process of submission has reduced the burden time for Partners and the Agency by taking advantage of the infrastructure in place for certifying products. With the new process of obtaining certified product data, certified model data is automatically updated daily on the ENERGY STAR Web site. To ensure that products are certified properly, the certification process also includes requirements for Certification Bodies to report to EPA products that were reviewed, but not eligible for certification. To ensure continued product performance after initial certification, EPA requires Certification Bodies to conduct post-market verification testing of a sampling of ENERGY STAR certified products. Certification Bodies are required to share information with EPA on products subjected to this post-market testing twice a year and to immediately report any certified products that no longer meet the program requirements. This process allows EPA to monitor the ongoing performance of products and take necessary steps to maintain consumer confidence in the ENERGY STAR label and protect the investment of partners.

In order to monitor progress and support the best allocation of resources, EPA also asks manufacturers to submit annual shipment data for their ENERGY STAR qualifying products. EPA is flexible as to the methods by which manufacturers may submit unit shipment data. For example, many manufacturers are given the option of arranging for shipment data to be sent to EPA via this third party to ensure confidentiality. In using any shipment data received directly from a partner, EPA only shares aggregate information from multiple partners so as to protect confidentiality.

Finally, Partners that wish to receive recognition for their efforts in ENERGY STAR may submit an application for the Partner of the Year Award.

*Burden Statement:* EPA will consult with Partners to re-evaluate the burden. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to

respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The estimated total cost for respondents is \$3,908,125 and the hourly burden is approximately 59,407 hours. This cost includes an estimated burden cost of \$3,890,840 and an estimated cost of \$17,285 for capital investment or maintenance and operational costs. The estimated total cost for the Agency is \$566,573 and the hourly burden is approximately 14,044 hours. This cost includes an estimated burden cost of \$566,549.63 and an estimated cost of \$23.37 for capital investment or maintenance and operational costs. A grand total of \$4,474,698 and an hourly burden of approximately 73,451 hours are expected for all information collection activities under ENERGY STAR product labeling.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Respondents for this information collection request include Partners in ENERGY STAR. Partners are product brand owners.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 2050.

Frequency of Response: Initially/one-time and annually.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 73,451 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$4,474,698, that includes an estimated \$17,308.37 in Operations and Maintenance Costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is an estimated decrease of approximately 10,951 in the total burden hours, and a decrease of 5,931 in the total estimated respondent burden compared with the ICR currently approved by OMB. Although participation in the ENERGY STAR program has steadily increased, EPA believes the automated process of sharing information between Certification Bodies and the Agency has reduced the overall burden for both Partners and the Agency. EPA increased the estimated number of respondents for Partnership Agreements, Unit Shipment data, and Award applications based on updated program data. EPA also updated the hourly wage rates to reflect inflation and current baseline labor rates for each labor category. EPA is currently evaluating and updating these estimates as part of the ICR renewal process. EPA will discuss its updated estimates, as well as changes from the last approval, in the next Federal Register notice to be issued for this renewal.

Dated: October 21, 2015.

#### Jean Lupinacci,

Acting Director, Climate Protection Partnerships Division.

[FR Doc. 2015-27379 Filed 10-26-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OECA-2011-0271; FRL-9935-70-OEI]

Information Collection Request Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval; Comment Request; NESHAP for Integrated Iron and Steel Manufacturing Facilities (Renewal)

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency has submitted an information collection request (ICR), "NESHAP for Integrated Iron and Steel Manufacturing Facilities (40 CFR part 63, subpart FFFFF) (Renewal)" (EPA ICR No. 2003.06, OMB Control No. 2060-0517), to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) This is a proposed extension of the ICR, which is currently approved through October 31, 2015. Public comments were requested previously via the Federal Register (80 FR 32116) on June 5, 2015, during a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. A fuller description of the ICR is given below, including its estimated burden and cost to the public. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. **DATES:** Additional comments may be

**DATES:** Additional comments may be submitted on or before November 27, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, referencing Docket ID Number EPA—HQ—OECA—2011—0271, to: (1) EPA online using www.regulations.gov (our preferred method), or by email to docket.oeca@epa.gov, or by mail to: EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460; and (2) OMB via email to oira\_submission@omb.eop.gov. Address comments to OMB Desk Officer for EPA.

EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes profanity, threats, information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI), or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick Yellin, Monitoring, Assistance, and Media Programs Division, Office of Compliance, Mail Code 2227A, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564–2970; fax number: (202) 564–0050; email address: yellin.patrick@epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Supporting documents which explain in detail the information that the EPA will be collecting are available in the public docket for this ICR. The docket can be viewed online at www.regulations.gov or in person at the EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The telephone number for the Docket Center is (202) 566–1744. For additional information about EPA's public docket, visit: www.epa.gov/dockets.

Abstract: Owners and operators of affected facilities are required to comply with reporting and recordkeeping requirements for the General Provisions (40 CFR part 63, subpart A), as well as for the specific standard (40 CFR part 63, subpart FFFFF). This includes submitting initial notification reports, performance tests, periodic reports and results, and maintaining records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These reports are used by EPA to determine compliance with the standards.

Form Numbers: None.

Respondents/affected entities: Integrated iron and steel plants.

Respondent's obligation to respond: Mandatory (40 CFR part 63, subpart FFFFF).

Estimated number of respondents: 18 (total).

Frequency of response: Initially, occasionally, and semiannually.

Total estimated burden: 18,500 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.3(b).

Total estimated cost: \$1,930,000 (per year), which includes \$67,000 in either annualized capital/startup and/or operation & maintenance costs.

Changes in the Estimates: The increase in respondent labor burden from the most-recently approved ICR is due to an adjustment. In this ICR, we assume all existing respondents will

take some time to re-familiarize with the regulatory requirement. This assumption results in a small increase in labor hours and costs. The respondent labor costs also increased due to use of more updated labor rates. The total estimated cost, including capital and O&M costs, have also been rounded to three significant figures. The rounding results in a small apparent decrease in the total O&M cost.

#### Courtney Kerwin,

Acting Director, Collection Strategies Division.

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

# Deletion of Agenda Items From October 22, 2015 Open Meeting

October 22, 2015.

The following items have been deleted from the list of Agenda items scheduled for consideration at the Thursday, October 22, 2015, Open Meeting and previously listed in the Commission's Notice of October 15, 2015. These items have been adopted by the Commission.

Item No.	Bureau	Subject
3	Wireless Telecommunications	TITLE: Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions (GN Docket No. 12–268).  SUMMARY: The Commission will consider a Report and Order addressing when and in what areas 600 MHz Band wireless licensees will be deemed to "commence operations" for the purposes of establishing when the secondary and unlicensed users must cease operations and vacate the 600 MHz Band in those areas.
4	Office of Engineering and Technology	TITLE: Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions (GN Docket No. 12–268); Office of Engineering and Technology Releases and Seeks Comment on Updated OET–69 Software (ET Docket No. 13–26); and Office of Engineering and Technology Seeks to Supplement the Incentive Auction Proceeding Record Regarding Potential Interference Between Broadcast Television and Wireless Services (ET Docket No. 14–14).  SUMMARY: The Commission will consider a Third Report & Order and First Order on Reconsideration that adopts rules to govern inter-service interference between broadcast television stations and wireless licensees in the 600 MHz Band following the incentive auction and sets out protection criteria for television stations and wireless operations in the band.
5	Media	TITLE: Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions (GN Docket No. 12–268) and Channel Sharing by Full Power and Class A Stations Outside the Broadcast Television Spectrum Incentive Auction Context (MB Docket No. 15–137).  SUMMARY: The Commission will consider a Second Order on Reconsideration to provide additional flexibility to broadcasters interested in the incentive auction channel sharing option by clarifying that "back-up" channel sharing agreements are permitted under the rules and providing more time for successful incentive auction bidders to transition to shared facilities after the auction.