

DATES: A webinar will be held for interested members of the general public on Tuesday, December 15, 2015, from 1:00–2:30 p.m. Eastern Standard Time/10:00–11:30 a.m. Pacific Standard Time.

ADDRESSES: The webinar will be held via Adobe Connect web conferencing software. To access the presentation, enter the following URL into any Flash-enabled web browser: <https://usfs.adobeconnect.com/orms/>. Audio-only access is available toll-free by calling (888) 844–9904 and entering the following access code: 4909819.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Earnest Rawles, Acting Assistant Director, Office of Regulatory and Management Services—Directives and Regulations Branch (202) 205–2601, WO_Directives@fs.fed.us. Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at (800) 877–8339 between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of this RFI is to inform the public, gather feedback on potential future strategies for notifying the public, and obtaining comments prior to making any amendments to the Forest Service Directive System. Information obtained in response to this RFI may be used by the Forest Service for program planning and development, or for other purposes. Note that information shared by the Forest Service in conjunction with this RFI may or may not be used to inform or issue further policy.

Background: The Forest Service is bound by the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974, as modified by the National Forest Management Act of 1976, “to give the Federal, State, and local governments and the public adequate notice and an opportunity to comment upon the formulation of standards, criteria, and guidelines applicable to Forest Service programs” (16 U.S.C. 1612(a)). Many of these standards, criteria, and guidelines are contained in the Forest Service Directive System, which is used to implement existing laws and regulations. The Forest Service Directive System contains the Agency’s policies, practices, and procedures and serves as the primary basis for the internal management and control of programs and administrative direction to Agency employees. The Forest Service Manual contains legal authorities, objectives, policies, responsibilities, and guidance needed on a continuing basis by Agency line officers and primary staff to plan and execute programs and activities.

The Forest Service Handbook is the principal source of specialized guidance and instruction for carrying out the policies, objectives, and responsibilities contained in the Forest Service Manual. The directives for all Agency programs are available on the Agency Web site (www.fs.fed.us).

Currently, the Forest Service uses the process set forth in the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553) to notify the public of, and obtain comments on, changes to the Forest Service Directive System. This entails publication of notice in the **Federal Register** and solicitation of comments through Regulations.gov. This process was established and is primarily used to facilitate public participation in the promulgation of rules in the CFR. The Forest Service will continue to exclusively use the APA process to involve the public in rulemaking. The Agency is seeking to expand options for involving interested parties in the formulation of directives to be more responsive and accessible to the public.

Dated: November 23, 2015.

Lenise Lago,

Deputy Chief of Business Operations, U. S. Forest Service.

[FR Doc. 2015–30347 Filed 11–27–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3411–15–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

39 CFR Part 3050

[Docket No. RM2016–3; Order No. 2836]

Periodic Reporting

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent filing requesting that the Commission initiate an informal rulemaking proceeding to consider changes to analytical principles relating to periodic reports (Proposal Twelve). This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* December 4, 2015. *Reply Comments are due:* December 9, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Summary of Proposals
- III. Initial Commission Action
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On November 20, 2015, the Postal Service filed a petition pursuant to 39 CFR 3050.11 requesting that the Commission initiate an informal rulemaking proceeding to consider changes to analytical principles relating to the Postal Service’s periodic reports.¹ Proposal Twelve is attached to the Petition and identifies the proposed analytical method change that relates to the treatment of costs for vehicles used on city carrier letter routes within various cost components of the Cost and Revenue Analysis (CRA) report. *Id.* The Postal Service concurrently filed two library references, along with an application for non-public treatment for one.²

II. Summary of Proposal

The Postal Service requests this rulemaking to re-align certain calculations within the CRA report to be consistent with the methodology approved by the Commission in Proposal Thirteen.³ Petition at 1. Acknowledging that the Postal Service will soon submit the FY 2015 Annual Compliance Report, the Postal Service emphasizes that immediate consideration of Proposal Twelve is necessary to avoid a mismatch between the current methodology for the treatment of ancillary vehicle cost matters and the framework approved in Proposal Thirteen. *Id.* at 1–2. Under Proposal Twelve, the Postal Service proposes two methodological changes:

¹ Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposal Twelve), November 20, 2015, at 1 (Petition).

² Notice of Filing of USPS–RM2016–3/1, USPS–RM2016–3/NP1, and Application for Nonpublic Treatment, November 20, 2015 (Notice). Library Reference USPS–RM2016–3/1 contains workbooks displaying the calculation and cost impacts of implementing the proposed methodology. Library Reference USPS–RM2016–3/NP1 contains supporting non-public material. The Notice incorporates by reference the Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials contained in Attachment Two to the December 29, 2014, United States Postal Service Fiscal Year 2014 Annual Compliance Report. Notice at 1. See 39 CFR part 3007 for information on access to non-public material.

³ Docket No. RM2015–7, Order No. 2792, Order Approving Analytical Principles Used in Periodic Reporting (Proposal Thirteen), October 29, 2015.

Attribution of city carrier letter route vehicle costs and calculation of office and street proportions that are used in the calculation of costs relating to city carrier labor. Petition, Proposal Twelve at 1.

A. *Attributing City Carrier Letter Route Vehicle Costs*

The Postal Service proposes to change the methodology for attributing costs relating to vehicles used on city carrier letter routes, including Motor Vehicle Service (MVS) Labor in Cost Segment 12.1, MVS Supplies and Materials in Cost Segment 12.2, and Vehicle Depreciation in Cost Segment 20. *Id.*

Current methodology: The Postal Service explains that currently city carrier letter route vehicle costs are divided into two pools: Delivery Activities and Network Travel for motorized letter routes. *Id.* at 2. The Postal Service reports that “[i]n FY 2014, these respective proportions were approximately 42 and 58 percent.” *Id.* The Postal Service states that the current methodology multiplies each cost pool by the appropriate variability to determine attributable costs and that these “attributable costs are assigned to products in the same proportions as Cost Segment 7 letter route Delivery Activities costs.” *Id.*

Proposed Methodology: Building upon the new methodology approved by the Commission in Proposal Thirteen for the treatment of labor costs on city delivery letter routes, the Postal Service proposes to “calculate the attributable vehicle costs by multiplying the letter route vehicle costs by the new overall letter route street variability” and to assign the attributable costs to products using the proportions based on all letter route street costs. *Id.* The Postal Service represents that Proposal Twelve would also change the component structure of the CRA for Cost Segments 12 and 20. *Id.* at 4. Specifically, in Cost Segment 12, the Network Travel components 86 and 95 would be removed and components 83 and 92, currently named Delivery Activities, would be renamed City Delivery Letter Routes. *Id.* In Cost Segment 20, components 222 and 225 for Delivery Activities and Network Travel would be removed. *Id.* Component 223 would be established as City Delivery Special Purpose Routes (SPR) to assign SPR vehicle depreciation costs. *Id.* Finally, component 221 would be reactivated and named City Delivery Letter Routes. *Id.*

Rationale: The Postal Service represents that Proposal Twelve would align the calculation of vehicle use costs with the new street time methodology. *Id.* at 5. The Postal Service claims that

this treatment of city carrier vehicle costs is consistent with the long-standing practice of linking the treatment with the direct labor that uses these vehicles. *Id.* The Postal Service asserts that the “proposed methodology for vehicle use cost[s] recognizes that letter route carriers use their vehicles throughout their time on the street, while delivering mail, traversing the route, and while traveling to and from the route.” *Id.*

Impact: The Postal Service anticipates that under Proposal Twelve higher proportions of MVS Labor, MVS Supplies, and Vehicle Depreciation costs for city carriers will be attributed to products. *Id.* The Postal Service states that currently “Network Travel is not attributed and letter route Delivery Activities costs has a variability of 43 percent, which results in a combined variability of 18 percent.” *Id.* Under Proposal Twelve, the Postal Service represents that “the city carrier letter route costs for MVS Labor, MVS Supplies, and Vehicle Depreciation costs are attributed in the same proportion as all letter route street activities in Cost Segment 7, which results in an attribution level of 36 percent.” *Id.* at 5–6. For FY 2014, the Postal Service projects that Proposal Twelve would increase attributable costs by \$185 million. *Id.* at 6. The Postal Service illustrates the cost impact by product for Proposal Twelve in Table 1. *Id.* at 7.

B. *Calculating Office and Street Proportions*

The Postal Service proposes a minor change to the methodology for calculating office and street proportions that are used in the calculation of costs relating to city carrier labor for Vehicle Hire in Cost Segment 12.3 and Carfare and Driveout in Cost Segment 13.2. *Id.* at 1.

Current methodology: The Postal Service explains that it currently “develops office and street proportions for foot and motorized routes using [In-Office Cost System] IOCS office costs by route type and street costs by delivery mode.” *Id.* at 2.

Proposed Methodology: Building upon the new methodology approved by the Commission in Proposal Thirteen, the Postal Service proposes to develop office and street proportions for foot and motorized routes using Delivery Operations Information System workhours. *Id.* The Postal Service would also use city carrier costs for Delivery Activities and Network Travel to further divide the street proportions among these components. *Id.* at 4.

Rationale: The Postal Service claims that “Proposal Twelve would also align the calculation of office and street proportions with the new street time methodology.” *Id.* at 5.

Impact: The Postal Service illustrates the change in office and street proportions for Proposal Twelve in Table 2. *Id.* at 8.

III. Initial Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket No. RM2016–3 for consideration of matters raised by the Petition. Additional information concerning the Petition may be accessed via the Commission’s Web site at <http://www.prc.gov>. Interested persons may submit comments on the Petition and Proposal Twelve no later than December 4, 2015. Reply comments are due no later than December 9, 2015. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Jennaca D. Upperman is designated as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

IV. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. RM2016–3 for consideration of the matters raised by the Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposal Twelve), filed November 20, 2015.

2. Comments are due no later than December 4, 2015. Reply comments are due no later than December 9, 2015.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission appoints Jennaca D. Upperman to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this docket.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Stacy L. Ruble,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–30319 Filed 11–27–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 0, 1, 2 and 15

[ET Docket No. 13–44; Report No. 3030]

Petitions for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.