not later than April 5 and publicly disclose their summary results by mid-July.

The new FRB rule also requires U.S. banking institutions with total consolidated assets over $10 billion and less than $50 billion to report their test results by July 31 and publicly disclose their results during the period beginning October 15 and ending October 31. Since FHFA measured several of its regulatory dates from corresponding dates in the FRB regulation, FHFA now needs to amend its regulation to maintain consistency and comparability in stress testing regimes.

As a result of FHFA’s experience through two stress test cycles, these amendments also propose to lengthen the time between FRB’s issuance of its scenarios and FHFA’s issuance. The existing 15 day period after FRB’s issuance has proven to be too short to allow appropriate analysis, stakeholder input, and adjustment of the scenarios to account for the differences in business models between the Enterprises and Banks as compared with other regulated institutions conducting Dodd-Frank stress tests under their regulators’ rules. Consequently, FHFA proposes to extend the time by which it is required to issue its scenarios to 30 calendar days following FRB’s issuance of its final element of the supervisory scenarios.

3 79 FR 59219 (September 26, 2013).
4 12 CFR 1238.3(b).
5 12 CFR 1238.5(a).
6 12 CFR 1238.7(a).
7 12 CFR 1238.7(a).
8 79 FR 64025 (October 27, 2014), codified at 12 CFR part 252.
9 12 CFR 252.12(i)(2). See 79 FR 64046.
11 12 CFR 252.58(a)(1)(i), requires companies to publicly disclose a summary of the stress test results within 15 calendar days after the FRB discloses the results of its supervisory stress test. The FRB will publicly disclose a summary of the supervisory stress test results by June 30 pursuant to 12 CFR 252.46(b)(1). See 79 FR 64045.
12 12 CFR 252.17(a)(3)(iii), See 79 FR 64049.
III. Analysis of Proposed Rule

The purpose of the proposed rule is to realign FHFA’s stress testing rule with those of the FRB, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) by modifying: (1) The start date of the stress test cycles from October 1 of a calendar year to January 1 of the following calendar year; (2) the dates regulated entities are required to report stress test results to FHFA and the FRB; (3) the dates by which the regulated entities are required to publicly disclose summaries of the results for the severely adverse scenario; and (4) the date by which FHFA is required to issue stress testing scenarios to its regulated entities.

The proposed amendments would shift the start of the stress test cycles, as well as the related deadline for submission of results, by one calendar quarter. As a result of the proposed shift, the stress test cycles would begin on January 1, based on data as of December 31 of the preceding calendar year. This cycle matches the cycle recently adopted by the other Dodd-Frank stress testing regulators. Each Enterprise would be required to report the results of its stress test to FHFA and the FRB by May 20, and publicly disclose a summary of the stress test results not earlier than August 1 and not later than August 15 of each year. This change mirrors the FRB’s new requirement for large bank holding companies with over $50 billion in total consolidated assets. The changes required to maintain alignment with the FRB also result in shifting the reporting deadline for the Banks by four months, requiring submission of results to FHFA and FRB on or before August 31 and public disclosure not earlier than November 15 and not later than November 30 of each year.

To maintain consistency with the other Dodd-Frank stress testing regulators, the stress testing cycle shift will take effect beginning on January 1, 2016, for all regulated entities.

Section 1238.3(b) of the current rule states that: “[n]ot later than 15 days after the FRB publishes its scenarios, FHFA will issue to all regulated entities a description of the baseline, adverse, and severely adverse scenarios that each regulated entity shall use to conduct its annual stress tests under this part.” On October 27, 2014, the FRB changed the publication date by which it must publish its scenarios for the upcoming cycle from November 15 to February 15, for the cycle beginning January 1, 2016 and thereafter.13 The effect of the rule change shifts the date for scenario issuance by approximately three months. FHFA proposes to change § 1238.3(b) to provide additional time for it to analyze and adjust the scenarios it issues to the Enterprises and Banks. The proposed amendment will change the existing fifteen (15) day period in § 1238.3(b) to a thirty (30) day period. Thus, if the FRB issues its scenarios including all elements and assumptions on February 15, under the proposed amendment FHFA would issue its scenarios on or before March 17 (March 16 in a leap year).

IV. Coordination With the FRB and the Federal Insurance Office

In accordance with section 165(i)(2)(C) of the Dodd-Frank Act, (12 U.S.C. 5365(i)(2)(C)), FHFA has coordinated with both the FRB and the Federal Insurance Office (FIO). On October 27, 2014, the FRB published a final rule covering “bank holding company[es] with total consolidated assets of greater than $10 billion but less than $50 billion and savings and loan holding companies and state member banks with total consolidated assets of greater than $10 billion.”14 and large bank holding companies and non-bank financial companies, also known as “covered companies”;15 the FDIC issued its final rule on November 21, 2014;16 and the OCC issued its final rule on December 3, 2014.17 Although FHFA’s amended final rule would not be identical to those of the FRB, the FDIC, and the OCC, it is consistent and comparable with them. FHFA consulted with the FRB and FIO before proposing these amendments.

V. Differences Between the Banks and the Enterprises

Section 1313(f) of the Safety and Soundness Act requires the Director to consider the differences between the Banks and the Enterprises whenever promulgating regulations that affect the Banks. In developing this proposed rule, FHFA considered the differences between the Banks and the Enterprises, but also adhered to the statutory mandate that the regulation be “consistent and comparable” with the regulations of the other agencies. In implementing the regulation, FHFA will define scenarios for the regulated entities, bearing in mind the key risk exposures at each regulated entity.

In the proposed rule, FHFA requires different timeframes for reporting stress test results for the Enterprises versus the Banks. For the Enterprises, FHFA sets the dates for reporting stress test results to the regulator, the FRB, and the public in proximity to similar dates in the other agencies’ rules for institutions with over $50 billion in assets. Reporting dates for all the Banks, regardless of size, are set in proximity to similar dates for institutions with less than $50 billion in assets. As a result, the Banks have over three additional months to report results to FHFA, the FRB, and the public.

VI. Paperwork Reduction Act

The proposed rule does not contain any collections of information pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.). Therefore, FHFA has not submitted any information to the Office of Management and Budget for review.

VII. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The proposed rule applies only to the regulated entities, which do not come within the meaning of small entities as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601(f)). Therefore, in accordance with section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), the General Counsel of FHFA certifies that this proposed rule, if promulgated as a final rule, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 1238

Administrative practice and procedure, Capital, Federal Home Loan Banks, Government-sponsored enterprises, Regulated entities, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Stress test.

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons stated in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION, and under the authority of 12 U.S.C. 4513, 4526, and 5365(i), FHFA proposes to amend part 1238 of Title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 1238—STRESS TESTING OF REGULATED ENTITIES

1. The authority citation for part 1238 continues to read as follows: Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1426; 4513; 4526; 4612; 5365(i).

2. Amend § 1238.3 by revising paragraphs (a)(1) and (b) to read as follows:
§ 1238.3 Annual stress test.

(a) * * *

(1) Shall complete an annual stress test of itself based on its data as of December 31 of the preceding calendar year;
* * * * *

(b) Scenarios provided by FHFA. In conducting its annual stress tests under this section, each regulated entity must use scenarios provided by FHFA, which shall be generally consistent with and comparable to those established by the FRB, that reflect a minimum of three sets of economic and financial conditions, including a baseline, adverse, and severely adverse scenario. Not later than 30 days after the FRB publishes its scenarios, FHFA will issue to all regulated entities a description of the baseline, adverse, and severely adverse scenarios that each regulated entity shall use to conduct its annual stress tests under this part.
* * * * *

3. Amend § 1238.5 by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 1238.5 Required report to FHFA and the FRB of stress test results and related information.

(a) Report required for stress tests. On or before May 20 of each year, the Enterprises must report the results of the stress tests required under § 1238.3 to FHFA, and to the FRB, in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section; and on or before August 31 of each year, the Banks must report the results of the stress tests required under § 1238.3 to FHFA, and to the FRB, in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section;
* * * * *

4. Amend § 1238.7 by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 1238.7 Publication of results by regulated entities.

(a) Public disclosure of results required for stress tests of regulated entities. The Enterprises must disclose publicly a summary of the stress test results for the severely adverse scenario not earlier than August 1 and not later than August 15 of each year. Each Bank must disclose publicly a summary of the stress test results for the severely adverse scenario not earlier than November 15 and not later than November 30 of each year. The summary may be published on the regulated entity’s Web site or in any other form that is reasonably accessible to the public;
* * * * *

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Aviation Administration
14 CFR Part 23
Special Conditions: Cirrus Aircraft Corporation, SF50; Auto Throttle.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed special conditions.

SUMMARY: This action proposes special conditions for the Cirrus Aircraft Corporation Model SF50 airplane. This airplane will have a novel or unusual design feature(s) associated with installation of an Auto Throttle System. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. These proposed special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

DATES: Send your comments on or before October 5, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Send comments identified by docket number FAA–2015–3464 using any of the following methods:

Federal eRegulations Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

Mail: Send comments to Docket Operations, M–30, U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Room W12–140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

Hand Delivery of Courier: Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m., and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Fax: Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202–493–2251.

Privacy: The FAA will post all comments it receives, without change, to http://regulations.gov, including any personal information the commenter provides. Using the search function of the docket Web site, anyone can find and read the electronic form of all comments received into any FAA docket, including the name of the individual sending the comment (or signing the comment for an association, business, labor union, etc.). DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement can be found in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–19478), as well as at http://DocketsInfo.dot.gov.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

We invite interested people to take part in this rulemaking by sending written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. We ask that you send us two copies of written comments.

We will consider all comments we receive on or before the closing date for comments. We will consider comments filed late if it is possible to do so without incurring expense or delay. We may change these special conditions based on the comments we receive.

Background

On September 9, 2008, Cirrus Aircraft Corporation applied for a type certificate for their new Model SF50. On December 11, 2012 Cirrus elected to adjust the certification basis of the SF50 to include 14 CFR part 23 through amendment 62. The SF50 is a low-wing, 7-seat (5 adults and 2 children), pressurized, retractable gear, carbon composite airplane with one turbofan engine mounted partially in the upper aft fuselage. It is constructed largely of carbon and fiberglass composite materials. Like other Cirrus products, the SF50 includes a ballistically deployed airframe parachute. The SF50 has a maximum operating altitude of 28,000 feet and the maximum takeoff weight will be at or below 6,000 pounds with a range at economy cruise of roughly 1,000 nautical miles.