

MA; Hewlett-Packard Company, Palo Alto, CA; Ketchum Trading LLC, Chicago, IL; and Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA.

The general areas of R Consortium's planned activity are to: (a) Advance the worldwide promotion of and support for the R open source language and environment as the preferred language for statistical computing and graphics (the "Environment"); (b) establish, maintain, seek support for, and develop infrastructure projects and technical and infrastructure collaboration initiatives related to the Environment, and such other initiatives as may be appropriate to support, enable and promote the Environment; (c) encourage and increase user adoption, involvement with, and contribution to, the Environment; (d) facilitate communication and collaboration among users and developers of the Environment, the R Consortium and the R Foundation; (e) support and maintain policies set by the Board of Directors; and (f) undertake such other activities as may from time to time be appropriate to further the purpose and achieve the goals set forth above.

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2015-25063 Filed 10-1-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—The Open Group, L.L.C.

Notice is hereby given that, on September 9, 2015, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), The Open Group, L.L.C. ("TOG") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Specifically, Actica Consulting Ltd., Surrey, UNITED KINGDOM; Adept Technology Pvt. Ltd., Chennai, INDIA; Agency for Public Management and eGovernment, Oslo, NORWAY; Alliant Techsystems Operations LLC, Clearwater, FL; Archi Tacts, Inc., Coppell, TX; ARISE Consulting (SuZhou) Pte. Ltd., Shanghai, PEOPLE'S

REPUBLIC OF CHINA; ATE Enterprises, Ltd., High Wycombe, UNITED KINGDOM; Cephas Consulting Corp., Tustin, CA; DAR Solutions L.L.C., Rockford, IL; EA-Xperts, Mannheim, GERMANY; GooBiz, Cergy, FRANCE; HCL Technologies, Ltd., Noida, INDIA; Incepture S.a.r.l., Rabat, MOROCCO; Information Systems Audit and Control Association, Inc., Rolling Meadows, IL; New Zealand Department of Internal Affairs, Wellington, NEW ZEALAND; Optimal Business Growth Ltd., Poole, UNITED KINGDOM; Palm View Consulting, Whitlock, BELGIUM; Shware Systems, Port Richey, FL; Software Engineering Competence Center, Giza, EGYPT; and University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg, LUXEMBOURG, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Aoyama Gakuin University, Tokyo, JAPAN; Architecting the Enterprise, High Wycombe, UNITED KINGDOM; Atos International SAS, Bezons, FRANCE; CPP Investment Board, Toronto, CANADA; Eflow, Inc., Shibuya-ku, JAPAN; Ericsson AB, Stockholm, SWEDEN; Estrat TI S.A. de C.V., Mexico City, MEXICO; Keio University, Kanagawa, JAPAN; Kutta Technologies, Inc., Phoenix, AZ; Nanfang Media Group, Guangzhou, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; QinetiQ NA, Stafford, VA; Silosmashers, Inc., Fairfax, VA; Sony Computer Science Laboratories, Tokyo, JAPAN; Strategic Communications, Louisville, KY; Symphony Ltd., Setagaya-ku, JAPAN; The Marlo Group, Southgate, AUSTRALIA; and Universite Laval CeRTAE, Quebec, CANADA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and TOG intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 21, 1997, TOG filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 13, 1997 (62 FR 32371).

The last notification was filed with the Department on May 7, 2015. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 4, 2015 (80 FR 31921).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2015-25056 Filed 10-1-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1140-0020]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Firearms Transaction Record, Part I, Over-the-Counter

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 30-day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** 80 FR 44999, on July 28, 2015, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until November 2, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Carolyn King, Firearms Industry Programs Branch at *FederalRegisterNoticeATFF4473@atf.gov*. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington DC 20503 or send email to *OIRA\_submissions@omb.eop.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the