

process. Since peer review is a key component of NSF's grant-making process, it is imperative that proposals are reviewed by scientists with appropriate expertise. The information collected with the Proposal Classification Form helps ensure that the proposals are evaluated by specialists who are well versed in appropriate subject matter. This helps maintain a fair and equitable review process.

The second use of the information is program evaluation. The Directorate is committed to investing in a range of substantive areas. With data from this collection, the Directorate can calculate submission rates and funding rates in specific areas of research. Similarly, the information can be used to identify emerging areas of research, evaluate changing infrastructure needs in the research community, and track the amount of international research. As the National Science Foundation is committed to funding cutting-edge science, these factors all have implications for program management.

The Directorate of Biological Sciences has a continuing commitment to monitor its information collection in order to preserve its applicability and necessity. Through periodic updates and revisions, the Directorate ensures that only useful, non-redundant information is collected. These efforts will reduce excessive reporting burdens

Burden on the Public: The Directorate estimates that an average of five minutes is expended for each proposal submitted. An estimated 6,500 responses are expected during the course of one year for a total of 542 public burden hours annually.

Expected Respondents: Individuals.

Estimated Number of Responses: 6,500.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 6,500.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 542 hours.

Frequency of Responses: On occasion.

Dated: October 19, 2015.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2015-26822 Filed 10-21-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-608; NRC-2013-0053]

Construction Permit Application for the SHINE Medical Radioisotope Production Facility

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Environmental impact statement; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Construction Permit Application submitted by SHINE Medical Technologies, Inc. (SHINE) for the SHINE Medical Radioisotope Production Facility, NUREG-2183 (SHINE facility).

DATES: The final EIS for the SHINE Construction Permit is available as of October 16, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2013-0053 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- **Federal Rulemaking Web site:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2013-0053. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-415-3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- **NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):** You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The final EIS for the SHINE Construction Permit is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML15288A046.

- **NRC's PDR:** You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michelle Moser, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington,

DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-6509; email: Michelle.Moser@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In accordance with § 51.118 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), the NRC is making available the final EIS for the Construction Permit Application submitted for the SHINE facility. The NRC published the draft EIS in the NRC in the **Federal Register** on May 14, 2015 (80 FR 27710), and the Environmental Protection Agency noticed the availability of the draft EIS on May 22, 2015 (80 FR 29701). The public comment period on the draft EIS ended on July 6, 2015, and the comments received are addressed in the final EIS (Accession No. ML15288A046). The final EIS is available as indicated in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

II. Discussion

As discussed in Chapter 6 of the final EIS, after weighing the environmental, economic, technical, and other benefits against environmental and other costs, and considering reasonable alternatives, the NRC staff recommends the issuance of the Construction Permit to SHINE, unless safety issues mandate otherwise. This recommendation is based on: (1) SHINE's Environmental Report; (2) the NRC staff's consultation with Federal, State, and local agencies; (3) the NRC staff's independent environmental review; and (4) the NRC staff's consideration of public comments.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of October 2015.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David J. Wrona,

Chief, Environmental Review and Guidance Branch, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2015-26860 Filed 10-21-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) Meeting of the ACRS Subcommittee on Metallurgy and Reactor Fuels; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Subcommittee on Metallurgy and Reactor Fuels will hold a meeting on November 3, 2015, Room T-2B1, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Tuesday, November 3, 2015—8:30 a.m. until 5:00 p.m.

The Subcommittee will discuss the Draft Final Rule for 10 CFR 50.46c. The Subcommittee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with the NRC staff and other interested persons regarding this matter. The Subcommittee will gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the Full Committee.

Members of the public desiring to provide oral statements and/or written comments should notify the Designated Federal Official (DFO), Christopher Brown (Telephone 301-415-7111 or Email: Christopher.Brown@nrc.gov) five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Thirty-five hard copies of each presentation or handout should be provided to the DFO thirty minutes before the meeting. In addition, one electronic copy of each presentation should be emailed to the DFO one day before the meeting. If an electronic copy cannot be provided within this timeframe, presenters should provide the DFO with a CD containing each presentation at least thirty minutes before the meeting. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public. Detailed procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the **Federal Register** on October 1, 2014 (79 FR 59307).

Detailed meeting agendas and meeting transcripts are available on the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/acrs>. Information regarding topics to be discussed, changes to the agenda, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the time allotted to present oral statements can be obtained from the Web site cited above or by contacting the identified DFO. Moreover, in view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with these references if such rescheduling would result in a major inconvenience.

If attending this meeting, please enter through the One White Flint North building, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. After registering with security, please contact Mr. Theron Brown (Telephone 240-888-9835) to be escorted to the meeting room.

Dated: October 14, 2015.

Peter Wen,

Acting Chief, Technical Support Branch, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2015-26735 Filed 10-21-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

Submission of Information Collection for OMB Review; Comment Request; Qualified Domestic Relations Orders Submitted to PBGC

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of request for extension of OMB approval.

SUMMARY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (“PBGC”) is requesting that the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) extend approval, under the Paperwork Reduction Act, of the collection of information in PBGC’s booklet *Qualified Domestic Relations Orders & PBGC* (OMB control number 1212-0054; expires October 31, 2015). This notice informs the public of PBGC’s request and solicits public comment on the collection of information.

DATES: Comments should be submitted by November 23, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, via electronic mail at OIRA_DOCKET@omb.eop.gov or by fax to (202) 395-6974.

A copy of PBGC’s request may be obtained without charge by writing to the Disclosure Division of the Office of the General Counsel of PBGC at the above address or by visiting that office or calling (202) 326-4040 during normal business hours. (TTY and TDD users may call the Federal relay service toll free at 1-(800) 877-8339 and ask to be connected to (202) 326-4040.) The request is also available at <http://www.pbgc.gov/prac/laws-and-regulations/information-collections-under-omb-review.html>. The current QDRO booklet is available on PBGC’s Web site at <http://www.pbgc.gov/wr/benefits/qdro.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jo Amato Burns, Attorney, Office of General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005-4026, 202-326-4400. (TTY and TDD users may call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1-

800-877-8339 and ask to be connected to 202-326-4400.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: PBGC is requesting that OMB extend its approval of the guidance and model language and forms contained in the PBGC booklet, *Qualified Domestic Relations Orders & PBGC*.

A defined benefit pension plan that does not have enough money to pay benefits may be terminated if the employer responsible for the plan faces severe financial difficulty, such as bankruptcy, and is unable to maintain the plan. In such an event, PBGC becomes trustee of the plan and pays benefits, subject to legal limits, to plan participants and beneficiaries.

The benefits of a pension plan participant generally may not be assigned or alienated. However, Title I of ERISA provides an exception for domestic relations orders that relate to child support, alimony payments, or the marital property rights of an alternate payee (a spouse, former spouse, child, or other dependent of a plan participant). The exception applies only if the domestic relations order meets specific legal requirements that make it a qualified domestic relations order, or “QDRO.”

ERISA provides that pension plans are required to comply with only those domestic relations orders which are QDROs, and that the decision as to whether a domestic relations order is a QDRO is made by the plan administrator. When PBGC is trustee of a plan, it reviews submitted domestic relations orders to determine whether the order is qualified before paying benefits to an alternate payee. The requirements for submitting a QDRO are established by statute.

To simplify the process, PBGC has included model QDROs and accompanying guidance in a booklet, *Qualified Domestic Relations Orders & PBGC*. The models and guidance assist parties by making it easier to comply with ERISA’s QDRO requirements when drafting orders for plans trusted by PBGC. The booklet does not create any additional requirements.

PBGC is not making any substantive revisions to the current QDRO booklet. PBGC is adding text to clarify how separate interest orders are administered by PBGC and to explain how PBGC apportions adjustments required by ERISA’s Title IV limitations on benefits between an alternate payee and a participant, as well as the consequences of such adjustments on each party. PBGC is also making other simplifying and clarifying changes to the QDRO booklet.