

States Department of Justice a report of its activities relating to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) during the preceding fiscal year. The report will include the information required by 5 U.S.C. 552(e).

### Subpart G—Fees for the Reproduction and Mailing of Public Financial Disclosure Reports

#### § 2604.701 Policy.

Fees for the reproduction and mailing of public financial disclosure reports requested pursuant to section 105 of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, as amended, and § 2634.603 of this chapter will be assessed according to the schedule contained in § 2604.702. Requesters will pay fees by check or money order made payable to the Treasury of the United States. Except as provided in § 2604.702(d), nothing concerning fees in subpart E of this part supersedes the charges set forth in this subpart for records covered in this subpart.

#### § 2604.702 Charges.

(a) *Duplication.* Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, copies of public financial disclosure reports requested pursuant to section 105 of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, as amended, and § 2634.603 of this chapter will be provided upon payment of \$0.15 per page furnished.

(b) *Mailing.* Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, the actual direct cost of mailing public financial disclosure reports will be charged for all forms requested. Where OGE elects to comply, as a matter of administrative discretion, with a request for special mailing services, the actual direct cost of such service will be charged.

(c) *Minimum fees.* OGE will not assess fees for individual requests if the total charge would be \$10.00 or less.

(d) *Miscellaneous fee provisions.* The miscellaneous fee provisions set forth in § 2604.504 apply to requests for public financial disclosure reports pursuant to § 2634.603 of this chapter.

[FR Doc. 2015–23561 Filed 9–21–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6345–03–P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### 10 CFR Part 1046

RIN 1992–AA40

### Medical, Physical Readiness, Training, and Access Authorization Standards for Protective Force Personnel

**AGENCY:** Office of Environment, Health, Safety and Security, Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** On September 10, 2013, the Department of Energy (DOE or Department) issued in the **Federal Register** a revision to its regulations governing the standards for medical, physical performance, training, and access authorizations for protective force (PF) personnel employed by contractors providing security services to the Department. Subsequently, the DOE created a new Office of Environment, Health, Safety and Security (AU) to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of its environmental, health, safety and security policy. Certain functions that previously were carried out by the Office of Health, Safety and Security have been transferred to the new office. This final rule makes technical amendments to DOE's regulations to substitute the officials to whom or offices to which functions have been transferred pursuant to the reorganization. Today's regulatory amendments do not alter substantive rights or obligations under current law.

**DATES:** The effective date of this rule is September 22, 2015.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Richard Faiver, Office of Security Policy at (301) 903–4613; [Richard.Faiver@hq.doe.gov](mailto:Richard.Faiver@hq.doe.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Background
- II. Section by Section Analysis
- III. Regulatory Review and Procedural Requirements
  - A. Review Under the Administrative Procedure Act
  - B. Review Under Executive Order 12866
  - C. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act
  - D. Review Under Paperwork Reduction Act
  - E. Review Under the National Environmental Policy Act
  - F. Review Under Executive Order 13132
  - G. Review Under Executive Order 12988
  - H. Review Under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995
  - I. Review Under Executive Order 13211
  - J. Review Under the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act of 1999
  - K. Congressional Notification
  - L. Approval by the Office of the Secretary of Energy

## I. Background

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2011 *et seq.*) and the DOE Organization Act of 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7101 *et seq.*), DOE owns and leases defense nuclear and other facilities in various locations in the United States. These facilities are operated by DOE or by contractors (including subcontractors at all tiers) with DOE oversight. Protection of the DOE facilities is provided by armed and unarmed PF personnel employed by Federal Government contractors. These PF personnel are required to perform both routine and emergency duties, which include patrolling DOE sites, manning security posts, protecting government and contractor employees, property, and sensitive and classified information, training for potential crisis or emergency situations, and responding to security incidents. PF personnel are required to meet various job-related minimum medical and physical readiness qualification standards designed to ensure they are capable of performing all essential functions of normal and emergency PF duties without posing a direct threat to themselves or others. DOE's regulations in 10 CFR part 1046 establish the medical, physical readiness, training and performance standards for contractor PF personnel.

On September 10, 2013, DOE issued in the **Federal Register** a revision to its regulations at 10 CFR part 1046 (78 FR 55174). Subsequently, on May 4, 2014, DOE created a new office, AU, to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of its environment, health, safety and security policy. DOE transferred certain health, safety and security functions to the new office that previously were carried out by the Office of Health, Safety and Security. This final rule amends 10 CFR part 1046 to reflect DOE's new organizational structure. None of the regulatory amendments in this final rule alter substantive rights or obligations under current law. The modifications to 10 CFR part 1046 are described in the Section by Section Analysis in section II.

## II. Section by Section Analysis

In this final rule, the Office of Health, Safety and Security organization has been renamed to the Office of Environment, Health, Safety and Security. The position title of Chief Health, Safety and Security Officer has been renamed to the Associate Under Secretary for the Office of Environment, Health, Safety and Security. DOE has removed reference(s) to the Chief Medical Officer and, where appropriate,

added position title of Associate Under Secretary for the Office of Environment, Health, Safety and Security in its place. Sections that have been revised pursuant to the reorganization described above are listed below. Sections not discussed below have not changed as a result of this final rule.

#### Subpart A—General

1. Changes for § 1046.2, Scope, revises the language of this section to identify new organizational names and position titles.

2. Changes for § 1046.3, Definitions, revises the language of this section only to identify new organizational names and position titles.

3. Changes for § 1046.4, Physical Protection Medical Director, revises the language of this section only to identify new organizational names and position titles.

4. Changes for § 1046.5, Designated Physician, revises the language of this section only to identify new organizational names and position titles.

#### Subpart B—PF Personnel

5. Changes for § 1046.13, Medical certification standards and procedures, revises the language of this section only to identify new organizational names and position titles.

6. Changes for § 1046.15, Review of medical certification disqualification, revises the language of this section only to identify new organizational names and position titles.

7. Changes for § 1046.17, Training standards and procedures, revises the language of this section only to identify new organizational names and position titles.

### III. Rulemaking Requirements

#### A. Review Under the Administrative Procedure Act

This action amends the PF regulations at 10 CFR part 1046 only to identify new organizational names and position titles resulting from a reorganization of DOE's Office of Health, Safety and Security, which is now known as AU. The rule has no substantive effect on the standards for medical, physical performance, training and access authorizations for PF personnel employed by contractors providing security services to the Department. Therefore, DOE has determined that prior opportunity for public notice and comment is unnecessary and contrary to the public interest pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). For these same reasons, DOE has determined that it is appropriate to waive the 30-day delay in effective date pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d).

#### B. Review Under Executive Order 12866

This action does not constitute a "significant regulatory action" as defined in section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review" (58 FR 51735).

#### C. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) requires preparation of a regulatory flexibility analysis for any rule that by law must be proposed for public comment, unless the agency certifies that the rule, if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. As required by Executive Order 13272, "Proper Consideration of Small Entities in Agency Rulemaking" (67 FR 53461, Aug. 16, 2002), DOE published procedures and policies on February 19, 2003, to ensure that the potential impacts of its rules on small entities are properly considered during the rulemaking process. DOE has made its procedures and policies available on the Office of the General Counsel's Web site (<http://www.energy.gov/gc/office-general-counsel>).

Because this rule is not required by law to be proposed for public comment, the analytical requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act do not apply. DOE has, however, reviewed today's rule under the Regulatory Flexibility Act and determined that the rule would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. This action would amend an existing rule which establishes medical and physical training requirements and standards for DOE PF personnel. The medical and physical training requirements and standards affect approximately twenty private firms (e.g., integrated Management and Operating contractors, security services contractors, and subcontractors) at the Department's facilities around the United States. Some of those firms which provide protective services are classified under NAICS Code 561612, Security Guards and Patrol Services. To be classified as a small business, they must have average annual receipts of \$18.5 million or less. Some of the private firms affected by these standards and requirements would be classified as small businesses.

Because today's action identifies only organizational changes, the impact on these firms will not be significant. For this reason, DOE determines the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### D. Review Under Paperwork Reduction Act

No new information collection requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, are imposed by this regulatory action.

#### E. Review Under the National Environmental Policy Act

This rule amends existing policies and procedures establishing medical and physical readiness standards for DOE PF personnel and has no significant environmental impact. Consequently, the Department has determined that this rule is covered under Categorical Exclusion A-5, of Appendix A to Subpart D, 10 CFR part 1021, which applies to a rulemaking that addresses amending an existing rule or regulation that does not change the environmental effect of the rule or regulation being amended. Accordingly, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

#### F. Review Under Executive Order 13132

Executive Order 13132, "Federalism," (64 FR 43255, August 4, 1999), imposes certain requirements on agencies formulating and implementing policies or regulations that preempt State law or that have federalism implications. Agencies are required to develop a formal process to ensure meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have "federalism implications." Policies that have federalism implications are defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government." On March 7, 2011, DOE published a statement of policy describing the intergovernmental consultation process it will follow in the development of such regulations (65 FR 13735, March 14, 2000).

DOE has examined this rule and has determined that it does not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. No further action is required by Executive Order 13132.

#### G. Review Under Executive Order 12988

Section 3 of Executive Order 12988, (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), instructs each agency to adhere to certain requirements in promulgating new

regulations. These requirements, set forth in section 3(a) and (b), include eliminating drafting errors and needless ambiguity, drafting the regulations to minimize litigation, providing clear and certain legal standards for affected legal conduct, and promoting simplification and burden reduction. Agencies are also instructed to make every reasonable effort to ensure that the regulation describes any administrative proceeding to be available prior to judicial review and any provisions for the exhaustion of administrative remedies. The Department has determined that this regulatory action meets the requirements of section 3(a) and (b) of Executive Order 12988.

#### *H. Review Under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995*

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) requires each Federal agency to assess the effects of Federal regulatory action on state, local and tribal governments, and the private sector. For proposed regulatory actions likely to result in a rule that may cause expenditures by State, local, and Tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100 million or more in any one year (adjusted annually for inflation), section 202 of UMRA requires a Federal agency to publish estimates of the resulting costs, benefits, and other effects on the national economy. UMRA also requires Federal agencies to develop an effective process to permit timely input by elected officers of State, local, and Tribal governments on a proposed "significant intergovernmental mandate." In addition, UMRA requires an agency plan for giving notice and opportunity for timely input to small governments that may be affected before establishing a requirement that might significantly or uniquely affect them. On March 18, 1997, DOE published a statement of policy on its process for intergovernmental consultation under UMRA (62 FR 12820, March 18, 1997). (This policy is also available at <http://www.energy.gov/gc/office-general-counsel>.) Today's rule contains neither an intergovernmental mandate, nor a mandate that may result in the expenditure of \$100 million or more in any year, so these requirements do not apply. The rule would identify only organizational changes resulting from a reorganization of DOE's Office of Health, Safety and Security, which is now AU. The impact is not likely to result in the expenditure of \$100 million or more in any one year.

#### *I. Review Under Executive Order 13211*

Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use," (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001) requires Federal agencies to prepare and submit to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA), Office of Management and Budget, a Statement of Energy Effects for any proposed significant energy action. A "significant energy action" is defined as any action by an agency that promulgates or is expected to lead to the promulgation of a final rule, and that: (1) Is a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866, or any successor order; and (2) is likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy; or (3) is designated by the Administrator of OIRA as a significant energy action. For any proposed significant energy action, the agency must give a detailed statement of any adverse effects on energy supply, distribution, or use should the proposal be implemented, and of reasonable alternates to the action and their expected benefits on energy supply, distribution, and use.

This rule is not a significant energy action, nor has it been designated as such by the Administrator of OIRA. Accordingly, DOE has not prepared a Statement of Energy Effects.

#### *J. Review Under the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 1999*

Section 654 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 1999 (Pub. L. 105-277) requires Federal agencies to issue a Family Policymaking Assessment for any proposed rule or policy that may affect family well-being. Today's rule would not have any impact on the autonomy or integrity of the family as an institution. Accordingly, DOE has concluded that it is not necessary to prepare a Family Policymaking Assessment.

#### *K. Congressional Notification*

As required by 5 U.S.C. 801, DOE will submit to Congress a report regarding the issuance of this final rule prior to the effective date set forth at the outset of this rulemaking. The report will state that it has been determined that the rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 801(2).

#### *L. Approval by the Office of the Secretary of Energy*

The Office of the Secretary of Energy has approved issuance of this final rule.

#### **List of Subjects in 10 CFR Part 1046**

Government contracts, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 1, 2015.

**Elizabeth Sherwood-Randall**,  
*Deputy Secretary of Energy.*

For the reasons set out in the preamble, DOE amends part 1046 of title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations as set forth below:

#### **PART 1046—MEDICAL, PHYSICAL READINESS, TRAINING, AND ACCESS AUTHORIZATION STANDARDS FOR PROTECTIVE FORCE PERSONNEL**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 1046 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 2011, *et seq.*; 42 U.S.C. 7101, *et seq.*; 50 U.S.C. 2401, *et seq.*

#### **§ 1046.2 [Amended]**

- 2. Section 1046.2 is amended:
- a. In paragraph (c) by removing "Chief Health, Safety and Security Officer" and adding in its place "Associate Under Secretary for the Office of Environment, Health, Safety and Security (AU-1)";
  - b. In paragraph (d), second sentence, by removing "the Office of Health, Safety and Security" and adding in its place "AU"; and in the third sentence, by removing "The Office of Health, Safety and Security" and adding in its place "AU-1"; and
  - c. In paragraph (e) by removing "the Chief Health, Safety and Security Officer" and adding in its place "the Associate Under Secretary for Environment, Health, Safety and Security".

#### **§ 1046.3 [Amended]**

- 3. In § 1046.3, the definition of "Designated Physician" is amended by removing "The Office of Health, Safety and Security" and adding in its place "AU-1", and the definition of "Weapons proficiency demonstration" is amended by removing "the Office of Health, Safety and Security" and adding in its place "AU-1".

#### **§ 1046.4 [Amended]**

- 4. Section 1046.4 is amended in:
- a. Paragraphs (a)(1) introductory text, (a)(1)(iv), (a)(2), (a)(3), (b) introductory text, (d)(1) introductory text, and (d)(2) by removing "the Office of Health, Safety and Security" and adding in its place "AU-1";
  - b. Paragraph (e), by removing "The Office of Health, Safety and Security" and adding in its place "AU-1";
  - c. Paragraph (f), by removing "the Office of Health, Safety and Security",

four occurrences, and adding in its place “AU-1”; and

■ d. Paragraph (g), by removing, “the Chief Health, Safety and Security Officer”, and adding in its place “AU-1”; and by removing “the Office of Health, Safety and Security” and adding in its place “AU-1”.

#### § 1046.5 [Amended]

■ 5. Section 1046.5(c) is amended by removing “the Office of Health, Safety and Security”, two occurrences, and adding in both places, “AU-1”.

#### § 1046.13 [Amended]

■ 6. Section 1046.13(b)(3) is amended by removing “the Chief Medical Officer” and adding in its place “AU-1”.

#### § 1046.15 [Amended]

■ 7. Section 1046.15 is amended in:

■ a. Paragraph (c) introductory text, by removing “the Office of Health, Safety and Security” and adding in its place “AU-1”; and in paragraph (c)(1) by removing “The Office of Health, Safety and Security” and adding in its place “AU-1”; and

■ b. Paragraphs (c)(2), (c)(3), (c)(4) introductory text, (c)(4)(iii), (c)(5), (c)(6) introductory text, (c)(7) four occurrences, (c)(8) and (d) two occurrences, by removing “the Office of Health, Safety and Security” and adding in its place “AU-1”.

#### § 1046.17 [Amended]

■ 8. Section 1046.17 is amended in paragraph (k)(6) by removing “the Office of Health, Safety and Security” and adding in its place “AU-1”.

[FR Doc. 2015-24083 Filed 9-21-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2015-0245; Directorate Identifier 2014-NM-135-AD; Amendment 39-18268; AD 2015-19-06]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are superseding Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2012-24-10 for certain The Boeing Company Model 747-400 and -400F series

airplanes. AD 2012-24-10 required installing new software, replacing the duct assembly with a new duct assembly, making wiring changes, and routing certain wire bundles. This new AD retains the requirements of AD 2012-24-10 and requires installing a new or serviceable pressure switch bracket and altitude pressure switch. This new AD also adds an airplane to the applicability. This AD was prompted by reports of intermittent or blank displays of a certain integrated display unit (IDU) that were due to an intermittent false electrical ground that was not addressed by the software installation or wiring changes required by AD 2012-24-10. We are issuing this AD to prevent IDU malfunctions, which could affect the ability of the flightcrew to read primary displays for airplane attitude, altitude, or airspeed, and consequently reduce the ability of the flightcrew to maintain control of the airplane.

**DATES:** This AD is effective October 27, 2015.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in this AD as of October 27, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H-65, Seattle, WA 98124-2207; telephone 206-544-5000, extension 1; fax 206-766-5680; Internet <https://www.myboeingfleet.com>. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425-227-1221. It is also available on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2015-0245.

#### Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2015-0245; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The address for the Docket Office (phone: 800-647-5527) is Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200

New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Francis Smith, Aerospace Engineer, Cabin Safety and Environmental Systems Branch, ANM-150S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057-3356; phone: 425-917-6596; fax: 425-917-6591; email: [Francis.Smith@faa.gov](mailto:Francis.Smith@faa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to supersede AD 2012-24-10, Amendment 39-17280 (77 FR 73908, December 12, 2012). AD 2012-24-10 applied to certain The Boeing Company Model 747-400 and -400F series airplanes. The NPRM published in the **Federal Register** on February 18, 2015 (80 FR 8568). The NPRM was prompted by reports of intermittent or blank displays of a certain IDU that were due to an intermittent false electrical ground that was not addressed by the software installation or wiring changes required by AD 2012-24-10.

The NPRM (80 FR 8568, February 18, 2015) proposed to retain the requirements of AD 2012-24-10. The NPRM also proposed to require installing a new or serviceable pressure switch bracket and altitude pressure switch, and add an airplane having variable number RT061 as Group 21 to the applicability of the existing AD. We are issuing this AD to prevent IDU malfunctions, which could affect the ability of the flightcrew to read primary displays for airplane attitude, altitude, or airspeed, and consequently reduce the ability of the flightcrew to maintain control of the airplane.

##### Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. The following presents the comment received on the NPRM (80 FR 8568, February 18, 2015) and the FAA's response.

##### Request To Clarify Purpose of Altitude Pressure Switch

Boeing requested that we revise the wording in the Discussion section to clarify that the altitude pressure switch provides an independent and redundant signal to the equipment cooling three-way valve. Boeing explained that the logic to transition the three-way valve through an altitude of 25,000 feet was already present through a signal from the environmental control system miscellaneous card (ECSMC). The commenter added that the logic