electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on November 10, 2014, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Segway Inc. of Bedford, New Hampshire and DEKA Products Limited Partnership of Manchester, New Hampshire (collectively “Complainants”). 79 FR 66739–40 (Nov. 10, 2014). The complaint was filed on September 9, 2014; a supplement to the complaint was filed on September 19, 2014; and an amended complaint was filed on October 6, 2014. The amended complaint alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the sale for importation of certain personal transporters, components thereof, and manuals therefor by reason of infringement of claims of certain patents, copyrights, and trademarks therefor by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,789,640; U.S. Patent No. 7,275,607; U.S. Patent No. 8,830,048; U.S. Design Patent No. D551,722; and Copyright Registration No. TX 5–592, and Copyright Registration No. TX 5–592, and Copyright Registration No. TX 51,722, and Copyright Registration No. TX 5–592, and Copyright Registration No. TX 5–592.

The Commission’s notice of investigation named numerous respondents including, among others: PowerUnion (Beijing) Tech Co. Ltd. (“PowerUnion”) of Beijing, China; Ninebot Inc. of Beijing China, and Ninebot Inc. of Newark, Delaware (collectively “Ninebot”). A Commission investigative attorney (IA) is participating in the investigation.

On August 13, 2015, Complainants and Respondents Ninebot and PowerUnion (collectively the “Settling Respondents”) filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation with respect to the Settling Respondents based on a settlement agreement. On August 19, 2015, the IA filed a response supporting the motion.

On August 20, 2015, the ALJ granted the motion. Order No. 27. The ALJ explained that Complainants and the Settling Respondents entered into a sublicense agreement; and Segway and the Settling Respondents entered into a license agreement. Id. at 2–3. The ALJ found that the parties complied with the rules and provided confidential and non-confidential versions of the sublicense agreement and that the license agreement is non-confidential. Id. at 3. The ALJ noted that the parties represented to the ALJ that any other relevant agreements, written or oral, express or implied between them concerning the subject matter of this investigation are an agreement attached to the joint motion as Confidential Exhibit D, and the Ginger License Agreement that was attached to the amended complaint (Exhibit 7). Id. at 3–4. The ALJ also determined that partial termination of the investigation based on settlement would not impose any undue burdens on public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or U.S. consumers. Id. at 4. No petitions for review were filed.

In the ID, the ALJ noted that the correct corporate name for Ninebot Inc. (China) is Ninebot (Tianjin) Technology Co., Ltd. which was identified by Ninebot in its response to the amended complaint.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The Commission hereby amends the Notice of Investigation to correct the corporate name of Ninebot Inc. (China) to Ninebot (Tianjin) Technology Co., Ltd.


By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 18, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1070B (Second Review)]

Certain Tissue Paper From China;
Notice of Commission Determination To Conduct a Full Five-Year Review


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“The Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain tissue paper from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date.

DATES: Effective date: September 4, 2015.


General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 C.F.R part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 C.F.R part 207).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 4, 2015, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate to its notice of institution (80 FR 31065, June 1, 2015). The Commission also found that other circumstances warranted conducting a full review.1 A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s Web site.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 17, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015–24151 Filed 9–22–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

1 Vice Chairman Dean A. Pinkert and Commissioners Irving A. Williamson and Rhonda K. Schmidtlein voted to conduct an expedited review.