Department’s commencement of these Section 129 proceedings, must file a letter of appearance. The letter of appearance must be filed separately from any other document (with the exception of an application for administrative protective order (APO) access; parties applying for and granted APO access would automatically be on the public service list). Parties wishing to enter an appearance or submit information with regard to these proceedings must upload their filing(s) to each relevant case number.

Additionally, for each submission made in ACCESS, parties must select “S 129–SEC 129” as the segment, and enter “DS436–“2004″ “DS436–2006,” “DS436–2007” or “DS436–2008” as appropriate in the segment specific information field.

Submission of Factual Information

Factual information is defined in 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) as: (i) Evidence submitted in response to questionnaires; (ii) evidence submitted in support of allegations; (iii) publicly available information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c) or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2); (iv) evidence placed on the record by the Department; and (v) evidence other than factual information described in (i)–(iv). The regulation requires any party, when submitting factual information, to specify under which subsection of 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) the information is being submitted and, if the information is submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct. Time limits for the submission of factual information are addressed in 19 CFR 351.301, which provides specific time limits based on the type of factual information being submitted. Parties should review the regulations prior to submitting factual information in these segments.

Extension of Time Limits Regulation

Parties may request an extension of time limits before the expiration of a time limit established under Part 351, or as otherwise specified by the Secretary. In general, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after the expiration of the time limit established under Part 351 expires. For submissions that are due from multiple parties simultaneously, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. on the due date. Under certain circumstances, we may elect to specify a different time limit by which extension requests will be considered untimely for submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, we will inform parties in the letter or memorandum setting forth the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. An extension request must be made in a separate, stand-alone submission; under limited circumstances we will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. Review Extension of Time Limits; Final Rule, 78 FR 57790 (September 20, 2013), available at http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR–2013–09–20/html/2013–22853.htm prior to submitting factual information in these segments.

Certification Requirements

Any party submitting factual information in an antidumping (AD) or CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials, as well as their representatives. Investigations initiated on the basis of petitions filed on or after August 16, 2013, and other segments of any AD or CVD proceedings initiated on or after August 16, 2013, should use the formats for the revised certifications provided at the end of the Final Rule. The Department intends to reject factual submissions if the submitting party does not comply with the applicable revised certification requirements.

Notification to Interested Parties

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Parties wishing to participate in these investigations should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)). This notice is published in accordance with Section 129(b)(1) of the URAA.

1 See section 782(b) of the Act.
2 See Certification of Factual Information To Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings, 78 FR 42578 (July 17, 2013) (Final Rule); see also frequently asked questions regarding the Final Rule, available at http://enforcement.trade.gov/ile/notice/factual_info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Sugar From Mexico: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to exporters and producers of sugar from Mexico. For information on the estimated subsidy rates, see the “Final Determination” section of this notice.

DATES: Effective date: September 23, 2015.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The petitioner in this investigation is the American Sugar Coalition and its members (Petitioners).1 In addition to the Government of Mexico (GOM), the mandatory respondents in this investigation are Fondo de Empresas Expropiadas del Sector Azucarero (FEESA) and Ingenio Tala S.A. de C.V. and certain affiliated companies owned by Grupo Azucarero Mexico S.A. de C.V. (collectively, the GAM Group). The period of investigation (POI) is January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013. The Department published its affirmative Preliminary Determination on September 2, 2014.2 On December

1 The American Sugar Coalition is comprised of the following individual members: American Sugar Cane League; American Sugar Refining, Inc.; American Sugar Beet Growers Association; Florida Sugar Cane League; Hawaiian Commercial and Sugar Company; Rio Grande Valley Sugar Growers, Inc.; Sugar Cane Growers Cooperative of Florida; and United States Beet Sugar Association.

19, 2014, the Department and a representative of the GOM signed an agreement suspending this CVD investigation.\(^3\) Pursuant to timely requests for continuation filed on January 16, 2015,\(^4\) the Department published notice of continuation of the investigation on May 4, 2015.\(^5\) Subsequently, on June 18, 2015, the Department issued a post-preliminary analysis memorandum.\(^6\) A complete summary of the events that occurred since publication of the Preliminary Determination, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by parties for this final determination, may be found in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Affirmative Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation of Sugar from Mexico” (Issues and Decision Memorandum),\(^7\) which is dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is available electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). Access is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the Department’s main building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version are identical in content.

### Scope of the Investigation
The product covered by this investigation is sugar from Mexico. Since the Preliminary Determination, the Department has updated the scope of the investigation. For a discussion of these changes, see the “Scope Comments” section of the Issues and Decision Memorandum and, for a complete description of the scope, see Appendix I to this notice.

### Analysis of Subsidy Programs and Comments Received
The subsidy programs under investigation and the issues raised in the case briefs and rebuttal briefs submitted by interested parties in this proceeding are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised by parties and responded to by the Department in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached at Appendix II to this notice.

### Changes to the Preliminary Determination
Based on our analysis of the comments received and our findings at verification, we made certain changes to the correspondents’ subsidy rate calculations since the Preliminary Determination and our post-preliminary analysis. These changes are discussed in the “Analysis of Programs” section of the Issues and Decision Memorandum. As discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, for purposes of this final determination, the Department relied, in part, on facts available when necessary information was not available on the record.\(^8\)

### Final Determination
In accordance with 705(c)(1)(B)(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department calculated a countervailable subsidy rate for each individually investigated exporter/producer of the subject merchandise. Consistent with sections 705(c)(1)(B)(i) and 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the Department also calculated an estimated “all others” rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated. Section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act provides that the “all others” rate will be equal to the weighted-average of the countervailable subsidy rates, excluding de minimis rates and rates determined entirely under section 776 of the Act, established for individually investigated exporters and producers. Because the weighted-average countervailable subsidy rates calculated for FEESA and the GAM Group are not de minimis and are not based entirely on section 776 of the Act as facts available, the Department has estimated the “all others” rate in this final determination by weight-averaging the weighted-average countervailable subsidy rates calculated for FEESA and the GAM Group.

We determine the total estimated countervailable subsidy rates to be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Subsidy rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fondo de Empresas Expropiadas del Sector Azucarero</td>
<td>43.93 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingenio Tala S.A. de C.V. and certain affiliated sugar mills of Grupo Azucarero Mexico S.A. de C.V.</td>
<td>5.78 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>38.11 percent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b), we will disclose the calculations performed within five days of any public announcement of this notice.

As noted above, the Department signed a Suspension Agreement in this investigation on December 19, 2014. On March 27, 2015, following a review of the Suspension Agreement by the

---

5 See Department Memorandum, “Standing of Imperial Sugar and AmCane Sugar to Request Continuation of the AD and CVD Investigations on Sugar from Mexico,” dated April 24, 2015; see also Sugar from Mexico: Continuation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Investigations, 80 FR 23278 (May 4, 2015).


8 See section 776(a) of the Act.

9 See Department Memorandum, “Termination of Suspension of Liquidation: Suspended Countervailing Duty Investigation on Sugar from Mexico,” March 27, 2015.
ITC Notification

In accordance with 705(d) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of our final determination. Because our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will, within 45 days, determine whether these imports are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, the U.S. industry. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material injury does not exist, the Suspension Agreement shall have no force or effect, and the investigation shall be terminated. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Suspension Agreement shall remain in force but the Department shall not issue a CVD order so long as (1) the Suspension Agreement remains in force, (2) the Suspension Agreement continues to meet the requirements of subsections (c) and (d) of the Act, and (3) the parties to the Suspension Agreement carry out their obligations under the Suspension Agreement in accordance with its terms. Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 705(d) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: September 16, 2015.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is raw and refined sugar of all polarimeter readings derived from sugar cane or sugar beets. The chemical sucrose gives sugar its essential character. Sucrose is a nonreducing disaccharide composed of glucose and fructose linked by a glycosidic bond via their anomeric carbons. The molecular formula for sucrose is C12H22O11; the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) International Chemical Identifier (InChI) for sucrose is 1S/C12H22O11/c13–1–2–3–4–5–6–7–8–9–10–11–12+/m1/s1; the InChI Key for sucrose is C2ZMRCWAGMRCEN–UGDNZRGBSA–N; the U.S. National Institutes of Health PubChem Compound Identifier (CID) for sucrose is 5988; and the Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) Number of sucrose is 57–50–1. Sugar described in the previous paragraph includes products of all polarimeter readings described in various forms, such as raw sugar, estandar or standard sugar, high polarity or semi-refined sugar, special white sugar, refined sugar, brown sugar, edible molasses, desugaring molasses, organic raw sugar, and organic refined sugar. Other sugar products, such as powdered sugar, colored sugar, flavored sugar, and liquids and syrups that contain 95 percent or more sugar by dry weight are also within the scope of this investigation.

The scope of the investigation does not include (1) sugar imported under the Refined Sugar Re-Export Programs of the U.S. Department of Agriculture; (2) sugar products produced in Mexico that contain 95 percent or more sugar by dry weight that originated outside of Mexico; (3) inedible molasses (other than inedible desugaring molasses noted above); (4) beverages; (5) candy; (6) certain specialty sugars; and (7) processed food products that contain sugar (e.g., cereals). Specialty sugars excluded from the scope of this investigation are limited to the following: caramelized slab sugar candy, pearl sugar, rock candy, dragees for cooking and baking, fondant, golden syrup, and sugar decorations. Merchandise covered by this investigation is typically imported under the following headings of the HTSUS: 1701.12.1000, 1701.12.5000, 1701.13.1000, 1701.13.5000, 1701.14.1000, 1701.14.5000, 1701.91.1000, 1701.91.3000, 1701.99.1010, 1701.99.1025, 1701.99.1050, 1701.99.5010, 1701.99.5025, 1701.99.5050, 1702.90.4000 and 1703.10.3000. The tariff classification is provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope Comments
IV. Scope of the Investigation
V. Subsidies Valuation
VI. Analysis of Programs
VII. Discussion of the Issues
Issue 1: Standing to Request Continuation of the Investigation
Issue 2: Uncreditworthiness
Issue 3: Calculation of Discount Rates
Issue 4: Treatment of Grants as Non-Recurring Subsidies
Issue 5: Sugarcane for Less Than Adequate Remuneration

1 This exclusion applies to sugar imported under the Refined Sugar Re-Export Program, the Sugar-Containing Products Re-Export Program, and the Polyhydric Alcohol Program administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

2 See Notice of Scope Rulings, 80 FR 34368 (June 16, 2015).