Participants, and allocates credits to where it believes it will receive the best result in terms of improvement to market quality. In this case, Nasdaq is limiting the credit to NOM market makers because it believes that market quality will be improved the most by market makers actively providing liquidity and this benefits both Nasdaq and NOM participants.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

Nasdaq does not believe that the proposed rule changes will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended. Nasdaq notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, Nasdaq must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices.

In this instance, the proposed changes to the LMM Program and the charges assessed and credits available to Participants for execution of securities in securities of all three Tapes do not impose a burden on competition because the Exchange’s execution services are completely voluntary and subject to extensive competition both from other exchanges and from off-exchange venues. The Exchange is modifying a market improving incentive program and is also adjusting credit tiers provided Participants in return for market improving activity, in an effort to make them more effective. Such changes may foster competition among exchanges and other market venues to provide similar incentives, which would benefit all market participants. The Exchange must weigh the costs of offering incentives to market participants against the desired benefit the Exchange seeks to achieve. To the extent these incentives are inefficient or at fail to achieve these goals, the Exchange may from time to time adjust the level of incentive and/or the market improving activity required to qualify for the incentive credits and fees, or adopt an alternative incentive in lieu thereof. Such changes are reflective of robust competition among exchanges and other market venues. In sum, if the changes proposed herein are unattractive to market participants it is likely that Nasdaq will lose market share as a result. As such, the Exchange does not believe the proposed changes will place a burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(2) thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR–NASDAQ–2015–137 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File No. SR–NASDAQ–2015–137. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written communications relating to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications received at the public reference room of the Commission in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR–NASDAQ–2015–137, and should be submitted on or before December 14, 2015.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

Robert W. Errett, Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–29705 Filed 11–20–15; 8:45 am]
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November 17, 2015.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”), and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on November 4, 2015, NASDAQ OMX PHIX LLC (“Phix” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II,

and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 1094, entitled “Sponsored Participants” (1) define the term “Sponsored Access” and “Customer Agreement;” (2) specify the requirements to comply with Rule 15c3-5 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Market Access Rule”); (3) remove the requirement that each Sponsoring Member Organization; and (4) remove PSX Rule 3211 as well as certain definitions.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at http://nasdagenxpxlh.cchwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the filing is to amend Rule 1094 entitled, “Sponsored Participants” to: (1) Define the term “Sponsored Access,” and specifically stating that compliance with the Market Access Rule is required, and defining “Customer Agreement” to refer to the agreement that must be executed between the Sponsoring Participant and the Sponsoring Member Organization; (2) specify the requirements to comply with the Market Access Rule; (3) remove the requirement that each Sponsoring Participant and each Sponsoring Member Organization must enter into certain agreements with the Exchange to streamline its rule and remove unnecessarily burdensome notice requirements to the Exchange; and (4) remove PSX Rule 3211, entitled “Application of Other Rules of the Exchange,” because Phlx Rule 1094 will be applicable to market participants trading on PSX, and remove the definitions of Sponsored Participant and Sponsoring Member Organization in Rule 1, which relate to PSX Rules.

Defining Sponsored Access

A Sponsored Participant may be a member or a non-member of the Exchange, such as an institutional investor, that gains access to the Exchange and trades under a sponsorship arrangement and clearing identity pursuant to sponsorship arrangements currently set forth in Phlx Rule 1094. The Exchange is proposing to define the term “Sponsored Access” to clarify the type of market access arrangement that is subject to Phlx Rule 1094. The Exchange proposes to amend Phlx Rule 1094(a) to add the following definition, “Sponsored Access shall mean an arrangement whereby a member organization permits its customers to enter orders into the Exchange’s trading system that bypass the member organization’s trading system and are routed directly to the Exchange, including routing through a service bureau or other third party technology provider.” This definition was derived from the Commission’s description of Sponsored Access used in the release approving the Market Access Rule. The Exchange believes that defining Sponsored Access in Phlx Rule 1094 will provide greater clarity concerning Sponsored Access and their obligations with respect to this type of access arrangement.

Defining Customer Agreement

The Exchange proposes to amend Phlx Rule 1094(b)(1) to define the agreement that Sponsored Participants must enter into and maintain with one or more Sponsoring Member Organizations to establish proper relationship(s) and account(s) through which the Sponsored Participant may trade on the Exchange, as a “Customer Agreement.”

Market Access Rule

Pursuant to Phlx Rule 1094, the Sponsoring Member Organization is responsible for the activities of the Sponsored Participant. Sponsored Participants are required to have procedures in place to comply with Exchange rules, and the Sponsoring Member Organization takes responsibility for the Sponsored Participant’s activity on the Exchange. Members may have multiple Sponsored Access relationships in place at a given time. The Exchange’s examination program assesses compliance with Phlx Rule 1094, among other rules. The Exchange proposes to specifically enumerate within Phlx Rule 1094 the member’s obligation to comply with the Market Access Rule, which members are currently required to comply with respect to market access. The Exchange believes that specifying the obligation to comply with the Market Access Rule specifically will reinforce that Phlx Rule 1094 presupposes member compliance with the Market Access Rule.

Elimination of Certain Contract Requirements

At this time, the Exchange proposes to remove requirements to submit certain forms to the Exchange. There are three forms that are currently required by Phlx Rule 1094: (1) An agreement between the Sponsoring Participant and the Exchange (“Exchange Agreement”); (2) an Access Agreement between the Sponsored Participant and its Sponsoring Member Organization that is provided to the Exchange; and (3) a Sponsored Participant Addendum to its Access Agreement (hereinafter “addendum”) provided to the Exchange by the Sponsoring Member Organization. Phlx Rule 1094 will continue to require that each Sponsored Participant enter into a Customer Agreement with each Sponsoring member to establish proper relationship(s) and account(s) through which the Sponsored Participant may trade on the Exchange. These Customer Agreement(s) must incorporate the Sponsorship Provisions set forth in:

1. For example, a broker-dealer may allow its customer—whether an institution such as a hedge fund, mutual fund, bank or insurance company, an individual, or another broker-dealer—to use the broker-dealer’s MDIF, account or other mechanism or mnemonic used to identify a market participant for the purposes of electronically accessing the Exchange.


3. The Exchange has a Regulatory Services Agreement with Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) to conduct regulatory examinations, among other obligations.
paragraph (ii) in Phlx Rule 1094. The Customer Agreement remains unaffected by this rule proposal. Also, the Exchange is proposing to amend Phlx Rule 1094 to identify the aforementioned agreement as the “Customer Agreement.”

Today, only members may request connectivity to the Exchange. A member may obtain one or more ports for the purpose of providing Sponsored Access. If separate ports are requested by a member for the purpose of providing Sponsored Access, the member must request those ports from the Exchange and the member is responsible for the Sponsoring Participant’s activity on the Exchange. In all circumstances, the Exchange will only permit members to request connectivity to the market and the member is responsible for all customer orders submitted through the member’s port.

First, the Exchange believes that completing and submitting the Exchange Agreement, Access Agreement and Addendum is unnecessarily burdensome in light of the current structure in place at the Exchange. Only members may request connectivity to the Exchange by contacting Phlx Subscriber Services. Such connection by the member requires approval by the Exchange for the purpose of testing as well as other relevant information sharing with the Exchange by the member to obtain a port. The Exchange is aware of the member responsible for each of its ports, however the Exchange may not be aware of the member’s Sponsored Access arrangements due to varied ways that a member may utilize a port. The Exchange believes the requirement to also complete and submit an Exchange Agreement, Access Agreement and Addendum with our Phlx Membership Department is viewed as unnecessarily burdensome by members because of the multitude of relationships the member has with various customers. Members have expressed to the Exchange that they have multiple relationships with customers, which customer relationships change over time.

Members have indicated that the necessity to continuously disclose the updated customer relationships to the Exchange is burdensome and unnecessary as they remain responsible for all activity conducted on the Exchange through a port assigned to the member. Further such information is available to the Exchange upon Exchange request from its regulatory group.

Second, the Exchange believes that the Exchange Agreement between the Sponsoring Participant and the Exchange is also unnecessarily burdensome. The requirement to provide this form was intended to give the Exchange notification that such a relationship existed and to ensure that the Sponsoring Participant was informed of the Exchange’s Limited Liability Company Agreement, By-Laws, Rules and procedures. The agreements also provided the Exchange with contractual privity, which would no longer exist with the removal of the Exchange Agreement. The Exchange does not believe the loss of privity with the Sponsoring Participant creates a concern as the Exchange has the ability to remove access to the port9 at any time if the activity of the Sponsoring Participant warrants such removal. In addition, as discussed below, the Sponsoring Participant will be made aware of its obligations through the Customer Agreement that it executed with the Sponsoring Member. As noted above, the Exchange only permits its members to request connectivity to the Exchange’s System and members responsible for all trades submitted through such ports. Pursuant to Phlx Rule 1094 the trading activity of a Sponsoring Participant must be monitored by the Sponsoring Member Organization for compliance with the terms of the Customer Agreement with the Sponsoring Participant. Finally, the member continues to be obligated to comply with Phlx Rule 1094 and the Market Access Rule. The Sponsoring Member Organization is responsible for any and all actions taken by such Sponsoring Participant and any person acting on behalf of or in the name of such Sponsoring Participant.

Phlx Rule 1094 requires that the Sponsoring Participant and the Sponsoring Member Organization maintain a Customer Agreement to ensure compliance with the Exchange’s Rules and obligations related to security, among other things. Phlx Rule 1094 requires that the Customer Agreement specify that the Sponsoring Participant shall maintain, keep current and provide to the Sponsoring Member a list of individuals authorized to obtain access to the Exchange on behalf of the Sponsoring Participant and provide appropriate training. In addition, pursuant to the Customer Agreement provisions, the Sponsoring Participant is required to take reasonable security precautions to prevent unauthorized use or access to the Exchange, including unauthorized entry of information into the Exchange, or the information and data made available therein. Finally, the Customer Agreement must provide that the Sponsoring Participant is responsible for any and all orders, trades and other messages and instructions entered, transmitted or received under identifiers, passwords and security codes of authorized individuals, and for the trading and other consequences thereof, including granting unauthorized access to the Exchange.

The contents and the requirement for a Customer Agreement are unchanged. Pursuant to Phlx Rule 1094 the Sponsoring Member Organization must provide an Addendum to the Exchange. The Exchange believes that the Addendum provided to the Exchange by the Sponsoring Member Organization is also unnecessarily burdensome. The Addendum notifies the Exchange of the relationship between the Sponsoring Member Organization and the Sponsoring Participant. However, as noted above, the Exchange’s regulatory group may request information about a particular customer relationship as it deems necessary. Further, the Exchange is made aware of the existence of ports when the Sponsoring Member Organization requests connectivity to the Exchange and the Members are responsible for all trading activity by its Sponsoring Participant. In addition, the Exchange, through its Regulatory Services Agreement with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), reviews for member compliance with Phlx Rule 1094 and the Market Access Rule. The Exchange has the ability to remove access to the port at any time if the activity of the Sponsoring Participant would warrant such removal.

In light of the foregoing, the requirement to complete and submit an

---

6 The Customer Agreement is required to include, among other language, all orders entered by the Sponsoring Participants and any person acting on behalf of or in the name of such Sponsoring Participant and any actions occurring as a result of such orders are binding in all respects on the Sponsoring Member Organization and, also, the Sponsoring Member Organization is responsible for any and all actions taken by such Sponsoring Participant and any person acting on behalf of or in the name of such Sponsoring Participant.

7 For example, a broker-dealer’s customers, which could include hedge funds, institutional investors, individual investors, and other broker-dealers.

8 See Phlx Rule 960.2.

9 Phlx Rule 911, entitled “Member and Member Organization Participation” permits the Exchange to impose upon any member or member organization such temporary restrictions upon the automated entry or updating of orders or quotes/orders as the Exchange may determine to be necessary to protect the integrity of Exchange’s systems.

10 See Phlx Rule 1094(b)(ii)(C).

11 See Phlx Rule 1094(b)(iii)(B).

12 See Phlx Rule 960.2.

13 See note 9.
Exchange Agreement and Addendum with the Phlx Membership Department is viewed as unnecessarily burdensome by members, who must update their customer relationships internally and provides such information upon Exchange request.

PSX Rules

The Exchange proposes to remove PSX Rule 3211, entitled “Application of Other Rules of the Exchange,” because Phlx Rule 1094 will be applicable to market participants trading on PSX. The Exchange is adding Phlx Rule 1094 to the list of Phlx Rules for which PSX are responsible for compliance. Finally, the Exchange is removing the definitions of Sponsored Participant and Sponsoring Member Organization in Rule 1, which relate to PSX Rules.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act, in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest, by enhancing the risk protections available to Exchange members.

Defining Sponsored Access

Adding a definition of Sponsored Access will assist market participants to understand the type of arrangements that are subject to Phlx Rule 1094 and such clarity will serve to promote just and equitable principles of trade. Members have indicated, and the Exchange believes, that adding the Sponsored Access definition will provide members with additional guidance with respect to Phlx Rule 1094.

Defining Customer Agreement

Defining the agreement that Sponsored Participants must enter into and maintain with one or more Sponsoring Member Organizations to establish proper relationship(s) and account(s) through which the Sponsored Participant may trade on the Exchange, as a “Customer Agreement” will also serve to provide members with clarity on the agreement that the Exchange will continue to require and the obligations that are contained within the Customer Agreement. This amendment is non-substantive.

Market Access Rule

Members continue to be required to comply with Phlx Rule 1094 and the Market Access Rule. The Exchange believes that specifically enumerating the member’s responsibility to comply with the Market Access Rule will provide member’s with additional guidance concerning the application of the Rule. This change is non-substantive as members are currently responsible to comply with the Market Access Rule.

Elimination of Certain Contract Requirements

Removing the requirement to submit and complete an Exchange Agreement, Access Agreement and Addendum will remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market by removing a burdensome and time-consuming requirement for members. While elimination of the Exchange Agreement requirement will also eliminate the Exchange’s contractual privity with the Sponsored Participant, the Exchange notes that any potential concerns to the loss of privity are mitigated by the Exchange’s ability to restrict the Sponsored Participant’s access to a port at any time it is warranted by the Sponsored Participant’s trading activity. Also, members have indicated that customer relationships must be frequently updated and it is unnecessarily burdensome to continuously update the Exchange with this information that is available upon request. Connectivity to the Exchange is authorized by the Exchange and must be requested by a member of the Exchange. Such connection requires approval by the Exchange, testing and other security features as well as information sharing with the Exchange by the member. In addition, Phlx Rule 1064 delineates the terms of the required contractual relationship between the Sponsoring Member Organization and the Sponsored Participant in the Customer Agreement, which remains in effect. The Exchange believes that the Addendum is unnecessary as Sponsoring Member Organizations must request connectivity to the Exchange as well as enter into a Customer Agreement with the Sponsored Participant. Finally, as is the case with other Exchange Rules, the Exchange examines for compliance with Phlx Rule 1064 and may request information about any customer relationship which concerns the Exchange. The requirement to also complete and submit an Exchange Agreement, Access Agreement and Addendum with our Phlx Membership Department is viewed as unnecessarily burdensome by members, who must update their customer relationships internally.

PSX Rules

The Exchange’s proposal to remove PSX Rule 3211, entitled “Application of Other Rules of the Exchange,” and add Phlx Rule 1094 to the list of Phlx Rules for which PSX are responsible for compliance will continue to treat both Phlx equities and options members in a similar manner, pursuant to the same rule. Eliminating the definitions of Sponsored Participant and Sponsoring Member Organization in Rule 1 will avoid confusion.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act for the reasons below.

Defining Sponsored Access

The addition of a definition for Sponsored Access will assist market participants to understand the type of arrangement subject to Phlx Rule 1094 and such clarity will serve to promote just and equitable principles of trade.

Defining Customer Agreement

Defining the agreement that Sponsored Participants must enter into and maintain with one or more Sponsoring Member Organizations to establish proper relationship(s) and account(s) through which the Sponsored Participant may trade on the Exchange, as a “Customer Agreement” does not create an undue burden on competition as this amendment is non-substantive and the Exchange believes that providing guidance concerning the type of arrangement subject to Phlx Rule 1094 will facilitate member compliance and does not unduly burden competition.

Market Access Rule

In addition, the Exchange believes that specifically enumerating the member’s obligation to comply with the Market Access Rule does not create an undue burden on competition, but rather reinforces the application of the Rule. This change is non-substantive as members are currently responsible to comply with the Market Access Rule.
Elimination of Certain Contract Requirements

Removing the requirement to complete an Exchange Agreement, Access Agreement and Addendum under Phlx Rule 1094 does not create an undue burden on competition. The Exchange believes that this requirement is unnecessarily burdensome as the Exchange’s regulatory group may request information about a particular customer relationship as it deems necessary. Further, the Exchange is made aware of the existence of ports when the Sponsoring Member Organization requests connectivity to the Exchange and the Members are responsible for all trading activity by its Sponsored Participant. In order to obtain connectivity to the Exchange, members are required to contact Phlx Subscriber Services and request a connection to the market. Such connection requires approval by the Exchange, testing and other security features as well as information sharing with the Exchange by the member. Only members are permitted to request connectivity to the Exchange. The requirement to also complete and submit an Exchange Agreement, Access Agreement and Addendum with our Phlx Membership Department is viewed as unnecessarily burdensome by members, who must update their customer relationships internally. Additionally, the Exchange examines for compliance with Phlx Rule 960.2 and may request information about any customer relationship which concerns the Exchange.

The Sponsoring Member Organization remains responsible for customer activity conducted on the Exchange through the Customer Agreement, among other obligations. Additionally, Sponsored Participants that obtain access to the Exchange’s trading system are required to take reasonable security precautions and prevent unauthorized use or access the Exchange, including unauthorized entry of information to the Exchange, pursuant to the Customer Agreement. Further, the Sponsored Participants is responsible to establish adequate procedures and controls that permit it to effectively monitor its employees’, agents’ and customers’ use and access to the Exchange for compliance with the terms of this agreement. In addition, the Exchange, through its Regulatory Services Agreement with FINRA conducts reviews of members for compliance with Phlx Rule 1094 and the Market Access Rule. The Exchange has the ability to remove access to the port at any time if the activity of the Sponsored Participant would warrant such removal. Finally, Phlx Rule 1094 is currently applicable to all Phlx members that desire to sponsor access for its customers and applies to trading in all securities on the Exchange.

PSX Rules

The Exchange’s proposal to remove PSX Rule 3211, entitled “Application of Other Rules of the Exchange,” and add Phlx Rule 1094 to the list of Phlx Rules for which PSX are responsible for compliance does not create an undue burden on competition because both Phlx equities and options members will be obligated similarly to Rule 1094. Eliminating the definitions of Sponsored Participant and Sponsoring Member Organization in Rule 1 does not create an undue burden on competition because it will avoid confusion.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–Phlx–2015–93 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Phlx–2015–93. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written communications relating to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–Phlx–2015–93 and should be submitted on or before December 14, 2015.

17 See Phlx Rule 960.2.
18 See Phlx Rule 1094(b)(ii)(G).
19 See Phlx Rule 1094(b)(ii)(H).
20 See note 9.
22 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b–4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.
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November 17, 2015.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on November 9, 2015, BATS Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BATS”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act3 and Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder,4 which renders it effective thereunder,5 which renders it effective

change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend Rule 11.13, Order Execution and Routing, to adopt a new routing option to be known as ALLB. As proposed, ALLB would be a routing option under which the order checks the System5 for available shares and is then sent to the EDGX Exchange, Inc. (“EDGX”), BATS Y-Exchange, Inc. (“BYX”), and the EDGA Exchange, Inc. (“EDGA”) collectively with the Exchange, EDGX, and BYX, the “BGM Affiliated Exchanges”). Specifically, an order subject to the ALLB routing option would execute first against contra-side displayed and non-displayed liquidity on the BATS Book6 at the National Best Bid or Offer (“NBBO”) or better. Any remainder would then be routed to EDGX, BYX, and/or EDGA in accordance with the System routing table.7 If shares remain unexecuted after routing, they are posted to the BATS Book, unless otherwise instructed by the User.8 In such case, the User may instruct the Exchange to cancel the remaining shares. ALLB is designed to comply with Rule 611 and all other provisions of Regulation NMS.9

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act10 in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(5) of the Act11 in particular, that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change promotes just and equitable principles of trade because it would provide Users with greater flexibility in routing orders consistent with

Regulation NMS without developing complicated order routing strategies on their own. The Exchange believes that the proposed routing option will also accomplish those ends by providing market participants with an additional voluntary routing option that will enable them to easily access liquidity available on all of the national securities exchanges operated by BGM Affiliated Exchanges. The Exchange expects the routing strategy will benefit firms that do not employ routing or trading strategies under which the firm itself would rapidly access liquidity provided on the multiple venues. ALLB would not provide any advantage to Users when routing to the EDGA, EDGX, or BYX as compared to other methods of routing or connectivity available to Users by the Exchange.

Last, the Exchange also notes that routing options enabling the routing of orders between affiliated exchanges is not unique and that the ALLB routing option is similar to routing options offered by other exchange groups that permit routing between affiliates. Specifically, the Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (“Nasdaq”), the Nasdaq OMX BX (“BX”), Nasdaq OMX PSX (“PSX”) offer routing options that enable an order, whether sent to Nasdaq, BX, or PSX, to check the Nasdaq, BX, and PSX books for liquidity before optionally posting to the Nasdaq, BX, or PSX book.12 In addition, the Exchange previously offered a variation of a Destination Specific Order13 which routed to and executed by its affiliate, BYX, known as the B2B routing.14 Therefore, the proposal rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 11.13, Order Execution and Routing, to adopt a new routing option to be known as ALLB. As proposed, ALLB would be a routing option under which the order checks the System5 for available shares and is then sent to the EDGX Exchange, Inc. (“EDGX”), BATS Y-Exchange, Inc. (“BYX”), and the EDGA Exchange, Inc. (“EDGA”) collectively with the Exchange, EDGX, and BYX, the “BGM Affiliated Exchanges”). Specifically, an order subject to the ALLB routing option would execute first against contra-side displayed and non-displayed liquidity on the BATS Book6 at the National Best Bid or Offer (“NBBO”) or better. Any remainder would then be routed to EDGX, BYX, and/or EDGA in accordance with the System routing table.7 If shares remain unexecuted after routing, they are posted to the BATS Book, unless otherwise instructed by the User.8 In such case, the User may instruct the Exchange to cancel the remaining shares. ALLB is designed to comply with Rule 611 and all other provisions of Regulation NMS.9

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act10 in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(5) of the Act11 in particular, that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change promotes just and equitable principles of trade because it would provide Users with greater flexibility in routing orders consistent with

Regulation NMS without developing complicated order routing strategies on their own. The Exchange believes that the proposed routing option will also accomplish those ends by providing market participants with an additional voluntary routing option that will enable them to easily access liquidity available on all of the national securities exchanges operated by BGM Affiliated Exchanges. The Exchange expects the routing strategy will benefit firms that do not employ routing or trading strategies under which the firm itself would rapidly access liquidity provided on the multiple venues. ALLB would not provide any advantage to Users when routing to the EDGA, EDGX, or BYX as compared to other methods of routing or connectivity available to Users by the Exchange.

Last, the Exchange also notes that routing options enabling the routing of orders between affiliated exchanges is not unique and that the ALLB routing option is similar to routing options offered by other exchange groups that permit routing between affiliates. Specifically, the Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (“Nasdaq”), the Nasdaq OMX BX (“BX”), Nasdaq OMX PSX (“PSX”) offer routing options that enable an order, whether sent to Nasdaq, BX, or PSX, to check the Nasdaq, BX, and PSX books for liquidity before optionally posting to the Nasdaq, BX, or PSX book.12 In addition, the Exchange previously offered a variation of a Destination Specific Order13 which routed to and executed by its affiliate, BYX, known as the B2B routing.14 Therefore, the proposal rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 11.13, Order Execution and Routing, to adopt a new routing option to be known as ALLB. As proposed, ALLB would be a routing option under which the order checks the System5 for available shares and is then sent to the EDGX Exchange, Inc. (“EDGX”), BATS Y-Exchange, Inc. (“BYX”), and the EDGA Exchange, Inc. (“EDGA”) collectively with the Exchange, EDGX, and BYX, the “BGM Affiliated Exchanges”). Specifically, an order subject to the ALLB routing option would execute first against contra-side displayed and non-displayed liquidity on the BATS Book6 at the National Best Bid or Offer (“NBBO”) or better. Any remainder would then be routed to EDGX, BYX, and/or EDGA in accordance with the System routing table.7 If shares remain unexecuted after routing, they are posted to the BATS Book, unless otherwise instructed by the User.8 In such case, the User may instruct the Exchange to cancel the remaining shares. ALLB is designed to comply with Rule 611 and all other provisions of Regulation NMS.9

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act10 in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(5) of the Act11 in particular, that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The proposed rule change promotes just and equitable principles of trade because it would provide Users with greater flexibility in routing orders consistent with Regulation NMS without developing complicated order routing strategies on their own. The Exchange believes that the proposed routing option will also accomplish those ends by providing market participants with an additional voluntary routing option that will enable them to easily access liquidity available on all of the national securities exchanges operated by BGM Affiliated Exchanges. The Exchange expects the routing strategy will benefit firms that do not employ routing or trading strategies under which the firm itself would rapidly access liquidity provided on the multiple venues. ALLB would not provide any advantage to Users when routing to the EDGA, EDGX, or BYX as compared to other methods of routing or connectivity available to Users by the Exchange.

Last, the Exchange also notes that routing options enabling the routing of orders between affiliated exchanges is not unique and that the ALLB routing option is similar to routing options offered by other exchange groups that permit routing between affiliates. Specifically, the Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (“Nasdaq”), the Nasdaq OMX BX (“BX”), Nasdaq OMX PSX (“PSX”) offer routing options that enable an order, whether sent to Nasdaq, BX, or PSX, to check the Nasdaq, BX, and PSX books for liquidity before optionally posting to the Nasdaq, BX, or PSX book.12 In addition, the Exchange previously offered a variation of a Destination Specific Order13 which routed to and executed by its affiliate, BYX, known as the B2B routing.14 Therefore, the
