RESOLUTION OF REVIEW IN PART

We are rescinding the review in part with respect to Husqvarna (Hebei) Co., Ltd.2

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION OF NO SHIPMENTS

Six companies that received a separate rate in previous segments of the proceeding and are subject to this review reported that they did not have any exports of subject merchandise during the POR.3 U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data for the POR corroborated the no-shipment claims of these companies.4 Additionally, we requested that CBP report any contrary information.5 To date, CBP has not responded to our inquiry with any contrary information and we have not received any evidence that these companies had any shipments of the subject merchandise sold to the United States during the POR.6

Consistent with the Department’s assessment practice in non-market economy (NME) cases regarding no-shipment claims, we are completing the review with respect to these companies and will issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.7

PRELIMINARY AFFILIATION AND SINGLE ENTITY DETERMINATION

Based on the record evidence for these preliminary results, we find that Jiangsu Fengtai Diamond Tool Manufacture Co., Ltd., Jiangsu Fengtai Tools Co., Ltd., and Jiangsu Sawing Co., Ltd., are affiliated, pursuant to sections 771(33)(A) and (F) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Additionally, under 19 CFR 351.401(f)(1)-(2), we preliminarily find that these companies should be considered a single entity (collectively known as the Jiangsu Fengtai Single Entity).8

SEPARATE RATES

The Department preliminarily determines that 24 respondents are eligible to receive separate rates in this review.9

SEPARATE RATES FOR ELIGIBLE NON-SELECTED RESPONDENTS

Consistent with our practice, we assigned to eligible non-selected respondents the average of the weighted-average margins calculated for the two individually examined respondents as the separate rate for the preliminary results of this review.10

PRC-WIDE ENTITY

The Department’s change in policy regarding conditional review of the PRC-wide entity applies to this proceeding.11 Under this policy, the PRC-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or the Department self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity in this review, the entity is not under review and the entity’s rate is not subject to change (i.e., 82.05 percent).12 Aside from the no-shipments and separate rate companies discussed above, and the company for which the review is being rescinded, the Department considers all other companies for which a review was requested (which did not file a separate rate application) to be part of the PRC-wide entity.13

NOTES

1 See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 4–6 for more details.
2 Id. at 7–11, for more details.
3 Id.
6 All firms listed below that wish to qualify for separate rate status in the administrative reviews involving NME countries must complete, as appropriate, either a separate rate application or certification, as described below. “Companies that are subject to this administrative review that are considered to be part of the PRC-wide entity are Central Iron and Steel Research Institute Group, China Iron and Steel Research Institute Group, Danyang Aurui Hardware Products Co., Ltd., Danyang Didu Diamond Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Electrolux Construction Products (Xiamen) Co., Ltd., Fujian Quanzhou Wanhong Stone Co., Ltd., Hebei Jiaji Industrial Group Co., Ltd., Huachang Diamond Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Hua Da Superabrasive Tools Technology Co., Ltd., Jiangsu Fengyu Tools Co., Ltd., Jiangyin Link Industry Co., Ltd., Protech
Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act. Export price and constructed export price were calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because the PRC is a NME within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, normal value was calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http://access.trade.gov and to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html.

Preliminary Results of Review

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bosun Tools Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chengdu Huifeng Diamond Tools Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danyang Huanchang Diamond Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danyang NYCL Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danyang Weiwang Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilin Tebon Superhard Material Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hangzhou Deer King Industrial and Trading Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong Hao Xin International Group Limited</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huzhou Gu’s Import &amp; Export Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiangsu Fengtai Single Entity</td>
<td>67.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiangsu Huachang Tools Manufacturing Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiangsu Inter-China Group Corporation</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiangsu Youhe Tool Manufacturer Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orient Gain International Limited</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantos Logistics (HK) Company Limited</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qingyuan Shangtai Diamond Tools Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quanzhou Zhongzhi Diamond Tool Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizhao Hein Saw Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint-Gobain Abrasives (Shanghai) Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai Jingquan Industrial Trade Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weihai Xiangguang Mechanical Industrial Co., Ltd</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wuhu Wanbang Laser Diamond Tools Co</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiamen ZL Diamond Technology Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Wanli Tools Group Co., Ltd</td>
<td>12.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose calculations performed for these preliminary results to the parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review. Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the case briefs are filed.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, filed electronically using ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department’s ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain (1) the party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be

16 See 19 CFR 351.309(c).
17 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2).
18 See 19 CFR 351.309(d).
19 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).
The Department intends to issue the final results of this review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised by parties in their comments, within 120 days after the publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Assessment Rates
Upon issuing the final results of review, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.

If a respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is above de minimis (i.e., 0.5 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales and the total entered value of those sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Specifically, the Department will apply the assessment rate calculation method adopted in Final Modification for Reviews.

Where an importer- (or customer)-specific ad valorem rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For Husqvarna (Hebel) Co., Ltd., for which the review is rescinded, the antidumping duty shall be assessed at the rate equal to the cash deposit of the estimated antidumping duty required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(2).

We will instruct CBP accordingly.

Pursuant to the Department’s assessment practice in NME cases, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case number (i.e., at that exporter’s rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements
The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For subject merchandise exported by the companies listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of review (except, if the rate is zero or de minimis, then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers
This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.

Dated: November 30, 2015.

Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum
Summary

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
RIN 0648–XE333
Notice of Availability of a Draft Programmatic Environmental Assessment for Fisheries and Ecosystem Research Conducted and Funded by the National Marine Fisheries Service, Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of availability of a Draft Programmatic Environmental Assessment; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS announces the availability of the “Draft Programmatic Environmental Assessment (DPEA) for Fisheries and Ecosystem Research Conducted and Funded by the Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center (PIFSC).” Publication of this notice begins the official public comment period for this DPEA. The purpose of the DPEA is to evaluate, in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the potential direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts of conducting and funding fisheries and ecosystem research in NOAA’s Pacific Islands Region.

DATES: Comments and information must be received no later than January 4, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the DPEA should be addressed to: NOAA IRC, NMFS/PIFSC/Dir’s Office, 1845 Wasp Blvd., Bldg. #176, Honolulu, HI 96818. The mailbox address for providing email comments is pifsc.nepa@noaa.gov. NMFS is not responsible for email comments sent to the PIFSC.

BILING CODE 3510–DS–P