circumstances review to be conducted upon receipt of a request which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review. Section 782(h)(2) of the Act gives the Department the authority to revoke an order if producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product have expressed a lack of interest in the order. 19 CFR 351.222(g) provides that the Department will conduct a changed circumstances review under 19 CFR 351.216, and may revoke an order (in whole or in part), if it concludes that: (i) Producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order pertains have expressed a lack of interest in the relief provided by the order, in whole or in part, or (ii) if other changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation exist. Both the Act and the Department’s regulations require that “substantially all” domestic producers express a lack of interest in the order for the Department to revoke the order, in whole or in part.20 The Department has interpreted “substantially all” to represent producers accounting for at least 85 percent of U.S. production of the domestic like product.21

The Department’s regulations do not specify a deadline for the issuance of the preliminary results of a changed circumstances review, but provide that the Department will issue the final results of review within 270 days after the date on which the changed circumstances review is initiated, or within 45 days if all parties to the proceeding agree to the outcome of the review.22 The Department did not issue a combined notice of initiation and preliminary results because the statement provided by Petitioners and offered in support of Oollo’s Request did not indicate whether Petitioners account for substantially all domestic wooden bedroom furniture production.23 Thus, the Department did not determine in the Initiation Notice that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product lacked interest in the continued application of the Order as to certain bed bases. Further, the Department invited interested parties to comment on the issue of domestic industry support of the proposed partial revocation.24 Because the Department received no comments concerning a lack of industry support or opposing initiation of this changed circumstances review of the Order, the Department now preliminarily finds that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product lack interest in the relief afforded by the Order with respect to the bed bases described in Oollo’s Request. The Department will consider comments from interested parties on these preliminary results before issuing the final results of this review.25

As noted in the Initiation Notice, Oollo requested revocation of the Order, in part, and supported its request. In light of Oollo’s Request, and the absence of any interested party comments during the comment period, we preliminarily conclude that changed circumstances warrant revocation of the Order, in part, with respect to certain bed bases because producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the Order pertains lack interest in the relief provided by the Order with respect to the bed bases that are the subject of Oollo’s Request.

Accordingly, we are notifying the public of our intent to revoke the Order, in part, with respect to certain bed bases. We intend to revoke the Order as to certain bed bases by including the following language in the scope of the Order:

Also excluded from the scope are certain bed bases consisting of: (1) A wooden box frame, (2) three wooden cross beams and one perpendicular center wooden support beam, and (3) wooden slats over the beams. These bed bases are constructed without inner springs and/or coils and do not include a headboard, footboard, side rails, or mattress. The bed bases are imported unassembled.

Public Comment

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii). Written comments may be submitted no later than 14 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results. Rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such comments, may be filed no later than seven days after the due date for comments. All submissions must be filed electronically using Enforcement and Compliance’s AD and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the day it is due.

The Department will issue the final results of this changed circumstances review, which will include its analysis of any written comments, no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated.

If, in the final results of this review, the Department continues to determine that changed circumstances warrant the revocation of the Order, in part, with respect to certain bed bases, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties, and to refund any estimated antidumping duties on, all unliquidated entries of the merchandise covered by the revocation that are not covered by the final results of an administrative review or automatic liquidation.

The current requirement for cash deposits of estimated antidumping duties on all entries of subject merchandise will continue unless it is modified pursuant to the final results of this changed circumstances review.

These preliminary results of review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(b) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221 and 19 CFR 351.222.

Dated: October 2, 2015.

Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015–25812 Filed 10–8–15; 8:45 am]
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AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“Department”) published its
Preliminary Results of the seventh antidumping duty administrative review on certain activated carbon from the People’s Republic of China (‘‘PRC’’) on May 5, 2015. Based upon our analysis of the comments received, we made changes to the margin calculations for these final results of the antidumping duty administrative review. The final weighted-average dumping margins are listed below in the ‘‘Final Results of the Review’’ section of this notice. The period of review (‘‘POR’’) is April 1, 2013, through March 31, 2014.

DATES: Effective date: October 9, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Palmer or Frances Veith, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–9068, or (202) 482–4295, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published the Preliminary Results on May 5, 2015. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), we invited parties to comment on our Preliminary Results. In the Preliminary Results, the Department provided parties the opportunity to submit post-Preliminary Results comments on surrogate country lists and surrogate country selection. The Department extended this deadline based on requests from interested parties. Additionally, the Department extended the deadlines for submission of case and rebuttal briefs three times based on requests from interested parties. On June 22, 2015, Carbon Activated, Datong, Jacobi, and Petitioners submitted case briefs. On July 2, 2015, Carbon Activated, Datong, Jacobi, and Petitioners submitted rebuttal briefs. On June 26, 2015, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.302(d), we rejected Petitioners’ case brief because it contained untimely new factual information, and instructed Petitioners to resubmit a redacted case brief, which they submitted on June 30, 2015. On July 31, 2015, the Department held a public hearing. On August 27, 2015, the Department partially extended the deadline for issuing the final results by 30 days.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the Order is certain activated carbon. The products are currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (‘‘HTSUS’’) subheading 3802.1000. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

In the Issues and Decision Memo, we addressed all issues raised in parties’ case and rebuttal briefs. In an Appendix to this notice, we have provided a list of the issues raised by parties. The Issues and Decision Memo is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit (‘‘CRU’’), Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building, as well as electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (‘‘ACCESS’’). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov and it is available to all parties in the CRU. In addition, parties can directly access a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memo on the internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html. The signed Issues and Decision Memo and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memo are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our Preliminary Results, we have made certain revisions to the margin calculations for Jacobi, Datong, and the non-examined, separate rate respondents. Further, the Surrogate Values Memo contains descriptions of our changes to the surrogate values.

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the Preliminary Results, the Department preliminarily determined that Sinoacarbon International Trading Co., Ltd. (‘‘Sinoacarbon’’) did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR. We have not received any information to contradict this determination. Therefore, the Department determines that Sinoacarbon did not have any reviewable entries of subject merchandise during the POR, and will issue appropriate instructions that are consistent with our ‘‘automatic assessment’’ clarification, for these final results.

Separate Rate Respondents

In our Preliminary Results, we determined that the following companies (including both mandatory respondents) met the criteria for separate rate status: Beijing Pacific

---


2 Id.

3 Id.

4 See Memorandum to the File, from Frances Veith, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, Enforcement and Compliance, dated May 5, 2015; see also Memorandum to the File, from Frances Veith, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, Enforcement and Compliance, dated May 6, 2015.

5 See Memorandum to the File, from Frances Veith, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, Enforcement and Compliance, dated May 26, 2015; see also Memorandum to the File, from Frances Veith, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, Enforcement and Compliance, dated June 15, 2015, see also Memorandum to the File, from Frances Veith, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, Enforcement and Compliance, dated June 24, 2015.

6 Carbon Activated Tianjin Co., Ltd. (‘‘Carbon Activated’’).

7 Datong Jiujiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. (‘‘Jiujiang’’).

8 Jacobi Carbons AB (‘‘Jacobi’’).

9 Calgon Carbon Corporation and Cabot Norit Americas, Inc. (collectively, ‘‘Petitioners’’).

10 See Memorandum to Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary, through James C. Doyle, Director, Office V, from Bob Palmer International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office V, regarding ‘‘Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China (‘‘PRC’’): Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,’’ dated August 27, 2015.


12 See Memorandum to Ronald Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, regarding ‘‘Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Seventh Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,’’ dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice, (‘‘Issues and Decision Memo’’) for a complete description of the Scope of the Order.

13 See Issues and Decision Memo and the company-specific analysis memorandum for further explanation regarding these changes.

14 See Memorandum to the File, through Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager, Office V, from Bob Palmer, Case Analyst, Office V, Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China (‘‘PRC’’): Surrogate Values for the Final Results, dated concurrently with this notice (‘‘Surrogate Values Memo’’).

15 With respect to one company under review, Ningxia Guanghua Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. (‘‘Guanghua’’), we preliminarily determined not to consider the company’s statement of no shipments because we determined that Guanghua is part of a single entity with Ningxia Guanghua Cherihemat Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. No party commented on that determination, and we continue to find that Guanghua’s exports are subject to the cash deposit rate established for the single entity in this review.

Applicants receiving this rate are identified by name in the below “Final Results of the Review” section of this notice. No parties have commented on this methodology for calculating this separate rate.

**Final Results of the Review**

The Department continues to find that the four companies not eligible for a separate rate are part of the PRC-wide entity. Those four companies are Ningxia Guanghua Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.; Shanxi Sincere Industrial Technology Development Corporation; Tangshan Solid Carbon Co., Ltd., and Zhejiang Xinjia Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. Because no party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity and the Department no longer considers the PRC-wide entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews, we did not conduct a review of the PRC-wide entity. Thus, the rate for the PRC-wide entity is not subject to change as a result of this review. For companies subject to this review which established their eligibility for a separate rate, the Department determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the POR from April 1, 2013, through March 31, 2014:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (U.S. dollars per kilogram)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jacobi Carbon AB</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datong Juqiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calgon Carbon (Tianjin) Co., Ltd</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jilin Bright Future Chemicals Company, Ltd</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ningxia Guanghua Cherishedmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanxi DMD Corporation</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaxi Industry Technology Trading Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaxi Sincere Industrial Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tancarb Activated Carbon Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin Channel Filters Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin Majin Industries Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment Rates**

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of these final results of this review. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(1), we are calculating importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates for the merchandise subject to this review. As the Department stated in the most recent administrative review, we will continue to direct CBP to assess importer-specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit (i.e., per-kilogram) rates by the weight in kilograms of each entry of the subject merchandise during the POR.

Specifically, we calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates on a per-unit rate basis by dividing the total amount of dumping for each importer by the total sales quantity of subject merchandise sold to that importer during the POR. For any individually

---

17 In the first administrative review, the Department found Beijing Pacific Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd., Ningxia Guanghua Cherishedmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd., and Guanghua are a single entity and there is no information on the record to indicate the facts have changed. Therefore, we continue to treat these companies as a single entity. See Certain Activated Carbon From the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Extension of Time Limits for the Final Results, 74 FR 21317 (May 7, 2009), unchanged in First Administrative Review of Certain Activated Carbon from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 74 FR 57995 (November 4, 2009) (“‘People’s Republic of China’.”); ABS PRC Carbon Final, 78 FR at 70515; Certain Activated Carbon From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 74 FR 57995 (November 4, 2009).

18 See Preliminary Results, 80 FR 25669; Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 6–11.

19 See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 11–12.
examine respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent), the Department will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales and the total entered value of sales. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate is *de minimis*. Where either the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Pursuant to a refinement in the Department’s non-market economy (“NME”) practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case number (i.e., at that exporter’s rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.26

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For Jacobi, Datong, and the non-examined, separate rate respondents, the cash deposit rate will be equal to their weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which they were reviewed; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin for the PRC-wide entity (i.e., 2.42 U.S. Dollars per kilogram); and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Disclosure**

We intend to disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

**Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties**

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

**Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order**

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 2, 2015.

Ronald Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

**Appendix—Issues and Decision Memorandum**

**Summary**

**Background**

**Discussion of the Issues**

**General Issues**

**Comment 1: Surrogate Country**

**Comment 2: Financial Statements**

**Comment 3: Value Added Tax and Entered Value**

**Comment 4: Application of the Differential Pricing Analysis**

**Surrogate Values**

**Comment 5: Anthracite Coal Surrogate Value**

**Comment 6: Carbonized Material Surrogate Value**

**Comment 7: Surrogate Value—Coal Tar**

**Comment 8: Surrogate Value—Buckle**

**Comment 9: Surrogate Value—Paperboard**

**Comment 10: Surrogate Value—Hydrochloric Acid**

**Comment 11: Labor**

**Comment 12: Brokerage and Handling**

**Comment 13: Truck Freight**

**Company Specific Issues**

**Comment 14: Whether the Department Correctly Converted Jacobi’s Indirect Selling Expense From Pounds to Metric Tons in Its Margin Program**

**Comment 15: Juqiang’s Margin Program**

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Patent and Trademark Office**

**International Work Sharing**

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on the extension of a continuing information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before December 8, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

- Email: InformationCollection@uspto.gov. Include “0651–0079 comment” in the subject line of the message.
- Mail: Marcie Lovett, Records Management Division Director, Office of the Chief Information Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information should be directed to Amber Ostrup, Program Manager, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450; by telephone at 571–272–7984; or by email