Further, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(d), the Department will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit equal to the weighted-average amount by which normal value exceeds U.S. price as follows: (1) For the mandatory respondent listed above, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the dumping margin which the Department determined in this final determination adjusted, as appropriate, for export subsidies found in the final determination of the companion countervailing duty investigation; a (2) if the exporter is not a mandatory respondent identified in this investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the producer of the subject merchandise; and (3) the cash deposit rates for all other producers or exporters will be 172.53 percent. The suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we notified the International Trade Commission (“ITC”) of the final affirmative determination of sales at LTFV. As the Department’s final determination is affirmative, in accordance with section 735(b)(2) of the Act, the ITC will determine, within 45 days, whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of melamine from Trinidad and Tobago, or sales (or the likelihood of sales) for importation, of melamine from Trinidad and Tobago. If the ITC determines that such injury does not exist, this proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded or canceled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing CBP to assess, upon further instruction by the Department, antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information

This notice also serves as a reminder to the parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of propriety information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation. This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 30, 2015.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise subject to this investigation is melamine (Chemical Abstracts Service (“CAS”) registry number 108–78–0, molecular formula C₆H₆N₆). Melamine is a crystalline powder or granule typically (but not exclusively) used to manufacture melamine formaldehyde resins. All melamine is covered by the scope of this investigation irrespective of purity, particle size, or physical form. Melamine that has been blended with other products is included within this scope when such blends include constituent parts that have been intermingled, but that have not been chemically reacted with each other to produce a different product. For such blends, only the melamine component of the mixture is covered by the scope of this investigation. Melamine that is otherwise subject to this investigation is not excluded when commingled with melamine from sources not subject to this investigation. Only the subject component of such commingled products is covered by the scope of this investigation. The subject merchandise is provided for in subheading 2933.61.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Although the HTSUS subheading and CAS registry number are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive.

Appendix II—Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Investigation
IV. Changes Since the Preliminary Determination
V. Discussion of the Issues
Comment 1: Depreciation Expense of Urea Plant
Comment 2: Natural Gas Curtailments

VI. Recommendation

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[C−570−021]

Melamine From the People’s Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“Department”) determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of melamine from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). For information on the estimated subsidy rates, see the “Suspension of Liquidation” section of this notice.

DATES: Effective: November 6, 2015.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The petitioner to this investigation is Cornerstone Chemical Company (“Petitioner”). The Department selected five mandatory respondents; Far-Reaching Chemical Co., Ltd. (“Far-Reaching Chemical”), Zhongyuan Dahua Group Co., Ltd. (“Zhongyuan Dahua”), Qingdao Unichem International Trade Co., Ltd. (“Qingdao Unichem”), M and A Chemicals Corp China (“M&A Chemicals”), and ShanDong LiaHerd Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (“Shandong LiaHerd”). All five mandatory respondents and the Government of the PRC refused to participate in this investigation.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation for which we are measuring subsidies is January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013.
Case History

The Department published its Preliminary Determination on April 20, 2015. In it, the Department applied an adverse inference to find that the programs on which the Department initiated this investigation and the programs which the Department subsequently included in this investigation pursuant to allegations made by Petitioner, are countervailable. Further, the Department applied an adverse inference in its calculation of the ad valorem estimated countervailable subsidy rate for Far-Reaching Chemical, Zhongyuan Dahua, Qingdao Unichem, M&A Chemicals, and Shandong Liaherd. The Department invited, but did not receive, interested party comments on the Preliminary Determination. Thus, we have made no changes from the Preliminary Determination with respect to the determination to apply adverse inferences. However, as explained below, we made certain changes to the ad valorem final subsidy rate.

Also in the Preliminary Determination, pursuant to section 705(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”) and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(4), we aligned the final countervailing duty (“CVD”) determination with the final antidumping duty (“AD”) determination. On July 2, 2015, the Department postponed the final AD determination (and, thus, the instant, aligned, CVD determination) until November 2, 2015.

Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise subject to this investigation is melamine (Chemical Abstracts Service (“CAS”) registry number 108–78–0, molecular formula C3H6N6). Melamine is a crystalline powder or granule typically (but not exclusively) used to manufacture melamine formaldehyde resins. All melamine is covered by the scope of this investigation irrespective of purity, particle size, or physical form. Melamine that has been blended with other products is included within this scope when such blends include constituent parts that have been intermingled, but that have not been chemically reacted with each other to produce a different product. For such blends, only the melamine component of the mixture is covered by the scope of this investigation. Melamine that is otherwise subject to this investigation is not excluded when commingled with melamine from sources not subject to this investigation. Only the subject component of such commingled products is covered by the scope of this investigation.

The subject merchandise is provided for in subheading 2933.61.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). Although the HTSUS subheading and CAS registry number are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive.

Use of Facts Otherwise Available, Including Adverse Inferences

For purposes of this final determination, we relied on facts available and applied an adverse inference, in accordance with sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, with regard to (1) the existence of a financial contribution, benefit, and specificity for the alleged subsidy programs and (2) the net subsidy rates assigned to Far-Reaching Chemical, Zhongyuan Dahua, Qingdao Unichem, M&A Chemicals, and Shandong Liaherd. A full discussion of our decision to rely on adverse facts available (“AFA”) is presented in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum under the section “Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences.” However, for this final determination we are making certain changes to the AFA rates. Specifically, we are revising the AFA rates for “Preferred Export Financing from the Export-Import Bank of China” and “Reduced Fee Export Insurance” to reflect the highest calculated CVD rates for these programs.

Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 705(c)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act, we have calculated individual rates for Far-Reaching Chemical, Zhongyuan Dahua, Qingdao Unichem, M&A Chemicals, and Shandong Liaherd. Section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act states that for companies not individually investigated, we will determine an “all-others” rate equal to the weighted average countervailable subsidy rates established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero and de minimis countervailable rates, and any rates determined entirely under section 776 of the Act. Section 705(c)(5)(A)(ii) of the Act states that if the countervailable subsidy rates for all exporters and producers individually investigated are zero or de minimis rates, or are determined entirely under section 776 of the Act, the Department may use any reasonable method to establish an all-others rate for exporters and producers not individually investigated, including averaging the weighted average countervailable subsidy rates determined for the exporters and producers individually investigated. As described above, all of the mandatory respondents’ subsidy rates were calculated entirely under section 776 of the Act. Therefore, we have resorted to “any reasonable method” to derive the “all-others” rate, as described under section 705(c)(5)(A)(ii) of the Act. We are basing the “all-others” rate on the simple average of the five rates determined for the mandatory respondents, consistent with section 705(c)(5)(A)(ii) of the Act.


3 See Melamine From the People’s Republic of China: Postponement of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 80 FR 38175 (July 02, 2015).

4 Melamine is also known as 2,4,6-triamino-s-triazine; 1,3,5-Triazine-2,4,6-triamine; Cyanuroltriamide; Cyanuroltriamine; Cyanuramide; and by various brand names.

5 See Memorandum to the File titled “Melamine from the People’s Republic of China: Final Calculations;” dated November 2, 2015.


We determine the total estimated net countervailable subsidy rates to be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Subsidy rate (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Far-Reaching Chemical Co., Ltd</td>
<td>154.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M &amp; A Chemicals Corp China</td>
<td>154.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qingdao Unichem International Trade Co., Ltd</td>
<td>154.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shandong Liaherd Chemical Industry Co., Ltd</td>
<td>156.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhongyuan Dahua Group Co., Ltd</td>
<td>154.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>154.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a result of our Preliminary Determination, and pursuant to section 703(d) of the Act, we instructed U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to suspend liquidation of all entries of melamine from the PRC that were entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after April 20, 2015, the date of publication of the Preliminary Determination in the Federal Register. In accordance with section 703(d) of the Act, we issued instructions to CBP to discontinue the suspension of liquidation for CVD purposes for subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after August 18, 2015, but to continue the suspension of liquidation of all entries from April 20, 2015, through August 17, 2015.

If the U.S. International Trade Commission (“ITC”) issues a final affirmative injury determination, we will issue a CVD order and reinstate the suspension of liquidation under section 706(a) of the Act and will require a cash deposit of estimated CVDs for such entries of merchandise in the amounts indicated above. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material injury, does not exist, this proceeding will be terminated and all estimated duties deposited or securities posted as a result of the suspension of liquidation will be refunded or canceled.

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 705(d) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of our determination. In addition, we are making available to the ITC all non-privileged and non-proprietary information related to this investigation. We will allow the ITC access to all privileged and business proprietary information in our files, provided the ITC confirms it will not disclose such information, either publicly or under an administrative protective order (“APO”), without the written consent of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information

In the event that the ITC issues a final negative injury determination, this notice will serve as the only reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation that is subject to sanction.

This determination is published pursuant to sections 705(d) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 30, 2015.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–274–807]

Melamine From Trinidad and Tobago: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to a producer and exporter of melamine from Trinidad and Tobago. For more information on the estimated subsidy rate, see the “Final Determination” section of this notice.

DATES: Effective: November 6, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristen Johnson or Patricia Tran, Office III, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4793, or (202) 482–1503, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Petitioner in this investigation is Cornerstone Chemical Company. In addition to the Government of the Republic of Trinidad and Tobago, the mandatory respondent is Methanol Holdings (Trinidad) Ltd. (MHTL). The period of investigation for which we measured subsidies is January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013.

Case History

The events that occurred in this investigation since the Department published the Preliminary Determination on April 20, 2015, are discussed in the Final Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The Final Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Final Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/fedindex.html.

The signed Final Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Final Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is melamine (Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) registry number 108–78–0, molecular formula C₃H₆N₃). Melamine is a crystalline powder or granule typically (but not exclusively) used to manufacture melamine formaldehyde resins. All melamine is covered by the scope of this investigation irrespective of purity, particle size, or physical form. Melamine that has been blended with

a See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 7, where we explained that the AFA rate applicable to Shandong Liaherd includes additional grant programs applicable only to Shandong Liaherd based upon information contained in Shandong’s Liaherd’s financial statements. See also “Initiation Checklist: Melamine from the People’s Republic of China” (December 2, 2014).

b See Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance regarding “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Affirmative Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation of Melamine from Trinidad and Tobago,” dated concurrently with this notice (Final Decision Memorandum).

c Melamine is also known as 2,4,6-triamino-s-triazine; 1,3,5-Triazine-2,4,6-triamine; Cyanuroltriamide; Cyanurotiamine; Cyanuramide; and by various brand names.