Memorandum. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the Orders were to be revoked.

Final Results of Sunset Reviews

Pursuant to section 752(c)(3) of the Act, the Department determines that revocation of the Orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at weighted-average dumping margins up to 247.65 percent for the PRC and up to 4.37 percent for Taiwan.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: December 1, 2015.

Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of the Orders
IV. History of the Orders
V. Legal Framework
VI. Discussion of the Issues
   1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
   2. Magnitude of the Margins Likely to Prevail
VII. Final Results of Sunset Reviews
VIII. Recommendation
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[–580–809]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe (CWP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea).1 The period of review (POR) is November 1, 2013, through October 31, 2014. This review covers three producers or exporters of the subject merchandise: Husteel Co., Ltd. (Husteel), Hyundai HYSCO (HYSCO), and SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH). We preliminarily find that Husteel and HYSCO have made sales of the subject merchandise at prices below normal value. We also preliminarily find that SeAH did not make sales of subject merchandise at prices below normal value. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Effective date: December 8, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Meek, Lana Nigro, or Joseph Shuler, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–2778, (202) 482–1779 or (202) 482–1293, respectively.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is circular welded non-alloy steel pipe and tube. The product is currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) numbers: 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085, and 7306.30.5090. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.2

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of this notice.3


2 For a full description of the scope of the order, see the Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: 2013–2014” (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with these results and hereby adopted by this notice.

3 See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer or exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Husteel Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeAH Steel Corporation</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyundai HYSCO</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.42
Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results. Rebuttal briefs, limited to the issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the submission of case briefs. Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit a statement of the issue; a brief summary of the proceeding, including the results of our analysis of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the proceeding, in its entirety by the Department’s electronic record system ACCESS, by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the date that the document is due. Executive summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, using Enforcement and Compliance’s ACCESS system within 30 days of publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain the party’s name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing. Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 351.213(h)(2), the Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by the parties in their case and rebuttal briefs, within 120 days after the publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

Assessment Rates

For Husteel, HYSCO, and SeAH, upon issuance of the final results, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. Husteel, HYSCO, and SeAH reported the name of the importer of record and the entered value for all of their sales to the United States during the POR. If Husteel’s, HYSCO’s, and SeAH’s weighted-average dumping margins are not zero or de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer’s examined sales and the total entered value of those sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is not zero or de minimis. Where either the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department’s “automatic assessment” practice will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Husteel, HYSCO, and SeAH for which they did not know their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this practice, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

For Husteel, HYSCO, and SeAH, we intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements for estimated antidumping duties will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of CWP from Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Husteel, HYSCO, and SeAH will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the producer has been covered in a prior complete segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 4.80 percent, the “all others” rate established in the order. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

The Department is issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 1, 2015.

Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Appendix I
List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary
II. Background
III. Scope of Order
IV. Discussion of the Methodology
1. Determination of Comparison Method
2. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
V. Date of Sale
VI. Product Comparisons
VII. Export Price and Constructed Export Price
VIII. Normal Value
A. Comparison Market Viability
B. Affiliated Party Transactions and Arm’s Length Test

5 See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Brazil, the Republic of Korea (Korea), Mexico, and Venezuela, and Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Korea, 57 FR 49453 (November 2, 1992).
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration


Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From the Republic of Korea, Mexico, and the Republic of Turkey: Postponement of Preliminary Determinations of Antidumping Duty Investigations

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Postponement of Preliminary Determinations

On August 10, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated antidumping duty investigations of imports of heavy walled rectangular carbon steel pipes and tubes (HWR pipes and tubes) from Korea, Mexico, and Turkey. The notice of initiation stated that we would issue our preliminary determinations no later than 140 days after the date of initiation. Currently, the preliminary determinations in these investigations are due on December 28, 2015.

On November 30, 2015, Atlas Tube, a division of JMC Steel Group; Bull Moose Tube Company; EXLTUBE; Hannibal Industries, Inc.; Independence Tube Corporation; Maruichi American Corporation; Searing Industries; Southland Tube; and Vest, Inc., U.S.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[S–533–823]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is partially rescinding its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from India for the period of review (POR) May 1, 2014 through April 30, 2015.

DATES: Effective Date: December 8, 2015.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 1, 2015, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicomanganese from India for the POR. Petitioners, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(b), filed a request for an antidumping duty administrative review of two companies: Nava Bharat Ventures Limited (Nava) and Universal Ferro and Allied Chemicals, Ltd. (Universal). Subsequently, on July 1, 2015, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review with respect to Nava and Universal. Nava filed a letter on July 8, 2015, with the Department stating that it had no shipments during the POR. Accordingly, the Department sent a no shipment inquiry to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) on July 15, 2015, requesting a response within 10 days if there was any information indicating that Nava had shipments during the POR. The Department did not receive any notification from CBP that Nava had shipments during the POR. On August 25, 2015, Petitioners withdrew their request for an antidumping duty administrative review of Nava.

1 See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 80 FR 24088 (May 1, 2015).