Governmental Affairs Bureau, Reference Information Center, SHALL send a copy of this Report and Order, including the Final Regulatory Flexibility Act Analysis, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration. 45. It is further ordered that the Commission SHALL send a copy of this Report and Order in a report to be sent to Congress and the Government Accountability Office pursuant to the Congressional Review Act, see 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A).

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73
Advertising, Consumer protection, Fraud, Television broadcasters.

Federal Communications Commission. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary.

Final Rules
For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission amends 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICE

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:


2. Revise § 73.1216 to read as follows:

§ 73.1216 Licensee-conducted contests

(a) A licensee that broadcasts or advertises information about a contest it conducts shall fully and accurately disclose the material terms of the contest, and shall conduct the contest substantially as announced or advertised over the air or on the Internet. No contest description shall be false, misleading or deceptive with respect to any material term.

(b) The disclosure of material terms shall be made by the station conducting the contest by either:

(1) Periodic disclosures broadcast on the station; or

(2) Written disclosures on the station’s Internet Web site, the licensee’s Web site, or if neither the individual station nor the licensee has its own Web site, any Internet Web site that is publicly accessible.

(c) In the case of disclosure under paragraph (b)(1) of this section, a reasonable number of periodic broadcast disclosures is sufficient. In the case of disclosure under paragraph (b)(2) of this section, the station shall:

(1) Establish a conspicuous link or tab to material contest terms on the home page of the Internet Web site;

(2) Announce over the air periodically the availability of material contest terms on the Web site and identify the Web site address where the terms are posted with information sufficient for a consumer to find such terms easily; and

(3) Maintain material contest terms on the Web site for at least thirty days after the contest has concluded. Any changes to the material terms during the course of the contest must be fully disclosed on air within 24 hours of the change on the Web site and periodically thereafter or the fact that such changes have been made must be announced on air within 24 hours of the change, and periodically thereafter, and such announcements must direct participants to the written disclosures on the Web site. Material contest terms that are disclosed on an Internet Web site must be consistent in all substantive respects with those mentioned over the air.

Note 1 to § 73.1216: For the purposes of this section:

(a) A contest is a scheme in which a prize is offered or awarded, based upon chance, diligence, knowledge or skill, to members of the public.

(b) Material terms include those factors which define the operation of the contest and which affect participation therein. Although the material terms may vary widely depending upon the exact nature of the contest, they will generally include: How to enter or participate; eligibility restrictions; entry deadline dates; whether prizes can be won; when prizes can be won; the extent, nature and value of prizes; basis for valuation of prizes; time and means of selection of winners; and/or tie-breaking procedures.

Note 2 to § 73.1216: In general, the time and manner of disclosure of the material terms of a contest are within the licensee’s discretion. However, the obligation to disclose the material terms arises at the time the audience is first told how to enter or participate and continues thereafter.

Note 3 to § 73.1216: This section is not applicable to licensee-conducted contests not broadcast or advertised to the general public or to a substantial segment thereof, to contests in which the general public is not requested or permitted to participate, to the commercial advertisement of non-licensee-conducted contests, or to a contest conducted by a non-broadcast division of the licensee or by a non-broadcast company related to the licensee.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

48 CFR Parts 925, 952 and 970

RIN 1991–AB99

Acquisition Regulations: Export Control

AGENCY: Department of Energy.
ACTION: Final rule.
SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) is adopting as final, with changes, a rule amending the Department of Energy Acquisition Regulation (DEAR) to add clauses regarding applicable export control requirements for DOE contracts. The rule recognizes contractor responsibilities to comply with all applicable export control laws and regulations in the performance of DOE contracts and prescribes Export Clauses to address these responsibilities.

DATES: Effective Date: November 23, 2015.
Applicability Date: This final rule is applicable to solicitations issued on or after November 23, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lawrence Butler, (202) 287–1945 or lawrence.butler@hq.doe.gov.
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L. Approval by the Office of the Secretary of Energy

I. Executive Summary

A. Purpose and Legal Authority

The purpose of this rulemaking is to add new DEAR Subparts 925.71 and 970.2571 to clarify requirements concerning compliance with export control laws and regulations applicable in the performance of DOE contracts.
Export control laws and regulations that may apply to a DOE contract include, but are not limited to: The Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.), as amended; the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2751 et seq.); the Export Administration Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. app. 2401 et seq.), as continued under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (Title II of Pub. L. 95–223; 91 Stat. 1626, October 28, 1977); Trading with the Enemy Act (50 U.S.C. App. 1 et seq. as amended by the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961); Assistance to Foreign Atomic Energy Activities (10 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 810); Export Administration Regulations (15 CFR parts 730 through 774); International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22 CFR parts 120 through 130); Export and Import of Nuclear Equipment and Material (10 CFR part 110); and regulations administered by the Office of Foreign Assets Control of the Department of the Treasury (31 CFR parts 500 through 598).

DOE provided summaries of these export control laws in section II of its proposed rule. See 78 FR 35195 (June 12, 2013).

B. Summary of Major Provisions

DOE is amending the DEAR to add provisions similar to the 2013 amendments to the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) (DFARS 225, Foreign Acquisition, and DFARS 252, 78 FR 36108, June 17, 2013); DFARS 252, Foreign Acquisition, 78 FR 48331, August 8, 2013; and to the DFARS Procedures, Guidance, and Information (PGI) 225 “Foreign Acquisition” (revised June 26, 2013).

1. Part 925—Foreign Acquisition

Part 925 is amended by adding new section 925.71 to set forth requirements for contractors concerning compliance with U.S. export control laws and regulations.

Points of contact and specific U.S. government agency requirements for export controls can be found as follows:

Department of Commerce (DOC): http://www.bis.doc.gov/licensing/exportingbasics.htm


Department of State: http://www.pmddtc.state.gov/about/key_personnel.html and http://www.pmddtc.state.gov/documents/ddtc_getting_started.pdf

Department of Treasury: http://www.treasury.gov/services/Pages/Foreign-Transaction-Licensing-and-Reporting.aspx

DOE contractors are responsible for complying with export control requirements applicable to their contracts as set forth in new DEAR Export Clauses. It is the contractor’s responsibility to comply with all applicable export control laws and regulations. This responsibility exists independent of, and is not established or limited by, this DEAR rulemaking.

2. Part 952—Solicitation Provisions and Contract Clauses

Part 952 is amended by adding new clause 952.225–71 to set forth requirements for DOE contractors concerning compliance with applicable export control laws and regulations. Points of contact and specific U.S. government agency requirements for export controls can be found as follows:

Department of Commerce (DOC): http://www.bis.doc.gov/licensing/exportingbasics.htm


Department of State: http://www.pmddtc.state.gov/about/key_personnel.html and http://www.pmddtc.state.gov/documents/ddtc_getting_started.pdf

Department of Treasury: http://www.treasury.gov/services/Pages/Foreign-Transaction-Licensing-and-Reporting.aspx

DOE management and operating contractors are responsible for complying with export control requirements applicable to their contracts as set forth in new DEAR Export Clauses. It is the contractor’s responsibility to comply with all applicable export control laws and regulations. This responsibility exists independent of, and is not established or limited by, this DEAR rulemaking.

II. Summary of Comments and Responses

DOE published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR) on June 12, 2013 (78 FR 35195). The NPR reflected the approach previously taken by the Department of Defense (DoD) in the Defense Acquisition Regulations Supplement (DFARS) to address requirements for complying with export control laws and regulations when performing DoD contracts. DOE has received recommendations from the General Accounting Office and the DOE Inspector General to modify the DEAR for the same purpose. DOE received comments from 15 organizations in response to the NPR. In addition, within days of publication of the NPR, the DoD revised the DFARS to address issues similar to those reflected in comments received on the NPR and provided guidance relating to the
release of fundamental research information. This final rule reflects the approach taken by the DoD on June 17, 2013, to changes to sections 225.79 and 252.225–7048 of the DFARS (Foreign Acquisition, 78 FR 36108), and to changes to Part 225 of the DFARS PGI—225.79 (Foreign Acquisition, Export Control). This NOPR also reflects DoD guidance in 78 FR 48331, August 8, 2013, related to the release of research information that may be export controlled.

The following paragraphs describe the changes included in this final rule as a result of those comments and provide DOE's response to the comments received.

**Summary of Changes to the NOPR**

(a) All notification and reporting requirements have been removed.

(b) The requirement for contractors to comply with DOE directives “in effect on the date of the contract award” has been removed.

(c) References to “transfers” have been removed.

(d) References to “uses” have been removed.

(e) The Export Restriction Notice has been removed from the Export Clauses.

(f) The phrase “subject to export controls” has been removed from the Export Clauses.

(g) All listings of U.S. export control laws and regulations are preceded by, “include, but are not limited to:”

(h) All references to “export-controlled items” and “export control of items” have been removed.

The rule addresses “compliance with export control laws and regulations” and does not attempt to define what is and is not export controlled.

**Discussion of comments and responses.**

1. Comment: Six respondents claimed that export control laws exist and already apply to U.S. persons, regardless of whether a contractor represents to DOE that it is complying with applicable export laws.

Response: As stated in the NOPR, export compliance responsibilities exist independent of and are not established or limited by the proposed rule. It is customary practice for laws and regulations applicable to DOE contracts to be listed in the contracts. In addition, DOE is requiring the new Export Clauses to be added to all applicable contracts. Listing applicable export laws and regulations in the Export Clauses will help ensure that contractors are aware of their responsibilities, emphasize the importance to DOE of contractor compliance with such laws and regulations, and minimize the risk of non-compliance with U.S. laws and regulations that could have major programmatic and financial impacts on DOE and contractors. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

2. Comment: Six respondents claimed that the rule encroaches on the export authority of other U.S. export licensing authorities.

Response: The rule does not affect the export authority of any U.S. Government agency. The purpose of the rule is to direct DOE contractors to seek guidance from and to communicate with export licensing officers at export licensing agencies and not to ask DOE Contracting Officers for assistance in complying with export control requirements. The rule provides explicit instructions to DOE Contracting Officers, if asked by a DOE contractor to provide export assistance, to direct contractors to applicable export laws and regulations and to the agencies administering them. The rule makes it clear that DOE does not have an export compliance officer overseeing DOE contractor export activities, and that contractors are responsible for compliance with export controls. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

3. Comment: Four respondents claimed the proposed rule has existing or potential inconsistencies with export control authorities.

Response: As noted above, the purpose of the rule is to direct DOE contractors to seek guidance from and to communicate with export licensing officers at export licensing agencies and not to ask for export control compliance assistance from DOE Contracting Officers. The final rule has been revised to remove reporting and marking requirements, as well as language cited by one respondent as potentially inconsistent with other authorities.

4. Comment: One respondent expressed concern as to how differences of opinion on the applicability of export control requirements between agencies responsible for administering the laws and the DOE Contracting Officer would be resolved.

Response: The rule makes clear that DOE Contracting Officers do not make any decisions regarding the applicability of export control requirements. The appropriate licensing agency determines whether export control requirements apply. It is a contractor’s responsibility to adhere to all relevant export control laws and regulations. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

5. Comment: One respondent claimed that DOE is potentially setting up a conflict for a contractor between complying with changes in export laws and regulations that are not yet changed in its contract clause.

Response: The listing of export control laws and regulations in the Export Clauses in the final rule are preceded by “include, but are not limited to:”. Any changes in U.S. export laws or regulations would apply to a contractor because the Export Clauses require compliance with all applicable export control laws and regulations. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

6. Comment: Two respondents alleged that the proposed rule is inconsistent with the Export Control Reform Initiative.

Response: The final rule is consistent with the Export Control Reform Initiative (ECRI). The purpose of this rule is to simplify the export process for DOE contractors, by directing them to the proper export licensing authorities. Reporting requirements have been removed from the final rule.

7. Comment: Three respondents claimed that the proposed rule is redundant to DEAR 970.5204–2 Laws, Regulations and DOE Directives, because that clause adequately covers compliance with export laws and regulations.

Response: The rule clarifies DOE contractor and Contracting Officer responsibilities regarding export controls not clearly stated in any other law or regulation. The Export Clauses clarify that DOE contractors are to contact appropriate export licensing agencies and not DOE Contracting Officers with questions regarding export control compliance. The Export Clauses direct DOE Contracting Officers to address contractor export control questions by directing them to relevant export control laws and regulations and licensing agencies. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

8. Comment: One respondent questioned the requirement for contractors to comply with DOE directives “in effect on the date of the contract award.” As individual DOE contracts specify applicable DOE directives for each DOE contract.

Response: DOE acknowledges that contracts specify applicable DOE directives. This language has been removed from the final rule.

9. Comment: Two respondents claimed that DOE already has adequate contractual enforcement tools.

Response: The purpose of the rule is not to provide additional enforcement tools. This rule is needed to clarify DOE contractor and Contracting Officer export control responsibilities not
clearly stated in any other law or regulation. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

10. Comment: Six respondents claimed that export control requirements are not needed in the DEAR and that the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) limits agency acquisition regulations to those necessary to implement FAR policies and procedures.

Response: The final rule provides necessary policies and procedures not included in the FAR. It clarifies that DOE contractors are to consult appropriate export licensing agencies and not DOE Contracting Officers with questions regarding export compliance. The final rule directs DOE Contracting Officers to handle export control questions posed by contractors by directing the contractors to the relevant export licensing agencies. This rule is needed to clarify DOE contractor and DOE Contracting Officer responsibilities that are not clearly stated in any other law or regulation. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

11. Comment: Six respondents claimed that the proposed rule exceeds the stated purpose of the rule, which is to amend the DEAR for consistency with a 2010 amendment to the DFARS. They said that the proposed rule is not consistent with the revised DFARS clauses.

Response: The final rule reflects the approach taken in the June 17, 2013 changes to 225.79 and 252.225–7048 of the DFARS (Foreign Acquisition, 78 FR 36108) and to the June 17, 2013 changes to Part 225.79 of the DFARS PGI–225 (Foreign Acquisition). No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

12. Comment: Three respondents claimed that the proposed rule is ineffective as a way to respond to 2004 and 2007 DOE Inspector General (IG) and 2011 Government Accountability Office (GAO) reports on DOE contractor non-compliance with export laws.

Response: The rule responds to DOE IG and GAO recommendations in the cited reports for DOE to provide specific export control guidance to DOE contractors. In particular, the 2007 DOE IG report recommended that DOE “ensure that export control guidance is disseminated and implemented throughout the complex.” To implement that recommendation, the IG report stated that “NNSA management should expedite action, such as issuing a directive or modifying the Department of Energy Acquisition Regulation (DEAR) to fully implement the open recommendation.” The 2011 GAO report repeated its prior recommendations for DOE to provide guidance to its contractors. The proposed rule is in direct response to the DOE IG recommendation to modify the DEAR, as well as the recommendations in the GAO report. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

13. Comment: Two respondents claimed that the proposed rule unfairly asks Contracting Officers to make export control decisions for which they are not trained. One respondent proposed rewording the requirement for Contracting Officers to insert the export control clause as follows: “The Contracting Officer shall insert the clause at 952.225–71, Compliance with export control laws, regulations and directives (Export Clause), in all solicitations and contracts.”

Response: The purpose of the new rule is to set forth the responsibilities of DOE contractors and DOE Contracting Officers concerning contractor compliance with export-controlled activities. Contractors are required to include the Export Clause at DEAR 952.225–71 or DEAR 970.5225–1 in solicitations and contracts that would involve export-controlled activities. While the rule has been revised to be applicable to “all solicitations and contracts,” export control laws would not be applicable to solicitations and contracts that do not involve export-controlled activities. As noted above, the revised language is similar to the policy approach taken DoD.

14. Comment: Nine respondents claimed that certain reporting requirements included in the Export Clauses would unduly burden DOE contractors because the requirement of a timely, written notification of export controls and compliance for DOE contracts would be an overbroad approach to ensuring export control compliance. Also, the requirement to flow down the reporting requirement would impose administrative and audit burdens on contractors.

Response: The final rule removes the requirements for a contractor to notify the DOE Contracting Officer when the contract may require export activities and for a contractor to assure the DOE Contracting Officer of its ability to comply with U.S. export laws and regulations. The reporting and notification requirements in the proposed rule were not required by any law or regulation, or recommended by any auditors. The purpose of the Export Clauses is to clarify that DOE contractors should consult appropriate export control requirements and not DOE Contracting Officers, with questions regarding compliance with export-controlled activities. The reporting and notification requirements were removed from the rule to avoid any implication that DOE Contracting Officers have any export compliance responsibilities.

15. Comment: Two respondents were concerned about the impact on small business subcontractors and universities.

Response: U.S. export control laws and regulations already apply to activities conducted by small businesses and by universities that have DOE contracts, so there would be no substantive change regarding export control requirements applicable to these entities. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

16. Comment: Three respondents claimed that the proposed rule is not consistent with National Security Decision Directive (NSDD) 189 because “products” most often generated and disseminated while performing fundamental research are scientific findings excluded from export regulations under the “Fundamental Research Exclusion” set forth in NSDD–189 and the exclusion of fundamental research from export controls in EAR and ITAR provisions.

Response: NSDD 189 establishes a national policy that, to the maximum extent possible, the products of fundamental research shall remain unrestricted. NSDD 189 provides that no restrictions may be placed upon the conduct or reporting of federally funded fundamental research that has not received national security classification, except as provided in applicable U.S. statutes. As a result, contracts confined to the performance of unclassified fundamental research generally do not involve any export-controlled activities. NSDD 189 does not take precedence over statutes. As it clearly states in the directive, NSDD 189 does not exempt any research from statutes that apply to export control laws and regulations. In addition, NSDD 189 is focused on the products of fundamental research and does not exempt access to export-controlled technology used or generated during the conduct of fundamental research. The final rule therefore is consistent with NSDD–189 regarding fundamental research because it does not have an impact on the NSDD–189 exemption for fundamental research and it does not modify restrictions already imposed by U.S. export control laws and regulations on research.

DFARS PGI–225.79 (revised June 17, 2013) and [the final rule on the release of fundamental research information in DFARS 252.204–7000 (August 8, 2013) address release of fundamental research information]. Note that the revised
DFARS PGI–225 places reporting requirements on contractors who want to release information that they have determined to be the product of fundamental research. This final rule does not place any reporting requirements on the release of fundamental research by DOE contractors.

17. Comment: Two respondents questioned the scope of the Export Restriction Notice requirement.
Response: The Export Restriction Notice requirement has been removed from the final rule because requirements for the use of such a notice are defined in 41 CFR 109 and do not need to be restated in this rule.

18. Comment: Three respondents recommended that DOE would be better served by providing educational materials to contractors to increase export compliance awareness.
Response: The purpose of the new rule is to direct DOE contractors to seek guidance from DOE to communicate with export licensing offices at appropriate export licensing agencies, and not to ask for export control compliance assistance from DOE Contracting Officers. Compliance training offices of Department of Commerce, Department of State and other agencies provide appropriate training on their respective export regulations. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

19. Comment: Two respondents believed that DOE may inadvertently assume liability because of requirements in the Export Clauses that a contractor be in non-compliance with export control requirements.
Response: DOE will not assume any liability due to inclusion of the Export Clauses in contracts or for contractor noncompliance with export control requirements. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

20. Comment: Eight respondents claimed that the proposed rule potentially increases DOE contractors’ risk by specifically listing regulations in the Export Clauses. They also were concerned that contractors could be liable under the False Claims Act and other laws for their actions or for those of their subcontractors. If the contractor is not in compliance with export control regulations, it may also be subject to Qui Tam penalties, and the rule would make failure to comply with export regulations a contractual obligation. This liability may be assumed by the M&O contractor for all of its subcontractors, including lower-tier subcontractors.
Response: The Export Clauses in the final rule do not require reporting or written assurances. Contractors will not assume new liabilities due to insertion of the Export Clauses in DOE contracts.

21. Comment: One respondent claimed that the proposed rule potentially increases DOE contractors’ risk by requiring the contractor to identify specific aspects of the contract governed by export laws.
Response: For the reasons stated previously, reporting and written assurance requirements have been removed from the final rule.

22. Comment: One respondent claimed that adoption of the proposed regulation would increase costs for DOE procurements.
Response: For the reasons stated previously, reporting requirements and written assurances have been removed from the final rule. The only de minimis costs associated with the final rule are costs to add the Export Clauses to solicitations and contracts. No further change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

23. Comment: One respondent believed that the rule affects 10 CFR part 810 procedures for contractors subject to that regulation.
Response: The proposed rule does not affect implementation of 10 CFR part 810 with respect to DOE program activities. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

24. Comment: One respondent claimed that DOE Contracting Officers will be required to submit all DOE contracts to the Office of Nonproliferation and International Security (NIS) of the National Nuclear Security Administration for 10 CFR part 810 review.
Response: The reporting requirements have been removed from the revised rule. The rule does not place any requirements on DOE Contracting Officers to submit contracts to the office now called the Office of Nonproliferation and Arms Control for 10 CFR part 810 review. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

25. Comment: Two respondents asked that this rule be pursued in conjunction with the revised 10 CFR part 810.
Response: The final rule amending 10 CFR part 810 (part 810) was issued on February 23, 2015. 80 FR 9359 (Feb. 23, 2014). The purpose of that final rule and this final rule are different. Part 810 controls the export of unclassified nuclear technology and assistance, and is one of the export rules that may apply to contractors. It was revised to, among other things, reflect current global civil nuclear trade. The purpose of this rule final is to direct DOE contractors to seek guidance from and to communicate with export licensing officers at export licensing agencies regarding export rules such as 10 CFR part 810. No change was made to the text as a result of this comment.

26. Comment: Two respondents stated that the meaning of “transfer” is not clear.
Response: References to “transfers” have been removed from the final rule.

27. Comment: One respondent pointed out that DOE cites obsolete and unavailable references with regard to DoD directives. For instance, DOE lists DOE Order 580.1A which directs the reader to follow requirements in a DoD Demilitarization Manual 4160.21–M–1, that was cancelled and replaced. In addition, the replacement (DoD 4160.28–M series) directs users to obtain disposal guidance for ITAR items from Web sites that are available only to DoD components or those with .mil email addresses.
Response: References to specific DOE Orders in the rule have been removed. References in the NOPR were current at the time that it was published.

29. Comment: Six respondents recommended that the rule more closely follow the DoD example in the revised DFARS.
Response: The final rule has been revised consistent with June 17, 2013, changes to sections 225.79 and 252.225–7048 of the DFARS and the DFARS PGI–225.

30. Comment: One respondent disagreed with the implication in the Export Restriction Notice that all items are subject to export controls.
Response: The Export Restriction Notice has been removed from the final rule. As noted above, the phrase “subject to export controls” has been removed from the Export Clauses.

III. Procedural Requirements
A. Review Under Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Today's regulatory action has been determined not to be a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). Accordingly, this rule is not subject to review under the Executive Order by the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

DOE has also reviewed this regulation pursuant to Executive Order 13563,
is issued on January 18, 2011 (76 FR 3281 (Jan. 21, 2011)). Executive Order 13563 is supplemental to, and explicitly reaffirms the principles, structures, and definitions governing, regulatory review established in Executive Order 12866. To the extent permitted by law, agencies are required by Executive Order 13563 to: (1) Propose or adopt a regulation only upon a reasoned determination that its benefits justify its costs (recognizing that some benefits and costs are difficult to quantify); (2) tailor regulations to impose the least burden on society, consistent with obtaining regulatory objectives, taking into account, among other things, and to the extent practicable, the costs of cumulative regulations; (3) select, in choosing among alternative regulatory approaches, those approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity); (4) to the extent feasible, specify performance objectives, rather than specifying the behavior or manner of compliance that regulated entities must adopt; and (5) identify and assess available alternatives to direct regulation, including providing economic incentives to encourage the desired behavior, such as user fees or marketable permits, or providing information upon which choices can be made by the public.

DOE emphasizes as well that Executive Order 13563 requires agencies to use the best available techniques to quantify and, to the extent practicable, to present present and future benefits and costs as accurately as possible. In its guidance, the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has emphasized that such techniques may include identifying changing future compliance costs that might result from technological innovation or anticipated behavioral changes. DOE believes that today’s final rule is consistent with these principles, including the requirement that, to the extent permitted by law, agencies adopt a regulation only upon a reasoned determination that its benefits justify its costs and, in choosing among alternative regulatory approaches, those approaches maximize net benefits.

B. Review Under Executive Order 12988

With respect to the review of existing regulations and the promulgation of new regulations, section 3(a) of Executive Order 12988, “Civil Justice Reform,” 61 FR 4729 (February 7, 1996), imposes on Executive agencies the general duty to adhere to the following requirements: (1) Eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity; (2) write regulations to minimize litigation; and (3) provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct rather than a general standard and promote simplification and burden reduction.

With regard to the review required by section 3(a), section 3(b) of Executive Order 12988 specifically requires that Executive agencies make every reasonable effort to ensure that the regulation: (1) Clearly specifies the preemptive effect, if any; (2) clearly specifies any effect on existing Federal law or regulation; (3) provides a clear legal standard for affected conduct while promoting simplification and burden reduction; (4) specifies the retroactive effect, if any; (5) adequately defines key terms; and (6) addresses other important issues affecting clarity and general draftsmanship under any guidelines issued by the Attorney General. Section 3(c) of Executive Order 12988 requires Executive agencies to review regulations in light of applicable standards in section 3(a) and section 3(b) to determine whether they are met or it is unreasonable to meet one or more of them. DOE has completed the required review and determined that, to the extent permitted by law; these proposed regulations meet the relevant standards of Executive Order 12988.

C. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) requires preparation of a regulatory flexibility analysis for any rule that by law must be proposed for public comment, unless the agency certifies that the rule, if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

As required by Executive Order 12372, “Proper Consideration of Small Entities in Agency Rulemaking,” 67 FR 53461 (August 16, 2002), DOE published procedures and policies on February 19, 2003, to ensure that the potential impacts of its rules on small entities are properly considered during the rulemaking process. 68 FR 7990 (February 19, 2003), DOE has made its procedures and policies available on the Office of the General Counsel’s Web site (http://energy.gov/gc/office-general-counsel).

DOE certifies that this rule would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because the rule is intended only to recognize existing export control compliance obligations and to clarify the role of DOE and its contracting officers in relation to these requirements. The rule itself does not impose any new requirements on manufacturers. In addition, DOE notes that the reporting requirements referenced in the proposed rule have been eliminated from the final rule for the reasons discussed in response to the comments received on this issue. DOE transmitted this certification to the Small Business Administration (SBA) as required by 5 U.S.C. 605(b).

D. Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

This final rule does not impose a collection of information requirement subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. DOE’s procurement reporting and recordkeeping burdens have been approved under OMB Control No. 1910–4100.

E. Review Under the National Environmental Policy Act

DOE has concluded that promulgation of this final rule falls into a class of actions which would not individually or cumulatively have significant impact on the human environment, as determined by DOE’s regulations (10 CFR part 1021, subpart D) implementing the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.). Specifically, this final rule is categorically excluded from NEPA review because the amendments to the DEAR are strictly procedural (categorical exclusion A6). Therefore, this final rule does not require an environmental impact statement or environmental assessment pursuant to NEPA.

F. Review Under Executive Order 13132

Executive Order 13132, 64 FR 43255 (August 4, 1999), imposes certain requirements on agencies formulating and implementing policies or regulations that preempt State law or that have federalism implications. Agencies are required to examine the constitutional and statutory authority supporting any action that would limit the policymaking discretion of the States and carefully assess the necessity for such actions. DOE has examined today’s rule and has determined that it does not preempt State law and does not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. No further action is required by Executive Order 13132.

G. Review Under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4) generally
requires a Federal agency to perform a
detailed assessment of costs and
benefits of any rule imposing a Federal
Mandate with costs to State, local or
tribal governments, or to the private
sector, of $100 million or more. This
rulemaking does not impose a Federal
mandate on State, local or tribal
governments or on the private sector.

H. Review Under the Treasury and
General Government Appropriations
Act, 1999
Section 654 of the Treasury and
General Government Appropriations
Act, 1999 (Pub. L. 105–277), requires
Federal agencies to issue a Family
Policymaking Assessment for any rule
or policy that may affect family well
being. This rule will have no impact on
family well-being. Accordingly, DOE
has concluded that it is not necessary to
prepare a Family Policymaking
Assessment.

I. Review Under Executive Order 13211
Executive Order 13211, “Actions
Concerning Regulations That
Significantly Affect Energy Supply,
Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28355 (May
22, 2001), requires Federal agencies to
prepare and submit to the Office of
Information and Regulatory Affairs
(OIRA), Office of Management and
Budget, a Statement of Energy Effects for
any significant energy action. A
“significant energy action” is defined as
any action by an agency that
promulgates or is expected to lead to
promulgation of a final rule, and that:
(1) Is a significant regulatory action
under Executive Order 12866, or any
successor order; and (2) is likely to have a
significant adverse effect on the
supply, distribution, or use of energy, or
(3) is designated by the Administrator of
OIRA as a significant energy action. For
any proposed significant energy action, the
agency must give a detailed
statement of any adverse effects on
energy supply, distribution or use
should the proposal be implemented, and
of reasonable alternatives to the
action and their expected benefits on
energy supply, distribution and use.
Today’s rule is not a significant energy
action. Accordingly, DOE has not
prepared a Statement of Energy Effects.

J. Review Under the Treasury and
General Government Appropriations
Act, 2001
The Treasury and General
Government Appropriations Act, 2001
(44 U.S.C. 3516, note) provides for
agencies to review most disseminations
of information to the public under
implementing guidelines established by
each agency pursuant to general
guidelines issued by OMB. OMB’s
guidelines were published at 67 FR
8452 (February 22, 2002), and DOE’s
guidelines were published at 67 FR
62446 (October 7, 2002). DOE has
reviewed today’s notice under the OMB
and DOE guidelines and has concluded
that it is consistent with applicable
policies in those guidelines.

K. Review Under Executive Order 13609
Executive Order 13609 of May 1,
2012, “Promoting International
Regulatory Cooperation,” requires that,
to the extent permitted by law and
consistent with the principles and
requirements of Executive Order 13563
and Executive Order 12866, each
Federal agency shall:
(a) If required to submit a Regulatory
Plan pursuant to Executive Order 12866,
include in that plan a summary of its
international regulatory cooperation
activities that are reasonably anticipated
to lead to significant regulations, with
an explanation of how these activities
advance the purposes of Executive
Order 13563 and this order;
(b) Ensure that significant regulations
that the agency identifies as having
significant international impacts are
designated as such in the Unified
Agenda of Federal Regulatory and
Deregulatory Actions, on RegInfo.gov,
and on Regulations.gov;
(c) In selecting which regulations to
include in its retrospective review plan,
as required by Executive Order 13563,
consider:
(i) Reforms to existing significant
regulations that address unnecessary
differences in regulatory requirements
between the United States and its major
trading partners, consistent with section
1 of this order, when stakeholders
provide adequate information to the
agency establishing that the differences
are unnecessary; and
(ii) Such reforms in other
circumstances as the agency deems
appropriate; and
(d) For significant regulations that the
agency identifies as having significant
international impacts, consider, to the
extent feasible, appropriate, and
consistent with law, any regulatory
approaches by a foreign government that
the United States has agreed to consider
under a regulatory cooperation council
work plan.

DOE has reviewed this final rule
under the provisions of Executive Order
13609 and determined that the rule
complies with all requirements set forth
in the order.

L. Approval by the Office of the
Secretary of Energy
The Office of the Secretary of Energy
has approved issuance of this final rule.

M. Congressional Notification
As required by 5 U.S.C. 801, DOE will
report to Congress on the promulgation
of this rule prior to its effective date.
The report will state that it has been
determined that the rule is not a “major
rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 925,
952 and 970
Government procurement.
Issued in Washington, DC, on October 8,
2015.

Patrick Ferraro,
Director, Office of Acquisition Management,
Department of Energy.
For reasons set out in the preamble, the
DOE is amending Chapter 9 of Title
48 of the Code of Federal Regulations as
set forth below.

PART 925—FOREIGN ACQUISITION

1. The authority citation for part 925
continues to read as follows:
Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq., and 50
U.S.C. 2401 et seq.

2. Subpart 925.71 is added to part 925

to read as follows:

Subpart 925.71—Export Control

Sec.
925.7100 Scope of subpart.
925.7101 Policy.
925.7102 Contract clause.

Subpart 925.71—Export Control

Sec.
925.7100 Scope of subpart.
925.7101 Policy.
This subpart implements Department of
Energy (DOE) requirements for
contractors concerning compliance with
U.S. export control laws and
regulations.

925.7101 Policy.
(a) DOE and its contractors must
comply with all applicable U.S. export
control laws and regulations.
(b) Export control laws and
regulations include, but are not limited
to, the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42
U.S.C. 2011 et seq.), as amended; the
Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C.
2751 et seq.); the Export Administration
Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. app. 2401 et
seq.), as continued under the
International Emergency Economic
Powers Act (Title II of Pub. L. 95–223,
91 Stat. 1626, October 28, 1977; 50
U.S.C. 1701 et seq.); Trading with the
Enemy Act (50 U.S.C. App. 5(b), as
amended by the Foreign Assistance Act
of 1961); Assistance to Foreign Atomic Energy Activities (Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 810); Export Administration Regulations (15 CFR parts 730 through 774); International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22 CFR parts 120 through 130); Export and Import of Nuclear Equipment and Material (10 CFR part 110); and regulations administered by the Office of Foreign Assets Control of the Department of the Treasury (31 CFR parts 500 through 598).

(c) Contractors seeking guidance on how to comply with export control laws and regulations should review the illustrative list of laws and regulations set forth in Clause 952.225–71. Contractors also may contact the agencies responsible for administration of export laws or regulations applicable to a particular export (e.g., Departments of State, Commerce, Treasury and Energy, or the Nuclear Regulatory Commission).

(d) DOE Contracting Officers will not answer contractor questions regarding how to comply with U.S. export laws and regulations. Contracting Officers should direct contractors to the export laws, regulations, and agencies cited in the Export Clause at section 952.225–71 of this subpart.

(e) It is the contractor’s responsibility to comply with all applicable export control laws and regulations. This responsibility exists independent of, and is not established or limited by, this subpart.

925.7102 Contract clause.

The Contracting Officer shall insert the clause at 952.225–71, Compliance with Export Control Laws and Regulations (Export Clause), in all solicitations and contracts.

PART 952—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

3. The authority citation for part 952 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2201; 2282a; 2282b; 2282c; 42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.; 50 U.S.C. 2401 et seq.

4. Section 952.225–71 is added to read as follows:

952.225–71 Compliance with export control laws and regulations (Export Clause)

As prescribed in 925.7102, use the following clause:

COMPLIANCE WITH EXPORT CONTROL LAWS AND REGULATIONS (NOV 2015)

(a) The Contractor shall comply with all applicable export control laws and regulations.

(b) The Contractor’s responsibility to comply with all applicable export control laws and regulations exists independent of, and is not established or limited by, the information provided by this clause.

(c) Nothing in the terms of this contract adds to, changes, supersedes, or waives any of the requirements of applicable Federal laws, Executive Orders, and regulations, including but not limited to—

2. The Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2751 et seq.);
4. Trading with the Enemy Act (50 U.S.C. App. 5(b), as amended by the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961);
5. Assistance to Foreign Atomic Energy Activities (10 CFR part 810);
6. Export and Import of Nuclear Equipment and Material (10 CFR part 110);
7. International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) (22 CFR parts 120 through 130);
8. Export Administration Regulations (EAR) (15 CFR Parts 730 through 774);
9. The regulations administered by the Office of Foreign Assets Control of the Department of the Treasury (31 CFR parts 500 through 598).

(d) In addition to the Federal laws and regulations cited above, National Security Decision Directive (NSDD) 189, National Policy on the Transfer of Scientific, Technical, and Engineering Information, establishes a national policy that, to the maximum extent possible, the products of fundamental research shall remain unrestricted. NSDD 189 provides that no restrictions may be placed upon the conduct or reporting of federally funded fundamental research that has not received national security classification, except as provided in applicable U.S. statutes. As a result, contracts confined to the performance of unclassified fundamental research generally do not involve any export-controlled activities.

NSDD 189 does not take precedence over statutes. NSDD 189 does not exempt any research from statutes that apply to export controls such as the Atomic Energy Act, as amended; the Arms Export Control Act; the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended; or the U.S. International Emergency Economic Powers Act, or regulations that implement parts of those statutes (e.g., the ITAR, the EAR, 10 CFR part 110 and 10 CFR part 810). Thus, if items (e.g., commodities, software or technologies) that are controlled by U.S. export control laws or regulations are used to conduct research or are generated as part of the research efforts, export control laws and regulations apply to the controlled items.

(e) The Contractor shall include the substance of this clause, including this paragraph (e), in all solicitations and subcontracts.

PART 970—DOE MANAGEMENT AND OPERATING CONTRACTS

5. The authority citation for part 970 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2201; 2282a; 2282b; 2282c; 42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.; 50 U.S.C. 2401 et seq.

6. Subpart 970.25 is revised to read as follows:

Subpart 970.25—Foreign Acquisition

970.2570 Buy American Act.

970.2570–1 Contract clause.

970.2571 Export control.

970.2571–1 Scope of subpart.

This subpart implements DOE requirements for DOE management and operating contractors concerning compliance with U.S. export control laws and regulations.

970.2571–2 Policy.

(a) DOE and its contractors must comply with all applicable export control laws and regulations.

Export Administration Regulations (15 CFR parts 730 through 774); International Traffic in Arms Regulations (22 CFR parts 120 through 130); Export and Import of Nuclear Equipment and Material (10 CFR part 110); and regulations administered by the Office of Foreign Assets Control of the Department of the Treasury (31 CFR parts 500 through 598).

(c) Contractors seeking guidance on how to comply with export control requirements should review the illustrative list of laws and regulations applicable to the export of unclassified information, materials, technology, equipment or software set forth in clause 970.5225–1. Contractors also may contact the agencies responsible for administration of export laws and regulations applicable to a particular export (e.g., Departments of State, Commerce, Treasury and Energy, or the Nuclear Regulatory Commission).

(d) The contracting officer will not answer any questions a contractor may ask regarding how to comply with export regulations. If asked, the contracting officer should direct the contractor to export regulations and agencies cited in the Export Clause at 970.5225–1.

(e) It is the contractor’s responsibility to comply with all applicable U.S. export control laws and regulations. This responsibility exists independent of, and is not established or limited by, this subpart.

970.2571–3 Contract clause.

The Contracting Officer shall insert the clause at 970.5225–1, Compliance with Export Control Laws and Regulations (Export Clause), in all solicitations and contracts.

Subpart 970.52—Solicitation Provisions and Contract Clauses for Management and Operating Contracts

7. Section 970.5225–1 is added to read as follows:

970.5225–1 Compliance with export control laws and regulations (Export Clause).

As prescribed in 970.2571–3, use the following clause:

COMPLIANCE WITH EXPORT CONTROL LAWS AND REGULATIONS (NOV 2015)

(a) The Contractor shall comply with all applicable U.S. export control laws and regulations.

(b) The Contractor’s responsibility to comply with all applicable laws and regulations exists independent of, and is not established or limited by, the information provided by this clause.

(c) Nothing in the terms of this contract adds to, changes, supersedes, or waives any of the requirements of applicable Federal laws, Executive Orders, and regulations, including but not limited to—

(1) The Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended;

(2) The Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2751 et seq.);


(4) Trading with the Enemy Act (50 U.S.C. App. 5(b), as amended by the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961);

(5) Assistance to Foreign Atomic Energy Activities (10 CFR part 810);

(6) Export and Import of Nuclear Equipment and Material (10 CFR part 110);

(7) International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) (22 CFR parts 120 through 130);

(8) Export Administration Regulations (EAR) (15 CFR parts 730 through 774); and

(9) Regulations administered by the Office of Foreign Assets Control (31 CFR parts 500 through 598).

(d) In addition to the Federal laws and regulations cited above, National Security Decision Directive (NSDD) 189, National Policy on the Transfer of Scientific, Technical, and Engineering Information establishes a national policy that, to the maximum extent possible, the products of fundamental research shall remain unrestricted. NSDD 189 provides that no restrictions may be placed upon the conduct or reporting of federally funded fundamental research that has not received national security classification, except as provided in applicable U.S. statutes. As a result, contracts confined to the performance of unclassified fundamental research generally do not involve any export-controlled activities.

NSDD 189 does not take precedence over statutes. NSDD 189 does not exempt any research from statutes that apply to export controls such as the Atomic Energy Act, as amended; the Arms Export Control Act; the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended; or the U.S. International Emergency Economic Powers Act; or the regulations that implement those statutes (e.g., the ITAR, the EAR, 10 CFR part 110 and 10 CFR part 810). Thus, if items (e.g., commodities, software or technologies) that are controlled by U.S. export control laws or regulations are used to conduct research or are generated as part of the research efforts, the export control laws and regulations apply to the controlled items.

(e) The Contractor shall include the substance of this clause, including this paragraph (e), in all solicitations and subcontracts.