the nearest cent). See 37 CFR 386.2(b)(2).

List of Subjects in 37 CFR Part 386
Copyright, Satellite, Television.

Final Regulations
In consideration of the foregoing, the
Judges amend part 386 of title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 386—ADJUSTMENT OF ROYALTY FEES FOR SECONDARY TRANSMISSIONS BY SATELLITE CARRIERS

1. The authority citation for part 386 continues to read as follows:
Authority: 17 U.S.C. 119(c), 801(b)(1).

2. Section 386.2 is amended by adding paragraphs (b)(1)(vii) and (b)(2)(vii), and footnotes 3 and 4, to read as follows:

§ 386.2 Royalty fee for secondary transmission by satellite carriers.
* * * * *
(b) * * *
(1) * * *
(vii) 2016: 27 cents per subscriber per month (for each month of 2016).3
(2) * * *
(vii) 2016: 56 cents per subscriber per month (for each month of 2016).4

Dated: November 18, 2015.
Suzanne M. Barnett,
Chief Copyright Royalty Judge.

Instructions
Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID Number EPA–R01–OAR–2015–0593 by one of the following methods:
2. Email: arnold.anne@epa.gov.
3. Fax: (617) 918–0047.
5. Hand Delivery or Courier. Deliver your comments to: Anne Arnold, Manager, Air Quality Planning Unit, Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, 5 Post Office Square—Suite 100, (Mail code OEP05–2), Boston, MA 02109–3912. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office’s normal hours of operation. The Regional Office’s official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding legal holidays.

In addition, copies of the state submittal and EPA’s technical support document are also available for public inspection during normal business hours, by appointment at the State Air Agency; the Bureau of Air Quality Control, Department of Environmental Protection, First Floor of the Tyson Building, Augusta Mental Health Institute Complex, Augusta, ME 04333–0017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Ariel Garcia, Air Quality Unit, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA New England Regional Office, 5 Post Office Square—Suite 100, (Mail code OEP05–2), Boston, MA 02109–3912, telephone number (617) 918–1660, fax number (617) 918–0660, email garcia.ariel@epa.gov.
I. Background and Purpose

Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (the Act), prohibits Federal entities from taking actions in nonattainment or maintenance areas which do not conform to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the attainment and maintenance of the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). Therefore, the purpose of conformity is to: (1) Ensure Federal activities do not interfere with the emission budgets in the SIPS; (2) ensure actions do not contribute to new violations; and (3) ensure attainment and maintenance of the NAAQS. Section 176(c) of the Act also requires EPA to promulgate criteria and procedures for demonstrating and ensuring conformity of Federal actions to an applicable implementation plan developed pursuant to Section 110 and Part D of the Act. EPA promulgated a final rulemaking on November 30, 1993 consisting of 40 CFR part 93, subpart B “Determining Conformity of General Federal Actions to State or Federal Implementation Plans,” which applied to Federal agencies immediately (hereafter referred to as the General Conformity rule); and 40 CFR part 51, subpart W “Determining conformity of general Federal Actions to State or Federal Implementation Plans” which established requirements for States in submitting SIPS. The general conformity rules, except for the 40 CFR 51.851(a) language requiring State submission of a SIP revision, were repealed at 40 CFR part 93, subpart B. The General Conformity rule establishes the criteria and procedures governing the determination of conformity for all Federal actions, except Federal highway and transit actions.¹

The General Conformity rule also establishes the criteria for EPA approval of SIPS. See 40 CFR 51.851 and 93.151. These criteria provide that the state provisions must be at least as stringent as the requirements specified in EPA’s

¹ Conformity to State or Federal Implementation Plans of transportation plans, programs, and projects which are developed, funded or approved under Title 23 U.S.C. or the Federal Transit Laws are implemented under 40 CFR part 51, subpart T, and 40 CFR part 93, subpart A.

General Conformity rule, and that they can be more stringent only if they apply equally to Federal and non-Federal entities (§§ 51.851(b)). Following EPA approval of the State conformity provisions in a SIP revision, the approved State criteria and procedures would govern conformity determinations and the Federal conformity regulations contained in 40 CFR part 51 and part 93 would apply only for the portion, if any, of the State’s conformity provisions that is not approved by EPA. Finally, all SIP-approved requirements relating to general conformity remain enforceable until the State revises its SIP to specifically remove them from the SIP and that revision is approved by EPA.

On October 11, 1996, the State of Maine submitted a formal revision to its SIP. The SIP revision consisted of incorporating-by-reference 40 CFR 51.850 through 51.860 (with the exception of § 51.851) thereby establishing general conformity criteria and procedures in the Maine SIP no more stringent than the Federal rule and not imposing any additional controls on non-Federal entities. EPA approved Maine’s General Conformity SIP through a direct final rule published in the Federal Register on September 23, 1997, (62 FR 49608–49611) and effective November 24, 1997.


On April 5, 2010, EPA revisited the Federal General Conformity Requirements Rule to clarify the conformity process, authorize innovative and flexible compliance approaches, remove outdated or unnecessary requirements, reduce the paperwork burden, provide transition tools for implementing new standards, address issues raised by Federal agencies affected by the rules, and provide a better explanation of conformity regulations and policies. EPA’s April 2010 revised rule simplified state SIP requirements for general conformity, eliminating duplicative general conformity provisions codified at 40 CFR part 93, subpart B and 40 CFR part 51, subpart W by removing section 51.850, and sections 51.852 through 51.860. Finally, the April 2010 revision updated the Federal General Conformity Requirements Rule to reflect changes to governing laws passed by Congress since EPA’s 1993 rule.

The “Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users,” (SAFETEA–LU) passed by Congress in 1995 contains a provision eliminating the Clean Air Act requirement for states to adopt general conformity SIPs. As a result of SAFETEA–LU, EPA’s April 2010 General Conformity rule eliminated the Federal regulatory requirement for states to adopt and submit general conformity SIPs, instead making submission of a general conformity SIP a state option. The 2010 General Conformity amendments (Sections 51.851(c) as well as section 93.151) restated the requirement that in the absence of an EPA approved General Conformity SIP, Federal agencies shall use the provisions of 40 CFR part 93, subpart B to demonstrate conformity with the applicable implementation plan as required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7506).

II. State Submittal

On August 18, 2015, the Maine Department of Environmental Protection submitted a formal SIP revision to remove Chapter 141–Conformity of General Federal Actions. Maine’s Chapter 141 regulation incorporated-by-reference 40 CFR part 51, subpart W “Determining Conformity of General Federal Actions to State or Federal Implementation Plans” as published in the November 30, 1993, Federal Register (58 FR 63247–63253) and amended in the July 17, 2006 Federal Register (71 FR 40420–40426). As stated above all of the general conformity provisions referenced in Maine’s General Conformity regulation were deleted as duplicative on April 5, 2010. At the time they were approved into the SIP, provisions of Maine’s General Conformity SIP were no less stringent than the Federal General Conformity regulations, nor did the SIP establish more stringent conformity criteria and procedures applying equally to non-Federal as well as Federal entities.

As the State of Maine did not revise its SIP-approved Chapter 141—

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

Organization of this document. The following outline is provided to aid in locating information in this preamble.
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II. State Submittal
III. Final Action
IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews
Conformity of General Federal Actions following EPA’s April 5, 2010 General Conformity amendments, the current State rule with a state effective date of April 19, 2007, does not provide any flexibility, or relaxation to the general conformity criteria and procedures as allowed by the amendments.

Maine Department of Environmental Protection repealed Chapter 141 in July 2015 after public notice and opportunity for public hearing. The removal of Chapter 141—Conformity of General Federal Actions from the SIP will leave the Federal General Conformity Regulations at 40 CFR 93.150 through 93.165 as well as 40 CFR 51.851, in place for administrative and enforcement purposes. Once EPA approves the removal of Chapter 141 from Maine’s SIP, Federal actions can take advantage of the flexibility provided by the Federal General Conformity Rule. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

III. Final Action

EPA is approving Maine’s August 18, 2015, SIP revision to remove Chapter 141—Conformity of General Federal Actions from the SIP. EPA has evaluated this SIP revision and has determined that the State has complied with its administrative procedures to repeal Chapter 141. The appropriate public participation and comprehensive interagency consultations have been undertaken during development and adoption of this SIP revision. Finally, EPA has determined that removing Chapter 141 from the Maine SIP will result in Federal agencies using the provisions of 40 CFR part 93, subpart B to demonstrate conformity with the applicable implementation plan as required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7506). Federal actions can take advantage of the flexibility provided by the Federal General Conformity Rule which includes EPA’s April 2010 General Conformity Amendments.

EPA is publishing this action without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this Federal Register publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the SIP revision should relevant adverse comments be filed. This rule will be effective January 25, 2016 without further notice unless the Agency receives relevant adverse comments by December 24, 2015.

If EPA receives such comments, then EPA will publish a notice withdrawing the final rule and informing the public that the rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on the proposed rule. All parties interested in commenting on the proposed rule should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this rule will be effective on January 25, 2016 and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
• Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
• Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
• Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
• Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
• Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
• Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and
• Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 25, 2016. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. Parties with objections to this direct final rule are encouraged to file a comment in response to the parallel notice of
proposed rulemaking for this action published in the proposed rules section of the Federal Register, rather than file an immediate petition for judicial review of this direct final rule, so that EPA can withdraw this direct final rule and address the comment in the proposed rulemaking. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52
Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: November 5, 2015.
H. Curtis Spalding,
Regional Administrator, EPA New England.

Part 52 of chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:
Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart U—Maine

§ 52.1020 [Amended]

2. In § 52.1020(c), the table is amended by removing the entry for Chapter 141, “Conformity of General Federal Actions.”

[FR Doc. 2015–29825 Filed 11–23–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

49 CFR Parts 240 and 242

[Docket No. FRA–2015–0123]

Best Practices for Designing Vision Field Tests for Locomotive Engineers or Conductors

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Interim interpretation with request for comments.

SUMMARY: FRA is issuing this interim interpretation to clarify provisions in its locomotive engineer and conductor qualification and certification regulations with respect to vision standards and testing. In particular, this document addresses further evaluation of persons who do not meet the vision threshold criteria provided for in those regulations, and provides best practices guidance for designing valid, reliable, and comparable vision field tests for assessing whether persons who do not meet those thresholds can perform safely as locomotive engineers and conductors.

DATES: Written comments on the interpretation must be received on or before January 25, 2016. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent possible without incurring additional expense or delay.

ADDRESSES: Comments related to Docket No. FRA–2015–0123 may be submitted by any of the following methods:

• Web site: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
• Fax: 202–493–2251.
• Hand Delivery: 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

Instructions: All submissions must include the agency name and docket number. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of any written communications and comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the document, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). See http://www.regulations.gov/#/privacyNotice for the privacy notice of regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. B.J. Arseneau, Medical Director, FRA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 493–6232; Alan Nagler, Senior Trial Attorney, FRA, Office of Chief Counsel, Mail Stop 10, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 493–6049; or Joseph D. Riley, Railroad Safety Specialist, FRA, Mail Stop 25, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 493–6318.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

FRA is issuing this interim interpretation to clarify provisions in its locomotive engineer and conductor qualification and certification regulations related to further evaluation of persons who do not meet the vision threshold criteria in Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 240.121(c) and 242.117(h), and to provide best-practices guidance for designing valid, reliable, and comparable vision field tests, in response to: (1) The fatal railroad accident that occurred near Goodwell, OK, on June 24, 2012; (2) inquiries FRA has received requesting clarification of the applicable regulatory provisions; and (3) numerous requests for FRA review, under the locomotive engineer and conductor certification regulations, when individuals have been denied recertification by a railroad based on a color vision or monocular vision deficiency.

A. Railroad Accident Near Goodwell, OK

The fatal accident that occurred near Goodwell, in which two Union Pacific Railroad (UP) trains collided head-on, exemplifies how important it is to railroad safety that each railroad establish valid, reliable, and comparable procedures to evaluate persons who do not meet the vision thresholds in 49 CFR 240.121(c) or 242.117(h), and to strictly adhere to those procedures. The