proposed rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider the proposed rule change. Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, designates December 21, 2015, as the date by which the Commission should either approve or disapprove or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove the proposed rule change (File Number SR–NYSEArca–2015–73), as modified by Amendments No. 1 and 3.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.7

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–28682 Filed 11–10–15; 8:45 am]
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November 5, 2015.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on November 2, 2015, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (“NASDAQ” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to adopt business continuity and disaster recovery plans (“BC/DR Plans”) testing requirements applicable to Exchange Members3 and Options Participants4 in connection with Regulation Systems Compliance and Integrity (“Regulation SCI”).5 The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at http://nasdaq.cchwallstreet.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

NASDAQ is proposing to adopt new Rule 1170 to implement the BC/DR Plans requirements of Rule 1004 of Regulation SCI. As adopted by the Commission, Regulation SCI applies to certain self-regulatory organizations (including the Exchange), alternative trading systems (“ATSs”), plan processors, and exempt clearing agencies (collectively, “SCI entities”), and will require these SCI entities to comply with requirements with respect to the automated systems central to the performance of their regulated activities. Among the requirements of Regulation SCI is Rule 1004(a)(2)(v), which requires the Exchange and other SCI entities to maintain “[b]usiness continuity and disaster recovery plans that include maintaining backup and recovery capabilities sufficiently resilient and geographically diverse and that are reasonably designed to achieve next business day resumption of trading and two-hour resumption of critical SCI systems following a wide-scale disruption.”6 The Exchange has put extensive time and resources toward planning for system failures and already maintains robust BC/DR Plans consistent with the Rule. As set forth below, in connection with Regulation SCI, the Exchange is proposing to require certain Members to participate in testing of the operation of the Exchange’s BC/DR Plans.

With respect to an SCI entity’s BC/DR Plans, including its backup systems, paragraph (a) of Rule 1004 of Regulation SCI requires each SCI entity to: “[e]stablish standards for the designation of those members or participants that the SCI entity reasonably determines are, taken as a whole, the minimum necessary for the maintenance of fair and orderly markets in the event of the activation of such plans.”7 Paragraph (b) of Rule 1004 of Regulation SCI further requires each SCI entity to “[d]esignate members or participants pursuant to the standards established in paragraph (a) of [Rule 1004] and require participation by such designated members or participants in scheduled functional and performance testing of the operation of such plans, in the manner and frequency specified by the SCI entity, provided that such frequency shall not be less than once every 12 months.”8 In order to comply with Rule 1004 of Regulation SCI, the Exchange proposes to adopt new Rule 1170, which incorporates the requirements of Rule 1004 of Regulation SCI as part of the Exchange’s rules, and sets forth the notice, selection criteria and obligations of Members and Participants with respect to BC/DR Plans testing. NASDAQ proposes to adopt Rule 1170(a), which will set forth the Exchange’s obligations with respect to the selection of Members and Participants for testing. Specifically, the rule will require NASDAQ to “[e]stablish standards for the designation of those Members and Options Participants that NASDAQ reasonably determines are, taken as a whole, the minimum necessary for the maintenance of fair and orderly markets in the event of the activation of such plans.” The proposed new rule further provides that “[s]uch standards may include volume-based and/or market share-based criteria, and may be adjusted from time to time by NASDAQ.” Lastly, the proposed new rule will require NASDAQ to provide public notice of the standards that it adopts.

NASDAQ is proposing to adopt Rule 1170(b), which will set forth the obligations of NASDAQ and its Members

---

7 17 CFR 242.1004(a).
8 17 CFR 242.1004(b).
and Participants with respect to testing. Specifically, the rule will require Nasdaq to “designate Members and Options Participants pursuant to the standards established in paragraph (a) of this rule and require participation by such designated Members and Options Participants in scheduled functional and performance testing of the operation of such plans, in the manner and frequency specified by Nasdaq, provided that such frequency shall not be less than once every 12 months.” Moreover, the rule will require Nasdaq to provide at least six months prior notice to Members and Participants that are designated for mandatory testing. Lastly, the rule will provide notice that participation in testing is a condition of membership for Members and Participants that are designated for testing.

The Exchange encourages all Members and Participants to connect to the Exchange’s backup systems and to participate in testing of such systems, but Nasdaq will require mandatory participation in BC/DR Plans testing by those Members and Participants that the Exchange reasonably determines are, taken as a whole, the minimum necessary for the maintenance of fair and orderly markets in the event of the activation of such plans on the Exchange and NOM, respectively. The Exchange believes that using overall participation on its markets (by volume and/or market share) as a measure to select Members and Participants for mandatory participation in BC/DR Plans testing is a reasonable means by which it can determine which Members and Participants are necessary for the maintenance of fair and orderly markets in the event of the activation of such plans. For each BC/DR Plans test cycle, Nasdaq will select the top ten Members on the Exchange and the top five Participants on NOM based on Nasdaq’s measure of overall participation on each of those markets. The Exchange will provide notice of a Members’ and Participants’ selection at least six months prior to the next BC/DR Plans test date. All notices concerning BC/DR Plans testing will be posted on Nasdaq’s Web site.

The Exchange is proposing to initially select Members and Participants with the highest levels of trading volume on Nasdaq and NOM over four calendar months (“Measurement Period”) as mandatory testing Members and Participants, respectively. Specifically, the Measurement Period will be the four calendar months of trading immediately prior to Nasdaq’s announcement of the next BC/DR Plans test date. The Measurement Period will always begin at a point after Nasdaq announces the criteria to be used in the next BC/DR Plans test. By way of example, if on October 6, 2017 Nasdaq announced the BC/DR Plans test selection criteria and on March 2, 2018 Nasdaq announced a BC/DR Plans test date of September 8, 2018, the Measurement Period used to select Members and Participants subject to mandatory testing would be November 2017 through February 2018. Members and Participants not obligated to participate that wish to participate in this test must inform Nasdaq no later than September 1, 2018.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6 of the Act, in general, and further the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.” The Exchange believes that this proposal is consistent with such authority and legal responsibility.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended. To the contrary, the proposal is not a competitive proposal but rather is necessary for the Exchange’s compliance with Regulation SCI.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder. Because the proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative prior to 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, if consistent with the protection of...
investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder. A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of the filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii), the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest.

The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest as it will allow the Exchange to incorporate changes required under Regulation SCI, such as establishing standards for designating BC/DR participants, prior to the November 3, 2015 compliance date. Accordingly, the Commission designates the proposed rule change to be operative upon filing.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NASDAQ–2015–134 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NASDAQ–2015–134. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NASDAQ–2015–134 and should be submitted on or before December 3, 2015.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

Filing and Date of Comments

[FR Doc. 2015–28697 Filed 11–10–15; 8:45 am]
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November 5, 2015.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that, on October 23, 2015, NYSE MKT LLC (the “Exchange” or “NYSE MKT”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to change the co-location services offered by the Exchange to include a means for co-located Users to receive market data feeds from third party markets through a wireless connection. In addition, the proposed rule change reflects changes to the NYSE MKT Equities Price List (“Price List”) and the NYSE Amex Options Fee Schedule (“Fee Schedule”) related to these services. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at