C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

As explained above, a similar proposal was filed by the Exchange as File No. SR–BATS–2015–57 and Amendment No. 1 thereto. The Exchange received five comments in response to the Initial Proposal and responded to such comments in the BATS Comment Response Letter. The Exchange believes that the BATS Comment Response Letter as well as the changes to the Initial Proposal that are reflected in this proposal adequately address comments received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will: (a) By order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or (b) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposal, as modified by Amendment No. 1, is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–BATS–2015–101 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BATS–2015–101. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal offices of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–BATS–2015–101, and should be submitted on or before December 15, 2015.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

Robert W. Errett, Deputy Secretary.
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November 18, 2015.

I. Introduction

On September 22, 2015, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (“Exchange” or “CBOE”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, 2 a proposed rule change relating to margin requirements. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on October 8, 2015.3 The Commission received no comments on the proposed rule change. This order grants approval of the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

CBOE proposes to amend its rules related to margin requirements. Rule 12.3 sets forth margin requirements, and certain exceptions to those requirements, applicable to security positions of Trading Permit Holders’ customers. Rule 12.3(c)(5)(C)(2) currently requires no margin for covered calls and puts. Specifically, that rule provides the following:

- No margin need be required in respect of an option contract, stock index warrant, currency index warrant or currency warrant carried in a short position which is covered by a long position in equivalent units of the

10 See supra note 4.

underlying security in the case of a call (covered call), or a short position in equivalent units of the underlying security in the case of a put (covered put). 4

- An underlying stock basket 5 may serve as cover for an option contract or warrant on a market index carried short (subject to the same requirements for computing margin).
- No margin is required in respect of a call option on a Standard and Poor’s 500 (S&P 500) market index carried in a short position where there is carried for the same account a long position in an underlying open-end index mutual fund (which will be specifically designated by the Exchange) having an aggregate market value at least equal to the underlying value of the S&P 500 contracts to be covered.

According to CBOE, the proposed rule change makes some nonsubstantive changes to Rule 12.3(c)(5)(C)(2). CBOE represents, the proposed rule change letters the provisions listed in the first two bulleted paragraphs above to become subparagraphs (2)(a) and (b) and moves part of the provision in the first bulleted paragraph to proposed subparagraph (2)(c) (as discussed below, the proposed rule change deletes the third bulleted paragraph above). CBOE further represents, the proposed rule change revises the language to be consistent throughout these provisions, including clarifying that the underlying security or one of the other permissible offsets must be carried in the same account as the option position. CBOE notes, the proposed rule change also makes the language more plain English, eliminates repetitive language, and inserts a missing space in proposed subparagraph (b).

CBOE states, the proposed rule change adds circumstances in which covered calls and puts require no margin. According to CBOE, the proposed rule change applies the provision in proposed subparagraph (b) to index mutual funds, index portfolio receipts (“IPRs”), 6 and index portfolio shares (“IPSs”), 7 in addition to underlying stock baskets, based on the same index underlying the index option and having a market value at least equal to the aggregate current index value. 8 IPRs and IPSs are commonly referred to as exchange-traded funds (“ETFs”). CBOE notes, the proposed rule change also deletes the provision that provides no margin for a corresponding position in options on a Standard and Poor’s 500 (S&P 500) market index carried in a short position where there is carried for the same account a long position in the underlying open-end index mutual fund having an aggregate market value at least equal to the underlying value of the S&P 500 contracts to be covered. 9 CBOE further notes, proposed subparagraph (b) extends the same margin exemption to any index option offset by a position in a mutual fund based on the same underlying index, making this current provision duplicative.

CBOE states that index ETFs and mutual funds function in a similar manner to underlying stock baskets, as they are intended to replicate the performance of their underlying market indexes. CBOE believes, the types and diversity of products available on the market that track indexes continues to increase and provide additional investment and hedging opportunities. CBOE also believes while an ETF or mutual fund may not meet the definition of an underlying stock basket (for example, some ETFs have a sampling of the securities that comprise the underlying index), it essentially has the same purpose as an underlying stock basket for investors. Therefore, CBOE represents, it closely tracks an underlying index, and thus can function as an offsetting position to an index option overlying the same index in the same way as an underlying stock basket. 10

According to CBOE, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (“FRB”) previously indicated that no margin would be required if an index option (on a broad-based stock index with at least a 99% correlation with the S&P 500 index) is covered by an offsetting position in S&P Index Depository Receipts (SPDRS), but rather such SPDR positions would be treated as cover in accordance with Section 220.5(c)(3) of Regulation T. 11 CBOE and another exchange later afforded the same margin treatment to options on the Dow Jones Industrial Average (DIA).

---

4 See Notice, supra note 3, at 60942. CBOE notes, in computing margin on such a position in the underlying security, (a) in the case of a call, the current market value to be used shall not be greater than the exercise price and (b) in the case of a put, margin will be the amount required by Rule 12.3(b)(2), plus the amount, if any, by which the exercise price exceeds the current market value of the underlying.

5 See Notice, supra note 3, at 60942. An “underlying stock basket” means a group of securities that includes each of the component securities of the applicable index and which meets the following conditions: (a) The quantity of each stock in the basket is proportional to its representation in the index; (b) the total market value of the basket is equal to the underlying index value of the index options or warrants to be covered; (c) the securities in the basket cannot be used to cover more than the number of index options or warrants represented by that value and (d) the securities in the basket shall be unavailable to support any other option or warrant transaction in the account. See also Rule 12.3(a)(7).

6 See Notice, supra note 3, at 60942. The term “index portfolio receipts” or “IPRs” means securities that (a) represent an interest in a unit investment trust (“UIT”) which holds the securities that comprise an index on which a series of IPRs is based; (b) are issued by the UIT in a specified aggregate minimum number in return for a “Portfolio Deposit” consisting of specified numbers of shares of stock plus a cash amount; (c) when aggregated in the same specified minimum number, may be redeemed from the UIT which will pay to the redeeming holder the stock and cash then comprising the Portfolio Deposit; and (d) if holders of a periodic cash payment corresponding to the regular cash dividends or distributions declared and paid with respect to the component securities of the stock index on which the IPRs are based, less certain expenses and other charges as set forth in the UIT prospectus. IPRs are “UIT interests” within the meaning of the CBOE Rules. See also CBOE Rule 1.1, Interpretation 62.

7 See Notice, supra note 3, at 60942. The term “index portfolio shares” or “IPSs” means securities that (a) are issued by an open-end management investment company based on a portfolio of stocks or fixed income securities designed to provide investment results that correspond generally to the investment and hedging opportunities.

8 See Notice, supra note 3, at 60943. The Exchange notes that current federal net capital rules to mean a set or basket of stock positions which represent no less than 50% of the capitalization for a high-capitalization or non-high-capitalization diversified market index or no less than 95% of the capitalization of a narrow-based index. Those rules require positions in index options be grouped with related instruments within the option’s class and qualified stock baskets in the same index. See also 15 CFR 240.15c3–1(i) to (l), similar to a qualified stock basket, while an ETF or mutual fund may not hold every stock included in the underlying market index, its holdings are intended to track the index.

9 See Notice, supra note 3, at 60943. See Letter dated February 1, 1993 from Michael J. Schoenfeld, FRB, to James McNeil, American Stock Exchange (“Amex”); see also Letter dated August 19, 1992 from James M. McNiel, Amex, to Sharon Lawson, Commission, and Letter dated January 14, 1993 from James M. McNeil, Amex, to Laura M. Homer, FRB. The section of Regulation T referenced in these letters currently corresponds to Section 220.4(b)(4), which provides margin requirements when stock is used as cover for short option positions.

10 See Notice, supra note 3, at 60943.
covered by units of the DIAMONDS Trust held in the same account.\textsuperscript{12} CBOE notes, based on this previous guidance from the FRB and the Commission, and in conjunction with the Exchange’s current rules, CBOE has applied this margin treatment to short index option positions where there are offsetting positions in an ETF that tracks the same underlying index held in the same margin account (which treatment the Exchange has announced in Regulatory Circulars).\textsuperscript{13} CBOE believes the proposed rule change is consistent with these previous findings and applies this margin treatment generally to all ETFs and mutual funds that overly market indexes, in the same manner that the rules currently apply to underlying stock baskets. Given that the Exchange regularly lists new products, including index options, the Exchange believes it is appropriate to have a more general rule related to margin on these index option products that applies in the same manner rather than identifying this margin treatment in Regulatory Circulars.

III. Discussion and Commission Findings

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.\textsuperscript{14} Specifically, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,\textsuperscript{15} which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Specifically, the Commission believes that providing for a specific margin treatment related to covered puts and calls to apply to all index options in the same manner will promote just and equitable principles of trade because stock baskets, ETFs and mutual funds that trade a reference index can generally provide the same economic function as a security underlyning an option.

Finally, the Commission believes the non-substantive technical changes will benefit investors by offering more clarity with respect to the margin rules by providing for more consistent and plain English language in the rule.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,\textsuperscript{16} that the proposed rule change (SR–CBOE–2015–077) be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.\textsuperscript{17}

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.
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Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),\textsuperscript{1} and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,\textsuperscript{2} notice is hereby given that on November 05, 2015, C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated (the “Exchange” or “C2”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to expand on the Exchange’s past representations made in SR–C2–2015–024 \textsuperscript{3} with respect to Continuing Education ("CE") Fees and Web-based delivery of the Regulatory Element of the Exchange’s CE program. There are no proposed changes to the text of the Exchange’s rules.


\textsuperscript{4} 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(57).

