

importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic products, including products with near field communication (“NFC”) system-level functionality and/or battery power-up functionality, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of six United States patents. The notice of investigation named Dell, Inc. of Round Rock, Texas (“Dell”), as the sole respondent. *Id.* at 14407.

On October 16, 2015, NXP and Dell filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of a patent license agreement between the parties. Order No. 14 at 1. On October 26, 2015, the Commission investigative staff filed a response supporting the motion. *Id.*

On October 26, 2015, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 14) granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation. The ALJ found that the joint motion complies with the Commission’s rules for termination and that the agreement does not adversely affect the public interest.

No petitions for review were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: November 23, 2015.

William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015–30276 Filed 11–27–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–468 and 731–TA–1166–1167 (Review)]

Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From China and Mexico; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order and countervailing duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from China and the antidumping duty order

on certain magnesia carbon bricks from Mexico would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: *Effective:* November 6, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph Traw, (202–205–3062), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On November 6, 2015, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (80 FR 46050, August 3, 2015) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews was placed in the nonpublic record on November 19, 2015, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties

to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before December 3, 2015 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by December 3, 2015. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. Please be aware that the Commission’s rules with respect to filing have changed. The most recent amendments took effect on July 25, 2014. See 79 FR 35920 (June 25, 2014), and the revised Commission Handbook on E-filing, available from the Commission’s Web site at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Dated: November 24, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015–30282 Filed 11–27–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

¹ A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s Web site.

² The Commission has found the response submitted by Magnesia Carbon Bricks Fair Trade Committee to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).